### ARKANSAS CORN AND SORGHUM PROMOTION BOARD SPECIAL CALLED MEETING—DAY 1 Zoom Option

#### Minutes

### February 21, 2024 3:00 p.m.

Members Present in Person: Trent Dabbs, Tommy Young, & Kenny Falwell

Members Present via Zoom: Jason Felton

Members Absent: Matt Smith, Matt Gammill, & Jacob Appleberry

Chairman Tommy Young commenced the promotion board meeting at 3:00 p.m. and welcomed all members and guests. Administrator Scott Bray called role and a quorum was established. Chairman Young then provided an overview of the meeting agenda and welcomed the board's newest member, Matt Smith, noting Smith's excitement to begin working with the board.

Chairman Young then opened the floor to Administrator Bray for any Department comments. Administrator Bray and Chairman Young both provided general housekeeping information for the board to have a successful meeting.

Chairman Young then asked if there were any questions and there were none.

Administrator Bray then presented the minutes from the board's special called meeting held on January 30<sup>th</sup>, 2024.

Chairman Young then asked for a motion to approve the previous meeting minutes.

Moved by Kenny Falwell, seconded by Trent Dabbs.

Motion carried.

Chairman Young then asked Mr. Inoussa Zaki to present the board's expenses and financial reports. ATTACHMENT 1

Zaki then presented the board's financial report period that ended January 31<sup>st</sup>, 2024, stating that the board started the Fiscal Year July 1<sup>st</sup>, 2023, with \$2,483,014 total.

Zaki also mentioned that in gross collections for this same reporting period, the total was \$738,221. In treasury charges, there was \$22,531 total, or 3.1% of the gross collections.

Zaki stated that the board netted \$715,690 through the end of this reporting period. The total expenditures for this reporting period were \$25,356.

Zaki also stated that with expenditures at \$25,356 and total revenue around \$3.2 million, that this leaves the board with \$1,881,050 available to fund future projects.

Chairman Young then asked Zaki if that money included the money that has already transferred to the university.

Mr. Zaki answered that the \$1.3 million is the total outstanding projects that the board approved that have not yet been dispersed to date. In other words, if the board funds were all dispersed, there would be approximately \$1.8 million left.

Chairman Young then asked Dr. Nathan McKinney if the university has \$400,000 on account for the board. Dr. McKinney answered that yes, the board has exactly \$400,000 on account with them. Chairman Young asked if this amount was included in this \$1.8 million figure, and Dr. McKinney felt it did not. Some discussion ensued about this separate interest-baring account that the board gave the university in 2018.

Board member Falwell then asked Dr. McKinney to remind the board what the plan for the \$400,000 in the interest-baring account was for and some discussion ensued.

Dr. McKinney explained that this account was essentially a "rainy-day" account that the board can draw from at any time. He also stated that the board permits the university to take the interest drawn from this account to use toward purchasing equipment. Some discussion ensued.

Inoussa continued his financial report, presenting a slide of projections based off historical data from USDA-NASS. **ATTACHMENT 1** 

In the current Fiscal Year (Production Year 2023), the board is currently at 48% of the total gross collected, stating that he also expects an additional \$300,000 added to these numbers for a total of around \$1,070,000.

Zaki presented his last slide report, stating that the internal control compliance assessment audit for 2022/2023 came back clean and compliant for this board, with no reportable findings.

Zaki then concluded his financial report.

Chairman Young then asked for a motion to approve the Department's financial report.

Moved by Kenny Falwell, seconded by Trent Dabbs.

Motion carried.

Chairman Young then opened the floor to Matt Frostic to present the report from the National Corn Growers Association (NCGA). **ATTACHMENT 2** 

Before Frostic's presentation, Chairman Young made a point of order to ensure that Matt Frostic continues to provide communication and information to the AR Department of Agriculture staff pertaining to the NCGA board liaison for Arkansas. Frostic agreed that he will get that information and continue dialog with the Department.

Frostic then presented his update from the NCGA. His presentation addressed trade with Mexico, including their resistance to biotech corn measures, saying that this has been a priority for NCGA. He also discussed the importance of free trade markets and building relationships in markets like India and China.

Frostic also addressed the slow progress of the next Farm Bill and mentioned that this bill will take some time to get passed and implemented. He stressed the importance of the farm bill so that there is better stability in the markets.

Chairman Young then asked Frostic a question about regarding the reasoning behind putting mandatory instead of voluntary base acres in the farm bill.

Frostic answered that one side is saying that if you are going to have base acre updates, it must be mandatory otherwise it will not work. He also mentioned that this is a contentious issue and that the upcoming corn congress would include further discussion about this policy.

Chairman Young then said we should look at the whole country before we start voicing opinions on behalf of everyone, especially how it would affect southern states. Jason Felton added that the National Cotton Council was pushing for voluntary base acres.

Frostic then moved on to discuss Ethanol extraction markets, highlighting the importance of the relationship between ethanol and livestock, as well as the potential of ethanol as a fuel for aircraft, known as sustainable aviation fuel (SAF).

Chairman Young then asked Frostic what the NCGA position on the SAF market topic was, and if the industry should be pushing toward this market.

Frostic answered that SAF industry is not in their top priorities, but there are internal discussions ongoing. He agreed that this was the future and that the NCGA and the industry should be fighting for this future market.

Frostic then moved on to discuss the NCGA funding request for FY2024. He discussed the base funding breakdown, also mentioning that that the total funding request from the board for FY2024 is \$28,500.

Chairman Young then asked where the board has their action team funding slated to go to and some discussion ensued.

Chairman Young then opened the floor for any board member questions or comments. Chairman Young then introduced the next report from Ellen Zimmerman with the U.S. Grains Council (USGC). **ATTACHMENT 3** 

Zimmerman discussed the USGC's organization, including their budgeting process and structure, key markets and products, and their impact on global trade via their operations. She also addressed the budget breakdown for their funding request, for a funding total of \$71,266, which is a 4% increase from the previous year.

She also addressed how U.S. grain and U.S. ethanol were being exported in 2022/2023.

Chairman Young then asked Zimmerman if the U.S. was the number one consumer of ethanol.

Zimmerman said she would get a number on this information and get back to the board.

Zimmerman then addressed the status of DDGs in the U.S., the International Aquaculture Conference, and top corn exports in the U.S. for 2022/2023, with top customers being Mexico and China.

Zimmerman also discussed the USGC's marketing superior performance in India, highlighted the top corn markets as of February 8<sup>th</sup>, 2024, and spoke about the newer Corn Sustainability Assistance Protocol (CSAP), which helps create records of sustainability.

Trent Dabbs then asked about Mexico's purchasing of corn, asking about the difference between before the free trade dispute versus now.

Zimerman said that there is not a huge difference and said it's not a record-breaking year this year. She mentioned a border closure just before Christmas that was going into Mexico, but there were no significant impact of sales to Mexico, as they are still the number one customer for the year.

Chairman Young then mentioned that the Regional Agriculture Promotion Program (RAPP) was brought about from our state Senator John Boozeman.

Zimmerman then addressed the value of exports of grain in all forms to Arkansas, totaling a value of around \$728,700,000 and representing around 4,579 jobs.

Chairman Young then took the opportunity to congratulate Jason Felton on his five (5) years of service to the board of delegates for the USGC and presented a plaque in his name recognizing the honor.

Zimmerman then concluded her presentation.

Chairman Young then introduced Spencer Parkinson with Decision Innovations Solutions (DIS) for his funding request presentation. **ATTACHMENT 4** 

Parkinson gave a brief history of their economic research and analysis firm based in Iowa.

Parkinson discussed the DIS process, saying that they partner with clients to conduct research in phases, starting with a discovery phase and continuing through a support and enhancement phase. Parkinson said that this process ensures that research is done on time, on task and on budget.

Parkinson then discussed the first DIS proposal before the board, providing background on feed flow analysis for Arkansas's critical livestock and poultry industries. He also addressed some of the core elements of the proposed research, including feed ingredient analysis and determining potential gaps in feed supply. This proposed core budget for this first proposal is \$32,850, with any additional scenarios around \$6,975 each.

Trent Dabbs then asked Parkinson what "45Z" and "45Q" are as part of this first proposal. David Miller with DIS then answered that these are tax credits.

David Miller then presented the second proposal from DIS: "Historical Livestock and Poultry Feed Demand in Arkansas; Economic Impact Study." Miller presented the background on the proposal and addressed some of the core elements of this proposed research study, for a proposed funding amount of \$29,925. This proposed research project also included an optional component of \$3,825 per economic impact study from increased livestock/poultry production.

The third proposal from DIS looked at Carbon Intensity implications for Arkansas Commodities. The core elements of this research included calculating the CI scores of Arkansas corn and grain sorghum, as well as to estimate the CI score of the major feed ingredients used in Arkansas broiler and hog production. This proposed project funding amount was \$19,575. There was an optional component of expansion of the set of livestock and poultry products for which the feed CI scores will be calculated, for \$11,250.

Chairman Young then asked the board members if there were any questions on the presentation and there were none.

Chairman Young then asked Carson Horn with the Communications Group to give their presentation. ATTACHMENT 5

Horn began his presentation regarding the AR Corn & Grain Sorghum Board's website. Horn began his presentation with a situation analysis, mentioning that an audit of the board's website indicated that the website was performing below acceptable industry standards. He addressed some of the challenges associated with the board's website.

Horn also addressed some of the deliverables that the Communications Group have worked on, including content refreshing, essential website updates, and routine website maintenance. He also provided a Summary of Updates including some general routine updates, performance insights, and other updates. He also addressed the website traffic and average user duration time.

Horn concluded his presentation with recommendations for the board to continue to monitor and track website performance, and asked the board if they had any questions.

Chairman Young then asked if the board's website could be somehow linked to the AR Department of Agriculture's main webpage.

Amy Lyman, Communications Director for the AR Department of Agriculture, then mentioned that the board's website is currently already linked to the Department's website.

Shayla Crowder with the Communications Group then presented three different proposal recommendations for the board involving organic website maintenance and social media use.

Chairman Young then made a comment regarding how he wants to properly use the board's funds so long that there is no duplication of what the university is doing on their website versus what the Department is doing on their website.

Chairman Young then gave the floor to Dr. McKinney, who shared an exciting development from one of the university research labs that could potentially generate royalties for the board, while emphasizing the need for confidentiality until the patent is filed.

Chairman Young then gave his photograph slideshow presentation on his mission's trip with the USGC to Vietnam and Thailand.

He then asked the board if there were any questions and there were none.

Chairman Young then asked for a motion to recess until the next morning.

Moved by Kenny Falwell, seconded by Jason Felton.

Meeting recessed.

Tommy Young, Chairman

### ARKANSAS CORN AND SORGHUM PROMOTION BOARD MEETING—DAY 2 Zoom Option

### Minutes

### February 22, 2024 8:30 a.m.

Members Present in Person: Trent Dabbs, Tommy Young, Kenny Falwell, & Jason Felton

Members Present via Zoom: None

Members Absent:

Matt Smith, Matt Gammill, & Jacob Appleberry

Chairman Tommy Young commenced the second day of the AR Corn & Grain Sorghum Promotion Board meeting at 8:38 a.m. and welcomed all members and guests. Administrator Scott Bray confirmed that a quorum was established. Chairman Young quickly recapped the previous day's meeting items and mentioned he was ready to begin hearing the research project proposals.

Chairman Young then addressed some general housekeeping rules to ensure a successful and productive meeting.

Chairman Young then introduced Dr. Nathan McKinney from the UofA who provided more general housekeeping information regarding the University research proposal presentations.

Chairman Young then introduced Dr. Jason Kelley from the UofA who provided the introduction to the University Reports and Proposals. Dr. Kelley gave a short presentation on the current state of corn and grain sorghum production in Arkansas. For corn he mentioned that there was a 183-bushel average in 2023, which is slightly up compared to the 2022 season. Dr. Kelley mentioned that 2023 was a good overall corn year, with about 830,000 acres harvested from about 850,000 acres planted.

Dr. Kelley also mentioned that grain sorghum acres had about 12,000 acres planted in Arkansas in 2023. He gave a short overview of other crop market prices compared to corn, saying that the corn-soybean ratio is slightly favoring soybeans currently, but not by as much as you would think.

Chairman Young then asked a few follow up questions including about how the University is feeling about the upcoming season. Dr. Kelley answered that they are excited to get the season started at UofA, maybe a little more excited than the producers. Some discussion ensued.

Member Falwell then asked Dr. Kelley if they are making any recommendations that may be different than from what they as a University may have recommended in the past. Dr. Kelley said that they are not making any changes to recommendations, referencing some seed and economic data. Some discussion ensued.

Chairman Young then asked if there were any further questions from the presenter and there were none.

Chairman Young then asked Dr. McKinney if he had any opening comments before beginning the research project proposal presentations. Some discussion ensued, including how corn bushel averages have increased in the state over time.

Dr. McKinney then introduced the first **new** research project proposal before the board, reminding presenters that they have eight (8) minutes to give their presentations.

The first new research project proposal entitled, "Exploring Plasma-Activated Water for Enhanced biocontrol of Aflatoxins and diseases in corn plants" was then presented before the board, requesting a proposed funding amount of \$63,195. **ATTACHMENT 6, PAGE 5** 

Board members asked several questions and discussion ensued.

Dr. McKinney then introduced the next new research project proposal entitled, "Economic Analysis of Corn and Grain Sorghum Production and Marketing Practices," which was presented before the board, requesting a proposed funding amount of \$5,777. **ATTACHMENT 6, PAGE 9** 

Chairman Young reminded the board that this is research that Dr. Deaton conducts every year, and asked Dr. Deaton where corn growers would be able to find the 2022/2023 economic information published from previous season projects. Dr. Deaton answered that this information is posted on the AR Corn & Grain Sorghum Board's website, also mentioning that he plans on publishing an entire historical database of this project information that goes all the way back to the 1980s.

Dr. McKinney also mentioned that this information is published annually within the UofA's research study series.

Chairman Young asked if there were any questions and there were none.

Dr. McKinney then introduced the next new research project proposal entitled, "Corn Yield Forecast System for the US Mid-South," which was presented before the board, requesting a proposed funding amount of \$56,652. ATTACHMENT 6, PAGE 13

Board members asked several questions and discussed ensued.

Dr. McKinney then introduced the next new research project proposal entitled, "University of Arkansas System Division of Agriculture Feed Kits," which was presented before the board, for a proposed funding amount of \$2,500. **ATTACHMENT 6, PAGE 17.** Presenter Allison Harman passed around two (2) example feed kits for the board members to see as part of their presentation.

Board members asked several questions and some discussion ensued.

Dr. McKinney then introduced the next new research project proposal entitled, "Use of Corn Protein Concentrate in Plant-Based Diets for Largemouth Bass to Optimize Production Sustainability and Profitability," which was presented before the board, requesting a proposed funding amount of \$32,741. ATTACHMENT 6, PAGE 21

Board members asked several questions and discussion ensued. Chairman Young then reminded the board that the purpose of this board is to fund projects that would benefit Arkansas and national corn producers, asking the presenter, Dr. Lochmann, with whom this information would be released or shared with. Dr. Lochmann answered that this data and information would be provided to feed producers. Chairman Young also asked if Dr. Lochmann would consider expanding the research project to include other species of fish, and Dr. Lochmann said yes this would be possible in future research project years. Dr. Lochmann also said they would entertain any proposed research project changes that the board might have for their project.

Chairman Young asked if there were any further questions or comments and there were none.

Dr. McKinney then introduced the next new research project proposal entitled, "Remote sensingbased mid-season corn nitrogen fertilizer rate recommendations and web-tool extension," which was presented before the board, requesting a proposed funding amount of \$56,000. **ATTACHMENT 6, PAGE 25** 

Board members asked several questions and some discussion ensued.

Dr. McKinney then introduced the next new research project proposal entitled, "Generating a high-value wax material from sorghum bran using an innovate green approach," which was presented before the board, requesting a proposed funding amount of \$42,761. **ATTACHMENT 6, PAGE 45** 

Board members asked several questions and discussion ensued. Chairman Young asked the AR Department of Agriculture's Chief Financial Officer, Inoussa Zaki, what the revenue for grain sorghum production in 2022/2023 was. Zaki answered that it was \$5,538 for 2022 season. He also answered that so far in 2023, that were at \$254,000 in revenue, while Dr. Kelley mentioned that he suspected the number to be approximately around \$8,000 in 2023 based off acres and yield data.

Chairman Young then had a request for Administrator Bray, requesting that the AR Department of Agriculture to investigate the procedure to submit a proposal with the National Sorghum Checkoff Program.

Chairman Young then called on Tyler Oxner from the Arkansas Farm Bureau to further explain this process with the National Sorghum Checkoff Program. Oxner mentioned that they do not pay for proposals upfront but will reimburse a specific amount on the backend. Dr. McKinney then mentioned that he does send those reports to the National Sorghum Checkoff Program. Member Felton asked one more question about applying the high-value wax on things like blackberries and strawberries, and further discussion ensued.

Chairman Young then asked if there were any questions and there were none.

Dr. McKinney then introduced the next new research project proposal entitled, "Utilizing Plasma Technology to Inhibit Tannin Effects in Grain Sorghum for Enhanced digestibility and protein quality," which was presented before the board, requesting a proposed funding amount of \$43,101. ATTACHMENT 6, PAGE 29

Board members asked several questions and discussion ensued.

Dr. McKinney then introduced the next new research project proposal entitled, "Improving Nitrogen Management for Arkansas Corn Production," which was presented before the board, requesting a proposed funding amount of \$78,547. **ATTACHMENT 6, PAGE 33** 

Chairman Young then asked presenter if they could put a knife comparison in their proposal to see if there would be any benefit compared to other methods. The presenter answered that their goal is to add that comparison, also adding that they are unfortunately limited where they can do the knifing, but they will be adding it in the future.

Board members asked several questions, and some discussion ensued.

Dr. McKinney then introduced the next new research project proposal entitled, "Determining disease resistance and susceptibility of corn and grain sorghum hybrids," which was presented before the board, requesting a proposed funding amount of \$40,000. **ATTACHMENT 6, PAGE 37** 

The presenter asked the board members if there were any questions about the proposal and there were none.

The presenter then proceeded to their next new research project proposal presentation entitled, "Developing a satellite-based field scouting tool for corn," which was presented before the board, requesting a proposed funding amount of \$15,000. **ATTACHMENT 6, PAGE 41** 

Chairman Young asked the board members if there were any questions about the two proposals from Dr. Spurlock. Members asked several questions and some discussion ensued.

Dr. McKinney then introduced the next new research project proposal entitled, "Development and verification of a stand counting application using drone imagery for research and production decision support," which was presented before the board, requesting a proposed funding amount of \$19,660. ATTACHMENT 6, PAGE 49

Chairman Young asked if there were any questions and there were none.

This presentation concluded the *new* research project proposals presented before the board. All other projects presented before the board are considered renewal or continuation projects.

Chairman Young then called for a quick break at 11:08 a.m.

Meeting called back to order at 11:18 a.m.

The next series of presentations were considered *renewal* or *continuation* research project proposals before the board.

The first *agronomic*-related continuation research project proposal entitled, "Optimizing Plant Population and Nitrogen Rate in Corn," was presented before the board, requesting a proposed funding amount of \$34,000. **ATTACHMENT 6, PAGE 53** 

Chairman Young asked if there were any questions and there were none.

Dr. McKinney then introduced the next agronomic-related continuation research project proposal entitled, "Cover Crops in Corn Rotations—What works and What Doesn't?," which was presented before the board, requesting a proposed funding amount of \$39,729. **ATTACHMENT 6, PAGE 57** 

Chairman Young asked if there were any questions and there were none.

Dr. McKinney then introduced the first *diseases*-related continuation research project proposal entitled, "Towards a comprehensive aflatoxin solution: Creating and integrating novel aflatoxin control resources for an effective, sustainable management strategy," which was presented before the board, requesting a proposed funding amount of \$45,000. **ATTACHMENT 6, PAGE 61** 

Chairman Young asked if there were any questions and there were none.

Dr. McKinney then introduced the next diseases-related continuation research project proposal entitled, "Assess Management Options for Corn Nematodes in Arkansas," which was presented before the board, requesting a proposed funding amount of \$55,401. **ATTACHMENT 6, PAGE 65** 

Some discussion ensued regarding products for corn nematodes. Chairman Young asked if there were any further questions and there were none.

Dr. McKinney then the first *fertility*-related continuation research project proposal entitled, "Fine-tuning Potassium Recommendations and Investigating Intensive Tissue Analysis for Sustainable Corn Production," which was presented before the board, requesting a proposed funding amount of \$56,395. ATTACHMENT 6, PAGE 74

Chairman Young asked if there were any questions and there were none.

Dr. McKinney then introduced the first *insect*-related continuation research project proposal entitled, "Assessing Susceptibility of Insect Pests of Corn in Storage to Selected Insecticides," which was presented before the board, requesting a proposed funding amount of \$40,891. **ATTACHMENT 6, PAGE 78** 

Some discussion about the project ensued. Chairman Young asked if there were any questions and there were none.

Dr. McKinney then introduced the first *irrigation*-related continuation research project proposal entitled, "Improving Irrigation Technology for Corn Production in Arkansas," which was presented before the board, requesting a proposed funding amount of \$185,280. **ATTACHMENT 6**, **PAGE 84** 

The presenter then immediately went into their next irrigation-related continuation research project presentation entitled, "The Arkansas Irrigation Yield Contest," which was presented before the board, requesting a proposed funding amount of \$10,000. ATTACHMENT 6, PAGE 83

Members asked several questions from the presenter and some discussion ensued.

Chairman Young then took time to officially honor retired AR Corn & Grain Sorghum Board members with a plaque, officially recognizing Keith Wolverton, David Gammill, & John Caroll for their years of service to this great board. Chairman Young shared kind words and thanked the members for their contributions to the corn and sorghum grain industries in Arkansas.

Chairman Young then called for a lunch break at 12:05 p.m.

The meeting resumed at 12:35 p.m.

Dr. McKinney then introduced the first *miscellaneous* continuation research project proposal entitled, "Arkansas Discovery Farms," which was presented before the board, requesting a proposed funding amount of \$5,000. ATTACHMENT 6, PAGE 88

Chairman Young asked if there were any questions and there were none.

The next miscellaneous continuation research project proposal entitled, "Arkansas Corn & Grain Sorghum Research Studies Series, an annual report and archival system for all Board-funded research," was presented to the board, requesting a proposed funding amount of \$4,667. **ATTACHMENT 6, PAGE 91** 

Chairman Young asked if there were any questions and there were none.

Dr. McKinney then introduced the next miscellaneous continuation research project proposal entitled, "Use of gossypol to inhibit reproduction in domestic hogs as a model for feral hog

control," which was presented before the board, requesting a proposed funding amount of \$60,016. ATTACHMENT 6, PAGE 95

Chairman Young asked if there were any questions and there were none.

Dr. McKinney then introduced the next miscellaneous continuation project proposal entitled, "Arkansas Future Ag Leaders Tour," which was presented before the board, requesting a proposed funding amount of \$5,000. **ATTACHMENT 6, PAGE 99** 

Chairman Young asked the presenter if Arkansas students in this program get preference, and the presenter confirmed that they do, also mentioning they have never turned anyone away.

Chairman Young then asked if there were any further questions and there were none.

Dr. McKinney then introduced the next miscellaneous continuation research project proposal entitled, "Performance Crop Insurance as a risk management tool for corn and grain sorghum producers in Arkansas," which was presented before the board, requesting a proposed funding amount of \$29,987. ATTACHMENT 6, PAGE 103

Discussion ensued. Chairman Young then asked if there were any questions and there were none.

Dr. McKinney then introduced the first *economic*-related continuation research project proposal entitled, "Corn and grain sorghum enterprise budgets and production economic analysis," which was presented before the board, requesting a proposed funding amount of \$10,000. **ATTACHMENT 6, PAGE 69** 

Discussion ensued. Chairman Young asked if there were any questions and there were none.

Dr. McKinney then introduced the first *verification*-related continuation research project proposal entitled, "Corn and Grain Sorghum Research Verification Program," which was presented before the board, requesting a proposed funding amount of \$130,000. **ATTACHMENT 6**, **PAGE 107** 

Chairman Young asked if there were any questions and there were none.

Dr. McKinney then introduced the first *weed control*-related continuation research project proposal entitled, "Evaluation of new herbicides, premixes, programs, and application methods for improved control of problematic weeds," which was presented before the board, requesting a proposed funding amount of \$87,293. **ATTACHMENT 6, PAGE 111** 

Members asked a few questions from the presenter and some discussion ensued.

This concluded the renewal or continuation research project proposal presentations.

Chairman Young then launched into a discussion about the board potentially funding a \$49,900 neon sign that would sit out front of the AR Corn & Grain Sorghum Producers Building in Jackson County. Chairman Young felt this project was especially impactful because of the amount of traffic that travels the highway where the neon sign would exist.

Chairman Young then introduced Dr. McKinney for a few general announcements from the UofA regarding final project completion reports to the board. Dr. McKinney then thanked all the presenters who made time to travel down to the department to present before the board.

The Chairman then kindly asked the presenters to leave the room as the board launched into discussion and determinations of funding research and promotion projects.

Chairman Young then asked Administrator Bray if he had received any report or communication from Deane Robinson Seed Company. Administrator Bray answered in the negative. Chairman Young then asked Tyler Oxner of Farm Bureau if any report or communication was sent to him from the company, and he answered in the negative.

Chairman Young then asked the board for a motion to prevent further funding to Deane Robinson Seed Company until a member of their company presents a report to their board.

### Jason Felton moved, Seconded by Falwell.

### Motion carried.

Chairman Young then quickly applauded the Department of Agriculture staff for their hard work on presenting this board meeting.

Chairman Young then began discussion surrounding the board's participation at the Commodity Classic Conference in Houston, TX.

Chairman Young then gave the floor to Member Kenny Falwell for his report on the National Corn Growers Association ("NCGA") and Corn Congress. Member Falwell gave a quick synopsis about the NCGA and this board's involvement with the organization at the national level.

Chairman Young then asked if there were any issues from Arkansas that needed to be brought forth at the NCGA Corn Congress by Member Falwell.

Member Falwell then mentioned that he as Arkansas delegate to Corn Congress plans on discussing the mandatory base acreage changes proposed by midwestern states, which Member Falwell says is not good for rice farmers/producers in Arkansas.

Chairman Young then proceeded to the next agenda item to discuss the board's determination of funding projects.

Chairman Young had a question for Dr. McKinney regarding inflated proposed prices of funding projects. The board requested some clarification from the UofA on how the inflated prices of research project proposals were being handled, and some discussion ensued.

Dr. McKinney clarified that the UofA investigators send him the research project proposals, showing him what exactly they are going to do and how much it will cost them to do it, on an annual basis, including for the renewal projects.

Dr. McKinney also mentioned that UofA has unspent funds around approximately \$159,000 as of 2/22/2024 but feels as though the board can expect a \$100,000 credit in unspent funds by the end of the fiscal year.

Chairman Young then asked the AR Department of Agriculture's CFO Inoussa Zaki to total the amount to fund existing continuation projects. Zaki answered that this total was \$768,556, which is \$9,542 more than the previous year.

Chairman Young then asked Zaki to total the new proposal projects amount. Zaki answered that this total amount would be \$495,934.

Chairman Young then asked Zaki what the grand total would be if the board funded all the existing and new projects. Zaki answered that this total amount would be \$1,224,490.

Chairman Young then asked Zaki what the board's total available funding for future projects. Zaki answered that the total amount was \$1,881,050.42.

Chairman Young then asked Member Dabbs how much reserve the board usually retains. Member Dabbs answered that it was usually around \$750,000.

This means that if all projects presented were funded, the board would have approximately \$656,560.42 in reserve for future projects.

Chairman Young then addressed the proposed promotion projects, referencing the department's excel spreadsheet, and instructing members to speak up if they object to any potential funding for a project they discuss.

The board decided to fund the NCGA for \$28,500.

The board decided to fund the US Grains Council for \$71,266.

The board decided to fund the US Grain Council's Export Exchange for \$15,000.

Chairman Young then asked the board for a motion to not fund the promotion projects from Decision Innovation Solutions.

### Moved by Kenny Falwell, seconded by Jason Felton.

### Motion carried.

Chairman Young then began discussion regarding The Communications Group proposals. Some discussion about the board's website use ensued, including from the AR Department of Agriculture's Director of Marketing & Communications, Amy Lyman.

Chairman Young then proposed that they only pay for the organic website plan proposal from The Communications Group for \$8,000, asking for a motion from board members.

### Moved by Kenny Falwell, seconded by Trent Dabbs.

#### Motion carried.

Chairman Young then asked for a motion to approve the \$49,900 neon sign promotion project to be installed at the Jackson County Extension Center for the AR Corn and Grain Sorghum Producers Building.

Discussion ensued.

### Moved by Kenny Falwell, Jason Felton seconded.

### Motion carried.

Chairman Young then requested an available funds update from Zaki, and discussion ensued.

Zaki informed the board that there was now \$189,828.42 available to fund future projects, maintaining the board's \$750,000 reserve. Zaki also told the board that they are forecasted to collect another \$300,000.

Chairman Young then proceeded to discuss the new research project proposal entitled, "Exploring Plasma-Activated Water for Enhanced Biocontrol of Aflatoxins and Diseases in Corn Plants." Some discussion about this project ensued. The board decided to shelve this project.

Chairman Young then proceeded to discuss the new research project proposal entitled, "Economic Analysis of Corn and Grain Sorghum Production and Marketing Practices," mentioning that this is a project that the board funds every year. The board decided to fund this project for \$5,777, adding it to their list of funded projects.

The board then proceed to discuss the new research project proposal entitled, "Corn Yield Forecast System for the US Mid-South." The board decided to not fund this project.

Chairman Young then proceeded to discuss the research project proposal entitled, "University of Arkansas System Division of Agriculture Feed Kits." The board decided to fund this project for \$2,500, adding it to their list of funded projects.

Chairman Young then proceeded to discuss the research project proposal entitled, "Use of Corn Protein Concentrate in Plant-Based Diets for Largemouth Bass to Optimize Production Sustainability and Profitability." The board discussed that they would like to add different species to this research, proposing to fund the project for \$50,000. The board also mentioned that they may call another meeting with the presenter to discuss what the board would like to see as part of their research project.

Chairman Young then proceeded to discuss the research project proposal entitled, "Remote sensing-based mid-season corn nitrogen fertilizer rate recommendations and web-tool extension." The board decided to fund this project for \$56,000, adding it to their list of funded projects.

Chairman Young then proceeded to discuss the research project proposal entitled, "Utilizing Plasma Technology to Inhibit Tannin Effects in Grain Sorghum for Enhanced Digestibility and Protein Quality." The board decided not to fund this project.

Chairman Young then proceeded to discuss the research project proposal entitled, "Improving Nitrogen Management for Arkansas Corn Production." Some discussion about this project ensued. The board decided to fund this project for \$78,547, adding it to their list of funded projects.

Chairman Young then proceeded to discuss the research project proposal entitled, "Determining Disease resistance and susceptibility of corn and grain sorghum hybrids." Some discussion ensued. The board decided to fund this project for \$40,000, adding it to their list of funded projects.

Chairman Young then proceeded to discuss the research project proposal entitled, "Developing a satellite-based field scouting tool for corn." Some discussion ensued. The board decided not to fund this project.

Chairman Young then proceeded to discuss the research project proposal entitled, "Generating high-value wax material from sorghum bran using an innovative green approach." The board decided to fund this project for \$42,761, adding it to their list of funded projects.

Chairman Young then proceeded to discuss the research project proposal entitled, "Development and verification of a stand counting application using drone imagery for research and production decision support." Some discussion ensued. The board decided to fund this project for \$19,660, adding it to their list of funded projects. Chairman Young then asked Zaki what the total remaining balance was, and Zaki answered that the board had \$492,911 in reserve.

Chairman Young then asked if there were any questions or concerns about the decisions they have made as a board, and there were none.

Some discussion ensued.

Chairman Young then asked for a motion to approve funding for both new and existing promotion and research projects as discussed by the board. This includes a funding amount of \$182,666 used for promotion and \$1,053,801 used for research, resulting in a total expenditure of \$1,236,467 for projects endorsed by this board.

### Motion by Kenny Falwell, seconded Trent Dabbs.

Motion carried.

Chairman Young then asked Zaki how much money this leaves the board with in-reserve. Zaki answered that this leaves the board with \$944,583.42 on reserve.

Chairman Young then mentioned that this was the best board meeting he had been to in a very long time. He also applauded the hard work from UofA researchers and investigators, and for the AR Department of Agriculture staff for putting on such a successful meeting.

Chairman Young also applauded the work of Dr. Nathan McKinney, mentioning that the board will dearly miss him, and wishing him luck on future endeavors in his retirement.

Dr. McKinney expressed a heartfelt thank you to the board. Dr. McKinney also mentioned that there is \$400,000 sitting in a dedicated account that is drawing interest for this board. He also mentioned that this account is considered a rainy-day fund to be included with what the board already has on reserve.

Chairman Young then asked for a motion to adjourn.

Moved by Kenny Falwell, seconded by Trent Dabbs.

Meeting adjourned.

### **ATTACHMENT 1**

		2018		2019		June		June		June		June		January		Forecast
<b>Beginning Fund Balance</b>	\$	906.520	\$	611 075	¢	2020	+	2021		2022		2023		2024		2024
	1		΄   Ψ	011,375	φ	540,103	\$	787,097	7   \$	1,386,425	\$	2,156,313	\$	2,483,014	\$	2,483,014
Revenue																
Gross Collections	\$	1,127,802	\$	1 068 141	¢	1 155 500		4 057 000								
Corn	\$	1.123.889	\$	1,000,141	4	1,100,000	\$	1,257,966	5 \$	1,516,473	\$	1,050,172	\$	715,378	\$	1,070,077
Grain Sorghum	\$	3.913	\$	7 191	¢	12 611	9	1,244,519	\$	1,450,919	\$	1,044,635	\$	708,745	\$	1,063,230
Rev & Troop Dont 200				7,101	Ψ	13,011	φ	13,447	\$	65,554	\$	5,538	\$	6,633	\$	6,847
nev. & neas. Dept. 3%	\$	36,090	\$	34,181	\$	36,979	\$	40,255	\$	48,527	\$	33,606	\$	22,531	\$	33 172
Other Income	\$	46,387	\$	1,770	\$	2.642	\$	-	\$	5 5 2 9	¢			,	+	00,172
Transfers to CGSPB (Net	\$	1 138 000	¢	1 025 720		4 4 9 4 9 7 4			Ψ	0,000	φ	-	\$	22,843	\$	
	ή Ψ	1,100,033	Ψ	1,035,730	\$	1,121,251	\$	1,217,711	\$	1,473,485	\$	1,016,567	\$	715,690	\$	1,036,905
l otal Revenue	\$	2,044,619	\$	1,647,706	\$	1,661,355	\$	2,004,807	\$	2.859.909	\$	3,172,880	¢	3 109 705	*	2 540 040
Expenses										, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	1 T	0,172,000	Ψ	3,130,705	φ	3,519,919
Payments to Research	\$	883.689	\$	943 000	\$	764 633	¢	400.075		100						
Payments to Research		,	Ť	040,000	Ψ	704,033	φ	482,275	\$	492,117	\$	965,315			\$	947,120
Payments to Research											\$	492,117	\$	10,000	\$	345,178
Preapproved Research	\$	400,000									\$	351,470			\$	1,255,007
AMCOE		-														- 11 - B
Grains Council																
Membership	\$	56,000	\$	58.000	\$	63 800	¢	66 250	4	07.070						1 month and
Promotion	\$	14,000		,	Ť	00,000	\$	12 000	φ	67,679	\$	68,525			\$	68,535
U.S. Grains Meeting							Ψ	12,000							\$	12,000
NCGA											\$	1,169				
Membership	\$	10,000	\$	11,500	\$	11,500	\$	12 000	¢	22.000		00.000				
Promotion	\$	2,734				,	\$	10,000	Ψ	22,000	Φ	28,000			\$	28,500
Commodity Classic	\$	7,792	\$	8,306	\$	4,506	Ť	10,000			¢	2 420				
AR Foundation for Ag						,					φ	2,438			\$	8,000
County Meetings	\$	5,000					\$	3.228	\$	10 000						
Ag in the Classroom	\$	15,000	\$	60,000				-,	\$	10,000	\$	10 000			\$	5,001
Producer Conference	\$	2,293							Ť	10,000	Ψ	10,000			\$	10,000
Other Promotion	\$	21,900	\$	22,250	\$	23,000	\$	30,000	\$	94,000	\$	271 500			ф Ф	5,001
Total Promotion	\$	134,719	\$	160,056	\$	102,806	\$	133,580	\$	203,679	\$	381,632	\$	_	¢	4,500
Board Travel Lodging	\$	3.009	\$	1 677	\$	3 240	¢	500			Ţ		Ŷ	-	φ	141,537
Board Travel Meals	\$	5.227	\$	1,858	\$	1 971	φ	528	\$	6,301	\$	4,133	\$	3,057	\$	7,001
Board Travel Other		-,,	Ť	1,000	Ψ	1,371					\$	1,771	\$	3,280	\$	10,001
Board Travel Mileage													\$	1,713		R. 16 19
Office Supplies													\$	313		
Postage													\$	45		
Admin Expenses													\$	-		
Board Exp.	\$	8,236	\$	3,535	\$	5.318	\$	528	\$	6 201	¢	5 004	\$	4,423		
Website	¢	6 000	¢				Ţ	020	Ψ	0,301	φ	5,904	\$	12,831	\$	17,002
WODDING .	φ	0,000	Φ	1,011	\$	1,500	\$	2,000	\$	1,500	\$	9,000	\$	2,526	\$	9,000
Total Board Exp.	\$	14,236	\$	4,547	\$	6,818	\$	2,528	\$	7,801	\$	14.904	\$	15.356	\$	26 002
Total Expenditures	¢	400 044	•												*	20,002
Outstanding Commitment	Φ	1,432,644	\$	1,107,602	\$	874,258	\$	618,383	\$	703,597	\$	2,205,438	\$	25,356	\$	2,714,844
Ending Fund Balance	\$	611 075	¢	E40 400	÷	707 00-							\$	1,292,298		
	¥	011,070	Ψ	540,103	φ	/8/,09/	\$	1,386,425	\$ 2	2,156,313	\$	967,442	\$	1,881,050	\$	805,075

### Corn and Grain Sorghum Board Financials

USDA NASS Gross Collections vs Arkansas Actual Gross Collections USDA NASS Arkansas acreage, yield, production, and check-off rate per bushel with estimated maximum gross collections for years 2011 to 2023

PRODUCTION YEAR FISCAL YEAR, JULY 01 - JUNE30	<u>2011</u> FY12	2012	<u>2013</u>	<u>2014</u>	2015	<u>2016</u>	<u>2017</u>	<u>2018</u>	<u>2019</u>	2020	2021	2022	2023*
CORN - ACRES PLANTED	560.000	710 000	000 000	FTID	FY16	FY17	FY18	FY19	FY20	FY21	FY22	FY23	FY24
CORN, GRAIN - ACRES HARVESTED	520,000	710,000	880,000	540,000	460,000	760,000	620,000	660,000	770,000	620.000	850 000	710 000	850 000
CORN, GRAIN - PRODUCTION, MEASURED IN BU	73 320,000	122 710 000	870,000	530,000	445,000	745,000	595,000	645,000	735,000	605,000	830,000	695,000	830,000
CORN, GRAIN - YIELD, MEASURED IN BU / ACRE	1/1	123,710,000	161,820,000	99,110,000	80,545,000	127,395,000	108,885,000	116,745,000	128,625,000	111.320.000	152 720 000	120 235 000	151 890 000
SORGHUM ACRES DI ANTED	141	1/0	186	187	181	171	183	181	175	184	184	173	192
SORGHUM GRAIN ACRES HADVEGTED	100,000	140,000	130,000	170.000	450,000	47 000	9,000	12 000	10.000	40.000			100
SORGHUM CRAIN - ACRES HARVESTED	90,000	135,000	125,000	165.000	440,000	44,000	7,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	87,000	8,984	
SORGHUM, GRAIN - PRODUCTION, MEASURED IN BU	6,480,000	11,340,000	12,750,000	16.005.000	43,120,000	3 212 000	546,000	770,000	10,000	10,000	87,000	8,984	12,227
CONCEPTION, GRAIN - FIELD, MEASURED IN BU / ACRE	72	84	102	97	98	73	78	770,000	700,000	700,000	6,090,000	628,880	978,160
CORN & SORGHUM - ACRES PLANTED	660.000	950.000	1 010 000			10	70		70	70	70	70	80
CORN & SORGHUM - ACRES HARVESTED	610,000	830,000	1,010,000	710,000	910,000	807,000	629,000	672,000	780,000	630,000	937,000	718 984	850.000
CORN & SORGHUM - PRODUCTION, MEASURED IN BU	79 800 000	125 050 000	995,000	695,000	885,000	789,000	602,000	655,000	745,000	615.000	917 000	703 984	842 227
RATE	10,000,000	135,050,000	1/4,5/0,000	115,115,000	123,665,000	130,607,000	109,431,000	117,515,000	129.325.000	112 020 000	158 810 000	120 863 880	152 969 460
Pato Arkanaga and a sub											100,010,000	120,000,000	152,000,100

Rate - Arkansas assessment at the rate of one cent (1¢) per bushel on all corn and grain sorghum grown within the state. 100% GROSS CORN & SORCHUM OC

					0070	0178	103%	91%	89%	112%	95%	87%	48%
TOWN TOWN TOWN IS GROUND COLLECTION	86%	88%	84%	86%	89%	810/	1020/	040/			1000000		
AR CORN AND GRAIN SORGHUM PROMOTION % GROSS COLLECTION	000/		1.12.12.1			+ .,,	\$1,121,110	φ1,000,040	φ1,100,092	φ1,257,078	\$1,515,170	\$1,050,922	\$738,221
ENDER OF COLLEGION	\$002,900	\$1,192,907	\$1,473,510	\$987,234	\$1,096,898	\$1.058.251	\$1 127 416	\$1 068 645	\$1 156 000	¢1 057 070	A 545 430		
AR CORN AND GRAIN SORGHUM PROMOTION GROSS COLLECTION	\$692 060	£1 100 007						1.1	+1,100,020	φ1,000,100	ψ1,423,230	\$1,007,175	\$1,375,813
	1.101200	\$1,210,400	ψ1,071,130	\$1,036,035	\$1,112,985	\$1,175,463	\$984,879	\$1.057.635	\$1 163 925	\$1 008 180	\$1 420 200	\$1 007 77F	\$4 075 010
GROSS CORN & SORGHUM COLLECTION	\$718,200	\$1 215 450	\$1 571 120	£1 000 005	\$1,111,010	φ1,240,707	φ1,039,090	\$1,110,393	\$1,228,588	\$1,064,190	\$1,508,695	\$1,148,207	\$1,452,248
90% CROSS CORN & COROLINI COLLECTION	\$758,100	\$1,282,975	\$1,658,415	\$1.093.593	\$1 174 818	\$1 240 767	\$1 020 505	¢1 110 000	\$4,000 E00	\$1,120,200	φ1,000,100	φ1,200,039	\$1,528,682
95% GROSS CORN & SORGHUM COLLECTION	\$750 400	1,000,000	\$1,140,100	\$1,151,150	\$1,230,650	\$1,306,070	\$1,094,310	\$1,175,150	\$1,293,250	\$1 120 200	\$1 588 100	\$1 200 620	\$4 E00 000
OUT ON ON ON A SURGHUM COLLECTION	\$798,000	\$1,350,500	\$1 745 700	¢1 151 150	#4 000 0F0	04 000 070							





Thank you for considering NCGA's 2024 funding proposal. NCGA recognizes that our ability to advance the corn industry is undoubtedly greater when we collaborate with state corn grower organizations. We value our partnership with each state corn grower association and understand it takes each of us working together to achieve our common

goals. We look forward to presenting this request to you fully at your upcoming meeting and encourage you to reach out if you have any questions or concerns before that time.

Harold Wolle NCGA President Matt Frostic NCGA Corn Board Member Arkansas Corn Growers Liaison

# NCGA FY2024 FUNDING REQUEST

Base Funding	\$14,000
Action Team Funding	\$14,500
TOTAL:	\$28,500

BASE FUNDING: Base funding supports the fundamental work of NCGA, including events like Corn Congress, NCGA leadership programs, membership growth, program staff salaries and some operating expenses including office space. Base funding does not include NCGA's federal advocacy efforts, which are funded through industry partner support and the National Corn Yield Contest.

ACTION TEAM FUNDING: NCGA's seven action teams drive the organization forward to reach its strategic goals. Each team, made up of grower leaders, focuses on developing programs that support a specific aspect of the corn industry.

## NCGA ACTION TEAMS

### Sustainable Ag Research

Translating research into solutions for growers and building a strong STEM workforce to support the agriculture sector.

### **Production Technology Access**

Ensure access to – and responsible stewardship of – crop protection products and other inputs.

### **Risk Management & Transportation**

Advocating on behalf of growers and the rural economy for effective market-oriented agriculture policies, infrastructure, and tax policy reform.

### **Market Development**

Growing demand through partnerships in the food and livestock industries, fostering new uses, and stabilizing international trade.

### **Stewardship**

Advance the sustainable production of U.S. corn.

### Ethanol

Ensuring corn growers continue to take a leadership role in the utilization, promotion, and advocacy of corn-based biofuels.

### Member & Consumer Engagement

Communicating with consumers on matters important to growers while growing membership and grower participation; creating new leaders; and building diversity of thought.



# In This Report

The National Corn Growers Association worked hard on behalf of corn growers in 2023, making strides in several key areas. NCGA's 2023 Impact Report proves the impact that's possible when our organizations work together. Contributions and leadership from state corn checkoffs, associations and individual growers made these and many other accomplishments possible.

 Protecting our largest export market by <u>holding Maxico to its trade commitments</u>, leading to an active dispute settlement.
Tirelessly advocating for corn grower priorities for the next <u>Farm Bill</u>, including nearly 8,000 corn advocate messages to Capitol Hill.

 Contracting expanded support for the Next Generation Fuels Act, with pledges of support from more than 20 national groups.













3 Income Statement				Arkans Corn & Grain Sorghu	Sas mBeard	23 Action	Arkansas Con & Grain Sorghum Board		1S J				
	Actuals (Aug 2023)	YTD Actuals (Oct 2022 - Aug 2023)	Budget (Oct 2022 - Sep 2023)	Amount Over/ (Under) Budget	% of Budget								
Income									Funding				
Checkoff Hevenue	\$904,195	\$14,853,032	\$15,886,173	(\$1,033,141)	93.50%		EV23 Deviced	Corrector		Total EV23		Restricted (Known Spend)	
Membership	\$40,994	51,182,744	\$1,195,500	(512,756)	98.93%	Team	Budget	from FY22	Commitments	Team Funding	Spend - YTD FY23	Remaining - FY24	4
Corp Viold Context Brunning	561,499	\$709,782	\$650,000	\$59,782	109.20%	Ethanol	\$2,778,046.00	\$4,524,338.38	\$3,070,090.00	\$7,594,428.38	\$(2,877,546.19)	\$(4,415,930.00)	1
NCGA CommonEty Classic	9409,500	5027,394 6990-147	\$925,000	(500, 100)	67.92%	Market Development	\$800,549.00	\$777,362.87	\$906,549.21	\$1,683,912.08	\$(997,839.37)	\$(300,000.00)	ьT
Grant (SHP)	\$1.917	\$145,869	5000,000	\$145,889	100.00%	Member & Consumer Economous	\$1 272 176 00	\$1 192 227 92	\$1.375.676.00	\$2,650,012,02	\$(1.467.780.74)	\$(575,000,00)	1
Interest Income	\$46,287	\$404,803	\$300.000	\$104,803	134,93%	internet a container Engegennen	01,070,170.00	01,100,201.00	01,010,010.00	02,000,010.00	0(1,407,700.74)	3(070,000.00)	4
Other	50	\$322,127	\$85,000	\$237,127	378.97%	Production Technology Access	\$221,217.00	\$246,897.63	\$221,217.00	\$468,114.63	\$(95,117.63)	\$-	•
Total Income	\$1,498,768	\$18,784,898	\$19,541,673	(\$756,775)	96.13%	Risk Management & Transportatio	\$175,000.00	\$631,151.20	\$175,000.00	\$806,151.20	\$(195,147.79)	\$(160,000.00)	1
Expenses						Sustainable Ag Research	\$660.000.00	\$556,966.08	\$844,273,30	\$1,401,239,38	\$(916,600,20)	\$(250.000.00)	δŢ
Total Team Programs	\$551,930	\$5,823,186	\$6,413,173	(\$589,987)	90.80%	Characteria (	E40E 10E 00	£408 880 07	£603 333 88	£1.110.001.0E	\$10.40 ALL ED.	£(450,000,00)	
Total Association Programs	\$507,147	\$3,535,308	\$4,106,977	(\$571,669)	86.08%	Stewardship	3403,185.00	3420,008.07	3093,333.88	31,119,091.95	3(0+0,011.00)	3(450,000.00)	1
Total Human Resources	\$483,432	\$5,637,726	\$7,094,544	(\$1,456,818)	79.47%	Total	\$6,413,173.00	\$8,346,512.06	\$7,286,139.39	\$15,632,651.45	S(7,196,043.47)	\$(6,150,930.00)	
Total Property & Equipment	\$61,076	\$736,569	\$712,650	\$23,919	103.38%								
Total Operating Expenses	\$55,699	\$627,060	\$885,790	(\$258,730)	70.79%								
Total Expenses	\$1,659,284	\$16,359,849	\$19,213,134	(\$2,853,285)	85.15%								
Net Income	(\$160,516)	\$2,425,049	\$328,539	\$2,096,510	738.13%	°Pro	ected FY23 Acti	on Team expen	ses and FY24 ca	rryover as of C	ctober 2023. A	final FY23	































### **ATTACHMENT 3**











### FY25 USGC Funding Request

To meet these goals, in FY2024, the USGC respectfully requests the Arkansas Corn & Grain Sorghum Board consider the following:

funding a total of 71,266. This is a 4% increase from last year's base contribution.













































January 30, 2024

Dear Scott, Tyler and the Arkansas Corn and Grain Sorghum Board,

On behalf of the Board of Directors of the U.S. Grains Council, I am submitting the enclosed funding request for your consideration. Your previous investment in the U.S. Grains Council has helped increase demand for U.S. sorghum, corn, ethanol and co-products around the world – and increased profitability for farmers in Arkansas and around the country.

For fiscal year 2024, the U.S. Grains Council respectfully requests the Arkansas Corn and Grain Sorghum Board increase its base funding to \$71,266 which is a 4 percent increase from last year's contribution.

The Council greatly appreciates your continued support of our programs through funding and via guidance provided through Advisory Team and participation.

If you have any questions about the proposal, please feel free to contact me.

Best,

Ky 12

Ryan LeGrand President and CEO





### Growing the Future: FY2024 Request For Funding

As our world adapts to increasing inflation costs, supply chain disruptions and transportation issues, the U.S. Grains Council is continuing to work on behalf of U.S. farmers to educate and promote the use of U.S. grains in all forms. Future customers on six continents are being born today – which is why engagement to build and maintain market share is critical now. Our theme of *Growing the Future* reinforces our focus on programs that will move bushels of grain today and for generations to come. We are proud to work collaboratively with our partners in the corn industry like Arkansas Corn and Grain Sorghum and we look forward to working together in the future to *Develop Markets, Enable Trade and Improve Lives.* 

A study conducted by the Council showed that in 2021 Arkansas' grain and grain product exports were valued at \$189 million – creating a total of \$728 million in economic output across the Arkansas economy and supporting more than 4,579 jobs in the state. The Council actively works to present U.S. farmers with opportunities for export growth, which benefits their bottom lines and agriculture as a whole. To continue and expand this progress in FY 2024, USGC respectfully requests the Arkansas Corn and Grain Sorghum Board increase its base funding to \$71,266 which is a 4.0 percent increase from last year's request.

The successes USGC can win for Arkansas farmers stem directly from our global network of dedicated professionals working daily with our members and customers to promote corn, ethanol, DDGS and more. Through a full-time presence in more than 28 locations and programs in more than 50 countries and the EU, we are carrying out this work on your behalf.







### **Demand Growth Lives Overseas**

Consider this: more than 95 percent of the world's population lives outside the United States. More than 97 percent of the anticipated population growth over the next 35 years will take place outside of U.S. borders, much of it in Asia and Africa, where the Council has a strong presence. Future customers on six continents are being born today – which is why engagement to build market share is critical now.

### **Council's Southeast Asia Office Hosts Agricultural Co-operators Conference**



For the first time since the onset of the COVID-19 pandemic, the U.S. Grains Council (USGC), together with the U.S. Soybean Export Council (USSEC), held their annual Southeast Asia U.S. Agricultural Cooperators Conference (ACC) in person, in Bangkok, Sept. 20-22. This premier regional

event brought together key industry representatives, trade and agricultural association leaders, regional government officials, U.S. agricultural co-operators and related U.S. government agencies, U.S. corn and soybean grower leaders, U.S. corn and soybean members and stakeholders to receive critical market updates, learn about new product offerings and regional policy changes; in addition to reconnecting with trading partners not seen for two years.

With more than 300 in-person attendees, ACC exceeded participation expectations. Customers were very eager to meet in person again considering over the last two years there have been major market developments, including the influx of higher protein meals derived from corn, phytosanitary resolutions in Thailand and corn tariff reductions in the Philippines. U.S. Corn and soybean growers were also provided the opportunity to share their on-the-ground perspective of the 2022 crop. Southeast Asia is a growing and dynamic region for corn, corn co-products and grains in all forms. There are similarities among the countries and markets in the Southeast Asia region, but each market also has its own needs and requirements. Following the program, the Council distributed a market survey to gather information about perception of this event. Exit surveys are critical to ensure the Council remains relevant to its stakeholders. These surveys also allow the Council to quantify the high volume of trades that occur during this cornerstone conference.





### **Continuing to Engage with Mexico**

Mexico continues to be one of the top markets for U.S. corn, sorghum, barley and related co-products. In 2021/2022, Mexico imported nearly 27.7 million metric tons of grains in all forms. Given the many policy related challenges in this important market, the Council's work to defend this market is critical. Here a few highlights of the most recent programs from fall 2022.

Educational Programming to Strengthen Relationships – In the last four years the Council has been building its relationship with SuKarne, Mexico's largest cattle producer, as the company looks to improve its processes, gain greater confidence in the quality of U.S. Corn, and overall support the U.S. corn industry further through feed utilization. In October of 2022, the Council staff in Mexico set up a training to help the group's quality control team



learn to identify and classify the type of corn damage and it's usability. The Council and SuKarne began working together following a Corn Export Cargo Quality Report presentation when the company requested additional assistance and training from the Council following difficulties with broken corn and foreign materials (BCFM) in its imports. As the Council works to build upon SuKarne's knowledge of the quality of U.S. corn, it would also like to introduce the use of DDGS to the company. The Council looks forward to continuing its partnership with SuKarne and other emerging Mexican markets.



### Export Exchange 2022 Leads To \$225 Million In Grain And Co-Product Sales

The Export Exchange conference hosted this fall by the U.S. Grains Council (USGC), Growth Energy and the Renewable Fuels Association (RFA) is already paying dividends, according to new surveys of overseas grain buyers who attended to the tune of \$225 million worth of grain and ethanol co-

product sales. Buyers and end-users were surveyed after the conference if they made purchase agreements with sellers and how much volume was purchased. In total, attendees reported sales of just over \$225 million with another \$128 million under negotiation. That equates to 514,850 metric tons of grains and co-products traded either at the conference or immediately before or after.

The top grain traded during the two-day conference was corn, with 208,800 metric tons




collectively exchanged, followed by distiller's dried grains with solubles (DDGS), with 156,400 metric tons exchanged. Export Exchange 2022 offered attendees a unique opportunity to meet and build relationships with domestic suppliers of corn, DDGS, sorghum, barley and other commodities. More than 200 international buyers and end-users of coarse grains and co-products from more than 35 countries were in Minneapolis for the conference, held Oct. 12 to 14, and for related tours of U.S. farms, ethanol plans and export infrastructure as part of Council trade teams.

Trade is absolutely critical to U.S. farmers right now, and these sales show that buyers attending Export Exchange 2022 took the buying opportunities very seriously. Putting buyers and sellers together, building and sustaining relationships with our top global grain buyers have been hallmarks of Council activities worldwide. We are thrilled to see how much actual trade was done at the show and in association with it. Other grains traded at Export Exchange included:

- Corn Gluten Meal—24,250 metric tons;
- Sorghum—20,800 metric tons; and
- Soybean Meal 77,000 metric tons.

The Export Exchange conference provides an ideal forum for continued relationship building among trading partners.

# Benefits of U.S. Sorghum Studied in Spanish Swine Diets

To encourage end users to incorporate sorghum into their feed rations, the U.S. Grains Council partnered with a renowned commercial research institution, Animal Data Analytics (ADA), to conduct a trial showcasing the performance of sorghum in swine diets. Jace Hefner, USGC manager of global trade, travelled to Segovia, Spain to observe the trial's commencement.

Feeding trials are one of the most effective ways to market raw materials as feedstocks, since feed millers need to see the impact on their bottom line before buying a premium product. Without a thorough understanding of the return on investment, producers remain hesitant to consider alternatives to their locally available feedstocks.



"The Council is excited to partner with ADA to facilitate this trial," Hefner said. "This measurement of sorghum's efficacy in swine diets will not only serve to bolster its feed grain use in the Iberian Peninsula, but in markets around the world with elevated quality standards for pork products."

The trial consists of 288 pigs that will receive three separate diets: a traditional Spanish control diet of wheat and barley, a corn-based diet, and a sorghum-centric diet. The animals will be fed over the course of 77 days, including 28 days in the growing phase





and 49 in the finishing phase. Upon the trial's completion in February 2024, diet effects on health, performance, fat firmness, meat quality and color and environmental impact will be measured and aggregated.

Integrating sorghum into Iberian pig feeds has historically shown superior performance to competing feedstocks in global markets, particularly when compared to those native to Spain and its nearby trade partners.

"There is no doubt about the quality of U.S.-grown sorghum, and the Council's tireless support of agricultural research and testing continues to show its value to importers and international producers," Hefner said.

### Thank you!

As the Council looks to seize these challenges and aggressively pursue these opportunities, we need the robust engagement of our members. We are grateful for our longstanding partnership with the Arkansas Corn and Grain Sorghum Board in these efforts and look forward to continuing and enhancing these efforts in FY2024 and beyond.

# **ATTACHMENT 4**



To: Tommy Young, Chairman, Arkansas Corn and Grain Sorghum Promotion Board

From: Spencer Parkinson, Decision Innovation Solutions

Date: October 31, 2023

Re: Introduction to Decision Innovation Solutions (DIS)

#### Greetings Tommy:

To my knowledge we've not met but hope to have the pleasure of doing so soon. DIS is an economic analytics firm based in Des Moines, Iowa that, in part, delivers unique insights and analyses to agricultural organizations to advance the interests of their members. DIS delivers top shelf studies and targeted presentations by combining decades of industry insight with proprietary models and methodology honed over the past 16 years. Since our founding in 2007, DIS has earned an excellent reputation for flexibility, integrity, and responsiveness with organizations throughout the United States.

A few of us on the DIS team recently visited with Scott Bray at the Arkansas Department of Agriculture. During our conversation, we learned more of his new role with your board and were given a chance to describe some of the exciting work we're currently engaged in and many of the projects we've completed (either directly with or in conjunction with other state commodity organizations) for other state corn boards (i.e., Iowa, South Dakota, Minnesota, Missouri, Kansas and Illinois).

On the national level, we've completed projects for the National Corn Growers Association, the Iowa Renewable Fuels Association, the American Feed Industry Association, National Grain and Feed Association, the Pet Food Institute, United Soybean Board (often several projects per year since 2014), North American Renderers Association and the National Biodiesel Board (now the Clean Fuels Alliance). Additionally, we've had the pleasure of working with several export organizations such as the U.S. Soybean Export Council, USA Poultry and Egg Export Council, and through a partnership with NCGA, the U.S. Grains Council.

Following my signature, I have included several examples of work we've recently completed or are completing. We invite you and your board to review them and consider giving us the opportunity to visit about how our work would benefit Arkansas soybean farmers.

We thank you for your time and very much look forward to an opportunity to talk with you and the rest of the Arkansas Corn and Grain Sorghum Promotion Board about your specific research needs. Please don't hesitate to reach out with any initial questions or comments.

Spence



Spencer Parkinson President, CEO and Founding Member Decision Innovation Solutions, LLC 11107 Aurora Ave Urbandale, IA 50322 O: 515.639.2900 / M: 515.639.2901 Email | Website | DIS Insights Blog



# **Decision Innovation Solutions Selected Work Samples**

Below is a sample of projects recently completed for our clients. These projects typically utilize at least one of our core competencies: **Economic Impact and Contribution Analysis, Feasibility and Due Diligence, Market Analytics, Policy Analysis and Spatial and Time Series Analysis**. As we work with our clients, our ultimate goal is to provide them with all data, analytics, and in many cases, custom-built tools, to make sound business decisions.

# **Policy Analysis**

**Global Macroeconomic Indicators for the Poultry Meat Industry (2022)** | *International Poultry Council.* The International Poultry Council represents the poultry meat sector on a wide range of subjects from policy to communications on the economic impact and contribution of the sector. The goal of this study was to provide an overview of the global poultry meat industry (chickens, ducks and turkeys) with an emphasis on the contributions of the poultry meat output of IPC members to regional and global economies. The report focused on three major areas: demographics, economics and market analytics.

**Foreign Animal Disease Response Infrastructure and Needs Assessment (2022)** | *Iowa Pork Producers Association.* The purpose of this project was to begin a comprehensive assessment of what is available and what is needed to respond to and deal with a foreign animal disease outbreak in Iowa, including, potentially, a total depopulation of hogs. This evaluation of current assets in Iowa for response will help Iowa hog producers, IPPA and other stakeholders determine what gaps there would be in successfully responding to and implementing a full depopulation of Iowa's hog inventory. The report generated from the assessment and case study outcomes is designed to be usable by the stakeholders to begin identifying needs and addressing gaps in research, data, and/or infrastructure.

**Impact of Idling Productive Cropland on Rural Communities (2021)** | *National Grain and Feed Association*. This study sought to provide an estimate of the economic contribution of grain and feed production at the U.S. and state levels. We also studied the reduction in cropland acreage since 1982 because of urban encroachment and other factors. A final component addressed the economic impact and ripple effects on rural communities from idling productive cropland. A "model rural community" was studied in-depth to better understand the impact at the community level.

Local Meat Processor Expansion – Hogs, Fed Cattle, and Slaughter Cow Draw Summary (2020) | Illinois Farm Bureau. This project estimated the economic impact of expansion of existing or location of new meat processing facilities. A second part of the project used the DIS commodity flow methodology to model the draw of Hogs, Fed Cattle and Slaughter Cows in and through the state of Illinois and twelve neighboring states.

**Increased Biodiesel Production and Usage in Missouri (2020)** | *Biodiesel Coalition of Missouri*. Analyzed the impact of additional production and usage of biodiesel in Missouri. Impact on soybean oil requirements, soybean and biodiesel movement (using an adapted form of our "commodity flow" methodology used for modeling movement of agricultural commodities), soybean processors, biodiesel producers was determined. Once an estimate of the volumetric change in biodiesel was determined, an economic impact study was conducted to estimate the economic impact of a larger biodiesel industry in Missouri.



Environmental and Economic Impacts of Global, Non-Science Based Maximum Residue Limit (MRL) Policies on the U.S. Corn Industry (2020) | National Corn Growers Association. This project assessed which countries/regions are the most likely to adopt non-science based MRL policies and how U.S. corn exports would be impacted by those policies. Second, determined the potential economic impact to the U.S. corn industry if farmers must cease use of a product and choose an alternative product or change management practices to deal with pest pressures. Third, estimated the potential environmental impact(s) if farmers ceased use of a product and chose an alternative product(s) or management practices. Finally estimating the potential economic impact to the U.S. corn industry if an export market is no longer accessible due to asynchronous or unattainable MRL policies. **Return on Investment (ROI) of Checkoff Funds and Membership Dues (2016-Current) |** *Multiple Commodity Organizations.* Entities who collect checkoff funds or membership dues are often tasked with demonstrating the value that accrues to contributors. Using expenditure data and defining what initiatives were undertaken with the funds, DIS has developed methodology that is used to objectively quantify the financial impact an organization is able to have in many areas, including domestic promotion, trade, demand enhancement and policy development and implementation.

# **Economic Impact and Contribution**

**Economic Contributions of Iowa Agriculture (2009, 2014, 2019 and Forthcoming)** | *Multiple Iowa Organizations.* In 2009, DIS updated a project originally conducted by Iowa State University in 2005. This project dealt with quantifying the importance of agriculture at the county, federal congressional district, and state levels.

Animal Agriculture Economic Impact and Soybean Meal Demand Analysis (annually from 2014-Forthcoming) | United Soybean Board (USB). This multi-year project annually estimates the economic impact of animal agriculture at the state and national levels. It also annually quantifies the volume of soy-related ingredients fed to major livestock and poultry by species, by stage of life, by state and region.

**Economic Contribution of Animal Feed/Pet Food Production (2017 and 2023)** | *American Feed Industry Association (<u>https://www.afia.org/</u>). This report estimated the economic contribution of animal feed/pet food production for the U.S., every U.S. federal congressional district and every U.S. state. This analysis was used to educate federal lawmakers on the local impact of a key component of agriculture. We are currently in the midst of an update to the original work completed in 2017.* 

**Economic Contribution & Economic Impact Analysis of the Pork Industry in Key States (2021)** | *National Pork Board*. This analysis studied the economic contribution of the pork industry at the state level for seven key pork-producing states (CO, IN, KS, NE, OK, SD and WI). Historical and current context/trends of the pork industry were presented. Economic impact studies for new sow and finishing farms were also provided for the study areas. Another key component of this analysis was a statistical analysis of what impact location of livestock farms has on home and land values. A similar effort was completed for nine additional U.S. states in 2022. Separate analyses have been conducted for IA for the Iowa Pork Producers Association and for IL for a multi-species group.

**Economic Contributions of South Dakota Agriculture (2014, 2019 and 2021)** | *Multiple South Dakota Organizations.* DIS completed the South Dakota Agriculture Economic Contribution Study at the state, federal congressional district (same as state) and county levels in 2014. The state level analysis was updated in 2019. The state and county levels were updated in July of 2021 in project co-sponsored by the South Dakota Corn Growers Association, Ag United for South Dakota and the Department of Agriculture and Natural Resources. Enterprise (dairy, hog and ethanol plant) economic impact studies were also provided.



**Economic Contributions of Missouri Agriculture and Forestry (2016 and 2021)** | *Missouri Department of Agriculture, Missouri Farm Bureau and the Missouri Agricultural & Small Business Development Authority.* This study was done at the state, federal congressional district and county levels.

**Economic Impact of Moving the South Dakota Fairgrounds (2020)** | DIS worked with a local business entity to estimate the impact of moving the state fair from its current location to a newer location.

**Economic Contributions of Minnesota Agriculture and Forestry (2020)** | *Twenty-four Minnesota agriculture and forestry organizations led by the Minnesota Agri-Growth Council*. This study was done at the state, federal congressional district and county levels and quantified the economic contribution of Minnesota agriculture and forestry.

**Economic Impact Studies (2020)** | *National Pork Producers Council*. Three geographic regions with significant pork production were identified. An economic impact study for sow production and hog finishing farms was then conducted for each of these three regions.

**Economic Impact of COVID on Pork Processing in Key Pork Producing States (2020)** | *National Pork Board*. When COVID caused pork processing in Minnesota, Iowa, South Dakota and Indiana to curtail their slaughtering and processing of hogs, significantly negative economic effects were felt throughout the pork production and processing industries. We estimated the economic impact of this at five major processors: Delphi, IN, Logansport, IN, Sioux Falls, SD, Waterloo, IA and Worthington, MN. A similar study was conducted for pork processing in the State of Illinois at approximately the same time.

**Economic Contribution and Economic Impact Analysis of the Pork Industry in Iowa (2020)** | *Iowa Pork Producers Association.* This analysis studied the economic contribution of the pork industry at the state level and 35 counties. IA was completed because of its large production of market hogs. Historical and current context/trends of the pork industry were presented. Economic impact studies for new sow and finishing farms were also provided at the state level.

**Economic Contributions of Illinois Agriculture (2015 and 2019)** | *Multiple Illinois Organizations*. DIS completed the Illinois Agricultural Economic Contribution Study at the state, county and congressional district level. This study also included detailed "breakout" studies for some of the state commodity associations. An update was completed in late 2019.

**Economic Contribution of Animal Feed/Food Production (2017)** | *American Feed Industry Association.* This report estimated the economic contribution of animal feed/food production for the U.S., every U.S. federal congressional district and every U.S. state. This was analysis used to educate federal lawmakers on the local impact of a key component of agriculture.

**Economic Contributions of Alabama Agriculture and Forestry (2016)** | *Auburn University.* DIS completed the Economic Contributions of Alabama Agriculture and Forestry Study by working with Auburn University to update and enhance a study previously conducted by the University of Florida. The study was completed at the state, federal congressional district and county levels.



# **Spatial and Time Series Analysis**

Analysis of Projected Growth in Soybean Meal Production and the Animal Ag Industry (2023) | Nebraska Soybean Association. Domestic soybean crush capacity is expanding in response to increased demand for soybean oil for renewable fuels (biodiesel, renewable diesel and sustainable aviation fuel). New plants have been announced in Norfolk and David City, Nebraska that would add more than 90 million bushels of new crush capacity to that already existing in Nebraska. Other plants have been proposed in neighboring states. Considering the potential for significant expansion of soybean crush for soybean oil use in renewable fuels, we are working to understand how relative price changes for SBM in NE and U.S. markets may impact soybean meal inclusion rates, projected overall consumption of soybean meal in NE and U.S. livestock and poultry feed, and the resulting SBM that may be channeled into export markets

Analysis of Regional Soy Processing in Rural Areas (2023) | Kansas Soybean Commission. Over time, soybean growing areas, as well as regional soybean meal consumption, experience change. These shifts in both production and consumption patterns can result in inefficient processing gaps and leave producers with reduced marketing choices and/or excessive transportation costs for soybeans-to-processing and soybean meal-to-consumption. Regional processors may provide a sustainable approach to meeting those interests. This research helps leverage a business model that includes data, variables and relationships, business simulation and risk analysis will assist potential investors and other stakeholders in determining the feasibility and sustainability of building and operating a regional soybean processing facility.

Kansas Agribusiness Commodity Flow Study (2022) | Kansas Department of Agriculture. Using Kansas and neighboring states as the primary study area, we determined the flow of seventeen primary farm commodities, feed ingredients and renewable fuel commodities from farm to feeding, processing and international trade. This was enabled by our creation of our "Dynamic Flow Analysis" methodology, initially developed for a project in Missouri. We also analyzed the change in commodity flows from the construction of a new soybean crush facility in southeast Kansas.

**Impact of CP-KCS Merger on U.S. Wheat Movement (2021)** | *U.S. Wheat Associates*. Recent action taken at both railroads have cleared the way for a merger between the Canadian Pacific (CP) and Kansas City Southern (KCS) railroads. With significant domestic usage and large volumes destined for international locations, U.S. wheat growers are one set of stakeholders who will be impacted by the merger. Additionally, Canadian wheat growers in the prairie provinces are expected to be impacted by the merger. How wheat growers in the U.S. and Canada will be impacted by the merger was the subject of this analysis.

**Commodity Flow and Infrastructure Analysis (2019)** | *Missouri Soybean Merchandising Council*. Using Missouri and peripheral crop reporting districts as the primary study area, we determined the flow of four primary farm commodities (corn, soybeans, grain sorghum and wheat) from farm to feeding, processing and international trade. This was enabled by our creation of our "Dynamic Flow Analysis" methodology. We also analyzed the cost-benefit of improving infrastructure (deficient bridges) key to delivery of farm commodities and what the economic impact of deficiencies in bridges would be if not remedied.

# **Market Analytics**

**Global Macroeconomic Indicators for the Poultry Meat Industry (2022)** | *International Poultry Council.* The International Poultry Council represents the poultry meat sector on a wide range of subjects from policy to communications on the economic impact and contribution of the sector. The goal of this study was to provide an overview of the global poultry meat industry (chickens, ducks and turkeys) with an emphasis on the contributions of the poultry meat output of IPC members to regional and global economies. The report focused on three major areas: demographics, economics and market analytics.



<u>Animal Feed Consumption Report (2017 and 2021)</u> American Feed Industry Association (AFIA) and the Institute for Feed Education and Research (IFEEDER). The first report of its kind in the U.S., the objective of this project was to estimate manufactured animal feed/pet food usage by animal species by life stage by state and region.

**Missouri River Utilization Study (2021)** | *Soybean Transportation Coalition* DIS and Bujanda & Allen were engaged to conduct a study on utilization of the Missouri River entitled "Missouri River -An Underutilized Link in the Soybean Supply Chain". The study included a historical analysis of the river's performance and the potential for improvements to improve its stability and duration of navigation periods.

Animal Agriculture Economic Impact and Soybean Meal Demand Analysis (annually from 2014-Forthcoming) | United Soybean Board (USB). This multi-year project annually estimates the economic impact of animal agriculture at the state and national levels. It also annually quantifies the volume of soy-related ingredients fed to major livestock and poultry by species, by stage of life by state and region.

<u>Pet Food Production and Ingredient Analysis (2020)</u> | In a report published in 2020 by our threeparty-client (American Feed Industry Association, Pet Food Institute and North American Renderers Association), we studied the quantities and values of ingredients used in production of the nation's dog and cat foods.

**Market Research for USA Poultry & Eggs in Sub-Saharan Africa (2020)** | *USA Poultry & Egg Export Council.* The goal of this project was to identify the market potential for USA poultry & egg products in the Sub-Saharan African countries with particular emphasis on Nigeria, Senegal, Tanzania and Kenya. The DIS team collaborated with USAPEEC representatives in conducting the research.

# **Feasibility and Due Diligence**

**Clark County Port Strategic Plan/Market Study (2022)** | *Northeast Missouri Regional Planning Commission.* The subject of this project was to .

**Comprehensive Market Study – Multi-Modal Port (2020)** | *Heartland Port Authority.* The subject of this project was to estimate the draw area and draw volumes of various types of cargoes that could realistically be exported from a new port on the Missouri River in central Missouri. Feasibility of the business plan was conducted, along with forecasts of likely tonnage estimates utilizing the inland port.

**Comprehensive Market Study – Container on Barge Facility in Brunswick, MO (2020)** | *AGRIServices of Brunswick.* This study was completed for the client to support a request a project designation for a container on barge (COB) facility at the client's existing port facility. The study included market, economic, and feasibility analysis. Dynamic Flow Analysis and Freight Rate Analysis were used to complete the study.

**ATTACHMENT 5** 













## **SUMMARY OF UPDATES (2/4)**

- 11. Removed media page and added Contact Page to main nav bar
- 12. Added section about board members to about page with link to board members page 13. Added checkoff fund info to about page
- 14. Updated copy to make evergreen on Verification Program and Drying, Storage and Safety pages
- 15. Updated board members page to include some info about the board and updated titles
- 16. Created and implemented SEO-friendly page titles and descriptions
- 17. Unpublished pages that weren't being displayed
- 18. Added new plugin to display board members' photo on homepage. Added captions so names/titles could be displayed. And added button to link to board members page
- 19. Added button on homepage that links to more facts and FAQs

7

20. Updated facts on homepage and some copy

#### **SUMMARY OF UPDATES (3/4)** 21. Created AR Ag Leaders Page 22. Created AR Irrigation Contest Page

- 23. Created NCGA Page
- 24. Updated facts on about page
- 25. Add new pages to menu and removed UA Poultry Short Course page
- 26. Created new charts and updated allocations page
- 27. Added link to Crop Budgeting Tools page and updated copy
- 28. Optimized board member photos
- 29. Fixed issue where header images didn't display on mobile
- 30. Updated year in footer
- 8





10





11





<section-header><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item>













# social media Content Ideas

- Field Updates: Share photos and videos of fields in progress, showcasing different sorghum growth, from planting to harvest.
   Board Member Spotlights: Highlight farmers serving on the board periodically.
   News/Announcements: Post updates on relevant agricultural news, policy change from the Arkansas Corn & Sorghum Board.
- Market Updates: Provide regular updates or to keep farmers informed.
- to keep farmers informed. Sustainability Tips: Share tips and best practic health, and eco-friendly pest management. Event Highlights: Cover agricultural events, highlights for those who couldn't attend. Research Highlights: Share the latest insights and the tips are soft to be the foreasticity of
- Resources: Post infographics, articles, and v
- techniques, technology, and research findings. Seasonal Advice: Offer timely advice and reminc crop rotation strategies. Q&A Sessions: Host regular Q&A sessions with e
- nt: Share opportunitie



# RECOMMENDATION ONE Organic Social

- Account Setup and Optimization
   Creation and optimization of the Facebook Page, including profile setup, bio, and initial
   strategy to encourage early followers.
   Integration of Facebook with the website for seamless content sharing and traffic
   reference of the second se

- redirection. Content Strategy & Creation (2-3 Posts Weekly) Engagement and Community Management Daily monitoring and management of the Facebook Page and Group to foster a vibrant community. • Prompt response to comments, messages, and inquiries to build relationships and encourage active participation. • Analytics and Monthly Reporting

One-time Setup Investment: \$1,000 Monthly Investment: \$1,800

© 2024 | Prepared by The Communications Group | www.cor

Optional: \$1,000 monthly ad budget for limited time specifically targeting Arkansas corn and sorghum growers to encourage them to follow the page.



# RECOMMENDATION TWO

Ad Campaign Strategy
 Creative Development
 Campaign Setup and Management
 Continuous Optimization & Monitoring
 Monthly Analytics and Reporting

Monthly Recommended Ad Spend: \$1,000 - \$1,500 Monthly Management Investment: \$1,000

© 2024 | Prepared by The Communications Group | www.comgroup.com

#### **Benefits**

D-A-K

Enhanced Reach and Visibility: Combining paid social media with organic efforts amplifies content reach, ensuring visibility to a broader, targeted audience beyond organic limitations.
 Accelerated Growth: Paid campaigns can quickly scale up audience growth, complementing organic strategies by attracting new followers and potential customers more rapidly.
 Improved Targeting and Segmentation: Paid social allows for precise targeting based on demographics, interests, and behavior, enhancing the effectiveness of both organic and paid content by reaching those most likely to engage.

**X** 

# RECOMMENDATION THREE

Annual Hosting Fees - \$2,000 per year
Website Management, Optimizations & Analytics - \$500 per month

#### **Benefits**

 Continuous Improvement: Monthly management ensures your website remains up-to-date, secure, and aligned with the latest web standards and technologies.
 Data-Driven Decisions: Regular analytics review can help inform strategic adjustments for improved outcomes



# Discussion

10

2

# **ATTACHMENT 6**



## Arkansas Corn and Grain Sorghum Promotion Board

## **Table of Contents**

2024 2025	Duanaaula	for Frindlin	a Falancian		2021
1014-1015	Pronosais	tor Fundin	10 – Februar	v //	/1//4
20212020	1100000000	,	ig icolual	,,	

Page Number New	PI and Title	2023-2024 Funded	2024-2025 Proposals
5	<b>Exploring Plasma-Activated Water for Enhanced Biocontrol of Aflatoxins and Diseases in Corn Plants (New, Year 1 of 3).</b> <i>G. Atungulu, M. Rahman and B. Bluhm</i>	\$0	\$63,195
9	Economic Analysis of Corn and Grain Sorghum Production and Marketing Practices (New, Year 1 of 1). <i>B. Deaton</i>	\$0	\$5,777
13	<b>Corn Yield Forecast System for the US Mid-South (New, Year 1 of 3).</b> <i>E. Elli, J. Kelley and G. Drescher</i>	\$0	\$56,652
17	University of Arkansas System Division of Agriculture Feed Kits (New, Year 1 of 3). A. Harman	\$0	\$2,500
21	Use of Corn Protein Concentrate in Plant-Based Diets for Largemouth Bass to Optimize Production Sustainability and Profitability (New, Year 1 of 1). <i>R. Lochmann</i>	\$0	\$32,741
25	Remote sensing-based mid-season corn nitrogen fertilizer rate recommendations and web-tool extension (New, Year 1 of 3). A. Poncet, T. Roberts and J. Kelley	\$0	\$56,000
29	Utilizing Plasma Technology to Inhibit Tannin Effects in Grain Sorghum for Enhanced digestibility and Protein Quality (New, Year 1 of 3). <i>M. Rahman and G. Atungulu</i>	\$0	\$43,101
33	Improving Nitrogen Management for Arkansas Corn Production (New, Year 1 of 3).	\$0	\$78,547
	T. Roberts, J. Kelley and G. Dresher		
37	Determining disease resistance and susceptibility of corn and grain sorghum hybrids (New, Year 1 of 3). T. Spurlock, J. Kelley, C. Nicolli and J. Carlin	\$0	\$40,000
41	<b>Developing a satellite-based field scouting tool for corn (New, Year 1 of 3).</b> <i>T. Spurlock and J. Kelley</i>	\$0	\$15,000
45	Generating a high-value wax material from sorghum bran using an innovative green approach (New, Year 1 of 3). A. Ubeyitogullari	\$0	\$42,761
49	Development and verification of a stand counting application using drone imagery for research and production decision support. J. Davis and J. Kelley	\$0	\$19,660
Renewals Agronomics			
53	Optimizing Plant Population and Nitrogen Rate in Corn (Year 3 of 3).	\$31,000	\$34,000

	J. Kelley and T. Roberts		
57	<b>Cover Crops in Corn Rotations- What Works and What Doesn't? (Year 2 of 3).</b> <i>T. Roberts, J. Kelley and G. Drescher</i>	\$46,599	\$39,729
Diseases			
61	Towards a comprehensive aflatoxin solution: Creating and integrating novel aflatoxin control resources for an effective, sustainable management strategy (Year 2 of 3). <i>B. Bluhm and G. Johal</i>	\$45,000	\$45,000
65	Assess Management Options for Corn Nematodes in Arkansas (Year 3 of 3). T. Faske, D. Rivera, A. Greer and M. Emerson	\$53,713	\$55,401
Economics			
69	Corn and grain sorghum enterprise budgets and production economic analysis (Ongoing). V. Ford and B. Watkins	\$10,000	\$10,000
Fertility			
74	Fine-tuning Potassium Recommendations and Investigating Intensive Tissue Analysis for Sustainable Corn Production (Year 3 of 3). T. Roberts, J. Kelley and G. Drescher	\$55,934	\$56,395
Insects			
78	Assessing Susceptibility of Insect Pests of Corn in Storage to Selected Insecticides (Year 3 of 3). G. Studebaker, N. Bateman, B. Thrash and N. Joshi	\$40,563	\$41,305
Irrigation			
83	<b>The Arkansas Irrigation Yield Contest (Year 7).</b> C. Henry	\$10,000	\$10,000
84	Improving Irrigation Technology for Corn Production in Arkansas (Year 2 of <b>3).</b> <i>C. Henry, J. Kelley, T. Spurlock and C. Capps</i>	\$185,281	\$185,280
Misc.			
88	Arkansas Discovery Farms (Year 3 of 3). M. Daniels	\$5,000	\$5,000
91	Arkansas Corn & Grain Sorghum Research Studies Series, an annual report and archival system for all Board-funded research (Year 3 of 3). J. Kelley, V. Ford and N. McKinney	\$4,498	\$4,667
95	Use of gossypol to inhibit reproduction in domestic hogs as a model for feral hog control (Year 2 of 3). B. Littlejohn, C. Maxwell, T. Tsai and M. Snider	\$30,000	\$60,016
99	Arkansas Future Ag Leaders Tour (Year 3 of 3). J. Robinson	\$5,000	\$5,000
103	Performance Crop Insurance as a risk management tool for corn and grain sorghum producers in Arkansas (Year 3 of 3). L. Connor	\$29,810	\$29,987

107	<b>Corn and Grain Sorghum Research Verification Program (Year 1 of 3).</b> J. Kelley, T. Faske, T. Spurlock, T. Roberts, T. Barber, G. Studebaker, Breana Watkins, C. Henry and C. Capps	\$126,000	\$130,000
Weed Control			
111	Evaluation of new herbicides, premixes, programs, and application methods for improved control of problematic weeds in corn (Year 2 of 3). <i>T. Barber and J. Norsworthy</i>	\$80,616	\$87,293
Projects Completed			
	<b>Comparing the effects of Nitrogen sources and application strategies on corn performance (Year 3 of 3).</b> <i>T. Roberts, J. Kelley and G. Drescher</i>	\$75,188	\$0
	Developing a green integrated approach to enhance the utilization of grain sorghum in foods (Year 3 of 3). A. Ubeyitogullari	\$42,205	\$0
	Economic Analysis of Corn and Grain Sorghum Production and Marketing Practices (New, Year 1 of 1). <i>B. Deaton</i>	\$5,713	\$0
	Determining the value added of starter fertilizer with in-furrow fungicide on corn (Year 3 of 3). T. Spurlock, J. Kelley and J. Davis	\$26,000	\$0
	A web tool to assess mid-season nitrogen fertilizer needs from aerial imagery (Year 3 of 3). A. Poncet, L. Purcell, T. Roberts and J. Kelley	\$54,000	\$0
	Total	\$962,120	\$1,255,007

#### Arkansas Corn and Grain Sorghum Board – Proposal 2024-2025

Title: Exploring Plasma-Activated Water for Enhanced Biocontrol of Aflatoxins and Diseases in Corn Plants

Lead Investigator: Griffiths Atungulu

Co-Investigators: Mahfuzur Rahman, Burt Bluhm

Status: New

Research Area: Diseases

**Stated Objective**: A wide range of diseases can affect corn production in Arkansas, including foliar diseases, stalk rots, and ear rots. Pathogens present unique challenges for management, with issues such as fungicide resistance, evolution to overcome genetic resistance, the ability to evade management (such as Aspergillus ear rot/aflatoxin) and newly emerging diseases (such as tar spot). Thus, new disease management tools would help mitigate risks associated with the unpredictable nature of corn diseases.

Traditional biocontrol methods show promise in managing aflatoxin contamination in field conditions, but face limitations influenced by environmental factors. Integrating biocontrol with innovative approaches could enhance efficacy and sustainability in disease management, impacting grain yield and quality positively.

This research aims to evaluate the efficacy of plasma-activated water on corn plants as a novel biocontrol method for aflatoxins and other plant diseases affecting grain yield and quality. Plasma, the fourth state of matter, generates charged particles, altering atmospheric gases to create biologically active plasma-activated water. This project exploits plasma properties to combat pathogenic fungi and microbes affecting corn.

#### **Specific Objectives**:

- 1. Generate various plasma-activated water types using a recently acquired plasma generator and assess their efficacy against fungal pathogens of corn.
- 2. Evaluate plasma-activated water's ability to suppress pathogenic activity and prevent toxin accumulation when applied to corn plants.
- 3. Analyze traits of harvested corn treated with plasma-activated water versus untreated samples.

#### Methods:

<u>Phase 1: Generate four plasma-activated water types, testing effectiveness and dosages against a wide</u> <u>range of corn pathogens, with emphasis on aflatoxigenic strains of *A. flavus*. For this work, the Bluhm lab has an extensive collection of pathogens causing corn diseases, including stalk rots (Fusarium stalk rot, Gibberella stalk rot, Anthracnose stalk rot, Diplodia stalk rot, Charcoal rot), foliar diseases (Gray leaf spot, Anthracnose, Northern and Southern corn leaf blight, Southern and Common rust), and ear rots/mycotoxins (Aspergillus ear rot/aflatoxin, Fusarium ear rot/fumonisins, Gibberella ear rot/vomitoxin, Diplodia ear rot/diplodiatoxins, Penicillium ear rot/ochratoxin, and Trichoderma ear rot). Multiple strains of each pathogen will be evaluated for inhibition of growth and mycotoxin production (where applicable). Experiments will be performed initially in pure culture in laboratory conditions to obtain a baseline of sensitivity to plasma-activated water. The primary variables to be explored are time and intensity of</u> dosage for each of the four plasma-activated water types. For *A. flavus*, a large collection (>200) isolates will be evaluated for inhibition of growth and aflatoxin production.

To generate the four different types of plasma-activated water, a plasma generator coupled with bubble and frit reactors will be used to generate cold plasma at voltages ranging from 80 to 325V, with discharge frequencies between 1-2 kHz and duty cycle range as 50-150 s. Two different gas sources, nitrogen and air at two different discharge frequencies (1000 vs 2000 Hz) will be utilized to regulate the reactive species (nitrogenous or oxygenous) and their reactivity.

<u>Phase 2: Inoculate healthy corn plants with different pathogens, assessing treatment efficacy based on</u> <u>dosage and application timing.</u> Depending on the sensitivity of pathogens to plasma-activated water (as determined in Phase 1 of the project), a subset of pathogens will be selected for further evaluation. Emphasis will be placed on pathogens of greatest concern/economic importance. Pathogens will first be inoculated on susceptible hybrids in greenhouse conditions to ensure disease development. Plasmaactivated water treatments will be applied with information from Phase 1 as a guide to dosage and timing. Suppression of disease will be quantified by assessing symptoms such as lesion number and size, yield, and kernel colonization/production of mycotoxins (the details of the approach to induce and assess pathogenesis will vary depending on the specific pathogen). We will also evaluate potential negative effects on host plants, particularly with respect to kernel set/development, yield, and vigor.

<u>Phase 3: Study treatment implications on corn traits post-treatment. Non-treated samples will serve as controls in each phase.</u> The degradation kinetics will be evaluated with respect to different factors like kernel size, shape, composition, level of pathogen infestation and accumulation of toxins in the grain and other changes that determine suitability for food and feed applications. In future, the aim is to develop a novel and cost-effective protocol using plasma-activated water at a field scale for biocontrol of aflatoxins and diseases in corn plants.

### **Planned Milestones:**

2024: Complete Phase 1

2025: Complete Phase 2

2026: Complete Phase 3

#### **Projected Value:**

Plasma technology offers scalability and cost-effectiveness. Leveraging existing infrastructure, like irrigation, and potential aerial application via planes or drones, could significantly reduce aflatoxin loads and combat a wide range of corn diseases. The technology also could potentially be used in sanitation (e.g., trucks, bins) to reduce post-harvest infection with *A. flavus* and other storage fungi.

**Budget Justification**: The budget will be allocated to three faculty members: Dr. Burt Bluhm (plant pathologist collaborator), Dr. Atungulu (grain processing systems engineer), and Dr. Rahman (plasma degeneration expert). Salaries for a research associate, a full-time graduate student, and hourly personnel are requested. The research associate will train the graduate student, oversee experiments, and troubleshoot. Out-of-state travel costs for professional conferences and expenses for laboratory supplies, chemicals, and grain quality analysis are also included.

## University of Arkansas System Division of Agriculture Promotion Board Budget

#### Atungulu, Griffiths Exploring Plasma-Activated Water for Enhanced Biocontrol of Aflatoxins and Diseases in Corn Plants Year 2024/2025 Project Year New Version: 6.0 (11/01/2023) Lead Investigator Atungulu, Griffiths Co-PI #1 Rahman Mahfuzur Co-PI #2 Bluhm, Burt Co-PI #3 Department FDSC Food Science Commodity Board Corn and Grain Sorghum Board Project Title Exploring Plasma-Activated Water for Enhanced Biocontrol of Aflatoxins and Diseases in Corn Plants Budgets are requested in separate columns if separate Worktags for AES and CES will be needed. **Budget for Personnel** Atungulu, Rahman Griffiths Mahfuzur Bluhm, Burt **Total Board** Select "AES" or "CES" for each PI AES AES AES Funding Requested **AES Portion CES** Portion **Fulltime Personnel** Name **Position Title** % Time Salaries Total AES CES (if position is filled) Nikitha Modupolli \$8,333 Research Associate 20% \$8,333 \$8,333 \$0 **\$**0 \$0 **\$**0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 Subtotal: Salaries \$0 \$8,333 \$0 \$8,333 \$8,333 \$0 **Graduate Student** Name Tuition to be **AES Portion CES Portion** % Time Wages Total (if position is filled) budgeted in the Roshanak Zolgadri 25% \$10,000 \$10.000 \$10.000 **\$**0 same ratio as GA \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 Graduate Student (TB 25% \$0 stipend time, e.g., \$0 full time GA \$0 \$0 \$0 stipend, full year's \$0 \$0 tuition. Tuition \$3,398 \$6,796 \$6,796 \$3,398 Subtotal: Graduate Student \$13,398 \$13,398 **\$0** \$0 \$26.796 \$26,796 **\$0** Hourly Wages **CES** Portion **AES Portion** Total Hourly-Personnel \$2,500 \$1,500 \$3,500 \$7,500 \$7,500 Hourly-Students **\$**0 \$0 \$0 Subtotal: Hourly \$2,500 \$1,500 \$3,500 \$7,500 \$7,500 \$0 **Fringe Benefits** Benefits Total **AES Portion CES Portion** Fringe benefits are **Fulltime Personnel** \$0 \$2.633 \$2,633 \$2.633 \$0 calculated when \$420 \$420 \$0 \$0 \$840 \$840 \$0 Graduate Students salary and wage \$198 \$593 \$593 **Hourly Personnel** \$119 \$277 amounts are Hourly-Students \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 entered above. Subtotal: Fringe Benefits \$618 \$3,172 \$4,066 \$4,066 \$0 \$277 **Personnel Total** \$26,403 \$0 \$0 \$16,516 \$3,777 \$46,695 \$46,695 Travel Travel Total **AES Portion CES** Portion Justify out-of-state In-State \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$3.000 \$3.000 \$C travel in proposal. \$1,000 Out-of-State \$1,000 \$0 \$1,000 **Travel Total** \$1,000 \$1,000 \$0 \$2,000 \$4,000 \$4,000 \$0

# University of Arkansas System Division of Agriculture Promotion Board Budget

Atungulu, Griffiths

Exploring Plasma-Activated Water for Enhanced Biocontrol of Aflatoxins and Diseases in Corn Plants

				Mainte	enance & Oper	ations		
			N	1&0		Total	AES Portion	CES Portion
	Supplies	\$2,500	\$2,000	\$8,000		\$12,500	\$12,500	\$0
	Fertilizer/Chemicals					\$0	\$0	\$0
	Publication					\$0	\$0	\$0
	Statistical Consulting					\$0	\$0	\$0
Other Direct Costs						\$0	\$0	\$0
	SAREC, Fayetteville	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	CTST, Marianna	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Ce	Lonoke County Ext. Center	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Jar	NERE, Keiser	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ter	NERREC, Jonesboro	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ain	Jackson County Ext. Center	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Σ	PTST, Colt	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
u	RIRE, Stuttgart	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ati	Rosen Center	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
St	SEST, Rohwer	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	SWRE, Hope	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	VGSS, Kibler	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	FRSS, Clarksville	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	LFST, Batesville	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	CSES Greenhouse, Fayetteville	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	M & O Total	\$2,500	\$2,000	\$8,000	\$0	\$12,500	\$12,500	\$0
	Total for Proposal	\$20,016	\$30,403	\$12,777	\$0	\$63,195	\$63,195	\$0

Budget errors delay submission of your proposal. Any proposal submitted with errors in the budget cannot be guaranteed accurate presentation for funding. Please check budgets for accuracy.

	Fringe Ber	nefit Rates (as of	7/1/2022)	
Campus	Fulltime	Temp/Hourly	Graduate	Student
AES	31.60%	7.90%	4.20%	0.70%
CES	31.60%	7.90%	4.20%	0.70%

(	Complete the following section ONLY if the project will be considered for an Ecosystem.							
			Atungulu,	Rahman				
		%	Griffiths	Mahfuzur	Bluhm, Burt		Tota	
Ecosystems	Grand Prairie	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
(Rice Only)	Mississippi Delta		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	White River		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	Totals	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	

# ARKANSAS CORN AND GRAIN SORGHUM BOARD 2024-2025 PROPOSAL

Title:	Economic Analysis of Corn and Grain Sorghum Production and
	Marketing Practices
Lead Investigator	: Dr. Brian Deaton, Associate Professor
Status:	New
Research Area:	Verification Program
Stated Goal:	The project will assist producers as they continue to seek opportunities for increasing incomes, decreasing costs, and reducing risks.
Specific Objective	<b>s:</b> The overall objective of this study is to provide an economic analysis for the following proposed projects and other Corn and Grain Sorghum Promotion Board funded projects that would benefit from economic analysis. Specific objectives are:
(1) Con	duct an economic analysis of production practices used in the Arkansas Corn
and	Grain Sorghum Research Verification Program that impact profitability and
veri	fy Extension recommendations. (J. Kelley and C. Capps)
(2) Star	idardize the economic analysis by integrating the 2023 corn verification
prog long Ver	gram data with data from previous years. This will continue to document the g-term benefits of the Arkansas Corn and Grain Sorghum Research ification Program. (J. Kelley and C. Capps)
(3) Prov	vide economic assistance and interpretation of agronomic results for projects
prev	viously funded or proposed for funding by CGSPB.
Methods: The in the be a mul info into pric proc ente Ark	economic feasibility of various production management decisions suggested ne Corn and Grain Sorghum Research Verification Program will continue to nalyzed using enterprise budgets. Production economics will be applied to tiple agronomic CGSPB projects currently funded or proposed. Specific rmation related to field operations, inputs, irrigation, and yield will be entered a computerized budget generator to estimate production costs. Breakeven es and/or yields will be used to determine production practices that offer ducers highest expected net returns for their corn and grain sorghum rprises. Data will be gathered in visits to cooperating producers across ansas with results presented at county/state/regional/national meetings.
Planned Mileston	es: [Objectives 1 & 2 – Kelley and Capps]
April 10 - Integrate contains dat	the 2023 corn verification program data into the historical database that ta from previous years.
July 15 - Begin ele Arkansas C field.	ctronic coordinator data submission through planting for all cooperators in the orn and Grain Sorghum Research Verification Program from each respective
November 1 - Rece	eive final CGSPB production input data reports and begin computer entry.
CGSPB Co	ordinator will check items for accuracy after initial entry.
November 15 - Rec Coordinator	ceive final CGSPB harvest data reports and begin computer entry. CGSPB will check added items after initial entry.
December 22 - Cor will check i	nplete first draft of CGSPB economic analysis tables. CGSPB Coordinator tems after completion.

January 15 - Finish economic analysis for CGSPB Report publication and distribution.

### [Objective 2 – Various Project Leaders]

- Weekly Provide continued Arkansas corn cash market summaries for publication through "Row Crops Blog" online newsletter outlet in cooperation with the Arkansas State Corn and Grain Sorghum Agronomist. Work with Communication Group along with other media outlets to provide additional national exposure for the Arkansas corn and grain sorghum industry.
- Monthly Provide corn and grain sorghum economic presentations to county/regional meetings, state research verification tour, and research center field days in-state and otherwise as requested.

**Statement of Projected Value:** This project extends previous CGSPB work to address agronomic issues. Benefits from economic analysis of alternative corn and grain sorghum production strategies assist producers in identifying opportunities to adjust individual costs and incomes while providing a significant reduction in the risk levels that producers face. Maintenance of a historical database of annual CGSPB data provides valuable time series corn data for extended research. Economic analysis of Board-funded production projects adds value to the projects and increases the return for check-off dollars invested. Results enable producers to make management decisions based on profit maximization rather than just maximizing yield. **Budget Justifications/Explanation of Travel and Direct Costs:** Activities with state specialists, county agents, and corn producers within the Mid-South states require the requested funding for travel.

# University of Arkansas System Division of Agriculture

**Promotion Board Budget** 

Brian Deaton		Economic	Analysis of Co	rn and Grain S	orghum Producti	on and Market	ing Practices		
Year	2024/2025		Project Year	New				Version: 6.0	(11/01/2023)
Lead Investigator	Brian Deaton		Co-Pl #1						
Co-PI #2			Co-PI #3						
Department	AFRC								
Commodity Board	Corn and Grain Sorghu	ım Board							
Project Title	Economic Analysis of (	Corn and G	irain Sorghum	Production an	d Marketing Pra	ctices			
	Budgets are re	quested in	separate colu	imns if separa	te Worktags for	AES and CES w	ill be needed.		
		-	B	udget for Pe	rsonnel				
			Brian Deaton						
S	elect "AES" or "CES" fo	or each Pl	AES				Total Board		
							Requested	AFS Portion	CFS Portion
				Eulltime Pers	onnel				
Position Title	Name	% Time		Sal	aries		Total	AES	CES
	(i) position is jilled)						\$0	\$0	ŚO
							30 ¢0	<u>ب</u> م	ېن د ک
							0Ç ()	0Ç 02	0Ç 02
							50 \$0	90 \$0	\$0 \$0
							\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	<u>\$0</u>
	<b>.</b>							÷0	
	Subtota	I: Salaries	ŞO	ŞO	\$0	Ş0	Ş0	Ş0	Ş0
				Gr	aduate Student				
Tuition to be budgeted in the	Name (if position is filled)	% Time		w	ages		Total	AES Portion	CES Portion
same ratio as GA							\$0	\$0	\$0
stipend time. e.a							\$0	\$0	\$0
full time GA							\$0	\$0	\$0
stipend. full vear's							\$0	\$0	\$0
tuition.							\$0	\$0	\$0
		Tuition					Ş0	Ş0	Ş0
	Subtotal: Graduate	e Student	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
						Hourly			
				W	ages		Total	<b>AES Portion</b>	<b>CES Portion</b>
	Hourly-I	Personnel	\$3,500				\$3,500	\$3,500	\$0
	Hourly	-Students					\$0	\$0	\$0
	Subtot	al: Hourly	\$3,500	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,500	\$3,500	\$0
					F	ringe Benefits			
				Bei	nefits	<u> </u>	Total	<b>AES Portion</b>	<b>CES Portion</b>
Fringe benefits are	Fulltime I	Personnel	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
calculated when	Graduate	Students	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
salary and wage	Hourly	Personnel	\$277	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$277	\$277	\$0
amounts are	, Hourly	-Students	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
entered above.	Subtotal Fringe	Benefite	\$277	¢Ω	ŚŊ	¢0	\$277	\$277	¢Ω
	Darcon	nel Total	¢2,77	0Ç ¢0	0Ç ¢0	0 ¢	¢2 777	۲۲7 دغ ۲۲7 دغ	0Ç ¢0
	i eisoin		<i>۲۱۱,</i> ۵۶	٥Ç	ŞΟ	Travel	Υ <b>3,777</b>	,,,,,,	٥ç
				Tr	avel	navel	Total	AFS Portion	CES Portion
Justify out-of-state		In-State	\$500				\$500	\$500	¢0
travel in proposal.	Ou	t-of-State	\$500				\$500	\$500	\$0 \$0
	-	· • • •	<b>\$350</b>				¢300	¢300	Ç.
	Trav	vel Total	\$1,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,000	\$1,000	\$0

# University of Arkansas System Division of Agriculture Promotion Board Budget

Brian Deaton

Economic Analysis of Corn and Grain Sorghum Production and Marketing Practices

				Mainte	enance & Oper	ations		
			IV	1&0		Total	AES Portion	CES Portion
	Supplies	\$1,000				\$1,000	\$1,000	\$0
	Fertilizer/Chemicals					\$0	\$0	\$0
	Publication					\$0	\$0	\$0
	Statistical Consulting					\$0	\$0	\$0
Other Direct Costs						\$0	\$0	\$0
	SAREC, Fayetteville	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	CTST, Marianna	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
lce	Lonoke County Ext. Center	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Jar	NERE, Keiser	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ter	NERREC, Jonesboro	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ai l	Jackson County Ext. Center	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Σ	PTST, Colt	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
u no	RIRE, Stuttgart	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ati	Rosen Center	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
St	SEST, Rohwer	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	SWRE, Hope	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	VGSS, Kibler	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	FRSS, Clarksville	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	LFST, Batesville	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	CSES Greenhouse, Fayetteville	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	M & O Total	\$1,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,000	\$1,000	\$0
	Total for Proposal	\$5,777	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$5,777	\$5,777	\$0
Destant successful	and the stand of the second	A	and share the start started	be a superior that the set	and a set of a second set of the			and a star of the second

Budget errors delay submission of your proposal. Any proposal submitted with errors in the budget cannot be guaranteed accurate presentation for funding. Please check budgets for accuracy.

	Fringe Ber	nefit Rates (as of	7/1/2022)	
Campus	Fulltime	Temp/Hourly	Graduate	Student
AES	31.60%	7.90%	4.20%	0.70%
CES	31.60%	7.90%	4.20%	0.70%

С	Complete the following section ONLY if the project will be considered for an Ecosystem.						
		%	Brian Deaton				Total
Factoria	Grand Prairie	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
(Rice Only)	Mississippi Delta		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
(Rice only)	White River		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Totals	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

#### Arkansas Corn and Grain Sorghum Board - 2024-2025 Proposal

Title: Corn Yield Forecast System for the US Mid-South

Lead Investigators: Elvis F. Elli

Co-Investigators: Jason P. Kelley, Gerson L. Drescher

Status (i.e., New, Year 2 of 3, Year 2 of 2, etc.): New (Year 1 of 3)

Research Areas (Verification Program, General Agronomics, Diseases, Insects, Fertility, Irrigation, Weed Control, Misc. Projects): Misc. Projects (crop physiology and modeling)

**Stated Goal:** Reliable seasonal forecasts of crop yields are among the most valuable pieces of information that different stakeholders can have to make strategic decisions. Corn acreage has considerably increased over the last decade in Arkansas, but interannual yield variability is large and its uncertainties need to be quantified and better understood. Designing yield forecast frameworks has the potential to provide scientific and practical value to assist in decision-making for different stakeholders, ranging from farmers to policymakers and governments to commodity traders. Different approaches have been used to develop seasonal yield forecast systems, such as remote sensing, machine learning/statistical models, field surveys, and process-based models (e.g., the APSIM framework). Trade-offs exist among methods in terms of simplicity, accuracy, timing, scale, and explanatory power. Process-based crop models use weather, soil, variety physiology, and management information as input to simulate crop growth and development. One of the challenges in using process-based models is the large number of input data and parameters required for model calibration, which can be overcome by conducting highresolution field experiments. An additional challenge is the uncertainty related to unknown weather from the forecast date (e.g., middle of the growing season) to physiological maturity. One potential approach to address this issue is using real-time weather data until the forecasting date and then using historical weather scenarios from the forecasting date to maturity. Crop models have shown great potential for use in yield forecast systems in the US, but we lack a robust system focused on the US Mid-South. The overarching objective of this proposal is to develop a comprehensive seasonal yield forecast system for corn using a process-based modeling approach combined with historical daily weather information for the US Mid-South region.

**Specific Objectives:** (1) Conduct field experiments across contrasting environments to collect relevant crop measurements necessary for model testing and development of cultivar parameters; (2) Test the ability of a crop simulation model (APSIM) to simulate soil-plant processes. (3) Develop a forecast system protocol for the US Mid-South using the APSIM and historical weather information.

#### Methods:

*Objective* 1: In the first year, three corn hybrids will be planted in three contrasting locations within the University of Arkansas System Division of Agriculture's properties, under two planting dates (early and normal). Experiments will be conducted with a randomized complete block design and four replications. Crop measurements will be taken approximately every two weeks and include total biomass and its partitioning to different organs, tissue nitrogen (N) concentration, leaf area index, and phenology. Crop yields will be collected at physiological maturity. Soil moisture and temperature sensors will be installed. At the end of year one, we will have yield data of 72 plots and approximately 700 in-season samples of biomass/partitioning, as well as tissue N concentration of different organs. Field experiments will be redesigned and expanded in the following years as needed to capture more variability and address specific needs for crop model improvement. All crop management practices (e.g., nutrient management, pest and

weed control, and irrigation) will follow the University of Arkansas Cooperative Extension Service recommendations.

*Objective* 2: Experimental data from *Objective 1* and literature information will be used to develop cultivar parameters and test the ability of a crop simulation model (APSIM) to simulate plant and soil processes. Soil parameters will be derived from a combination of SSURGO (Soil Survey Geographic Database) and measured data. Daily weather information required to run the model (maximum and minimum temperature, solar radiation, and rainfall) will be retrieved from local weather stations when available or satellite-based data.

*Objective* 3: We will create a synthetic daily weather file that accounts for actual data up to the forecast date, and then historical weather scenarios of at least 30 past years from that forecast date to physiological maturity. We will start running the model at the planting date and will rerun it every week as actual weather information becomes available to update forecasted yields. At the end of the growing season, we will use all field measurements and final observed crop yields to evaluate the accuracy of the forecast system. As more measurements become available, we will perform additional model calibrations and adjustments to improve the forecast system.

#### **Planned Milestones:**

Work Plan and Timeline		Ye	ar 1			Ye	ar 2		Year 3			
work Plan and Timenne	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
Objective 1: Conduct field experiments for mo	odel te	esting	and d	evelop	oment	of cu	ltivar	param	eters			
Field experiments and in-season sampling												
Data analysis and quality control												
Objective 2: Evaluate the ability of a crop model (APSIM) to simulate soil-plant processes across US Midsouth												
Set up APSIM model for all sites												
Develop cultivar coefficients												
Model testing and evaluation												
Objective 3: Develop a forecast system protocol using APSIM framework for the different experimental sites												
Creating synthetic weather files												
Additional model calibration												
Forecast system implementation												

**Statement of Projected Value:** Predicting exact crop yields before harvest has been historically a wish puzzling. Year-to-year weather variability and the occurrence of extreme weather events such as drought and flooding challenge our capacity to appropriately predict crop growth and raise uncertainties that limit decision-making. We hypothesize that using a process-based modeling approach coupled with 30-year historical weather information can provide reasonable predictions of crop yields because this approach can dynamically represent soil and plant processes. Quantifying weather uncertainty over the growing season and its interaction with soil and management factors would improve our understanding of the cropping systems and facilitate the conceptualization of solutions to enhance productivity. The ultimate goal of the project is to develop a corn yield forecast system for the US Mid-South that will assist agricultural practitioners in decision-making, mitigating risks, and potentially increasing profits.

**Budget Justifications/Explanation of Travel and Direct Costs:** Funds are requested for 50% support of an MS student who will be assigned to this project, and 30% support of a program associate who will assist the student with data collection. In-state travel funds are requested for in-season data collection across the experimental sites. Out-of-state travel funds are requested to present preliminary research findings at the 2024 ASA-CSSA-SSSA conference in San Antonio, TX. Supplies and other direct expenses are requested for lab and field supplies, including bags, tags, stakes, and soil and plant analysis.

# University of Arkansas System Division of Agriculture

## **Promotion Board Budget**

Elli, Elvis		Corn Yield	Forecast Syst	em for the US I	Mid-South				
Year	2024/2025		Project Year	New				Version: 6.0	(11/01/2023)
Lead Investigator	Elli, Elvis		Co-PI #1	Kelley, Jason					
Co-PI #2	Drescher, Gerson		Co-PI #3						
Department	CSES Crop, Soil, Enviro	onmental So	cience						
Commodity Board	Corn and Grain Sorgh	um Board							
Project Title	Corn Yield Forecast Sy	stem for th	ne US Mid-Sou	ıth					
	Budgets are re	equested in	separate colu	imns if separa	te Worktags for	AES and CES w	ill be needed.		
			B	udget for Pe	rsonnel				
					Drescher,				
	elect "AES" or "CES" f	or each PL	Elli, Elvis	Kelley, Jason	Gerson		Total Board		
	elect ALS OF CLS JO		AES	CES	AES		Funding		
							Requested	AES Portion	CES Portion
				Fulltime Pers	onnel				
Position Title	Name (if position is filled)	% Time		Sal	laries		Total	AES	CES
Program Associate		30%	\$15,000				\$15,000	\$15,000	\$0
							\$0	\$0	\$0
							\$0	\$0	\$0
							\$0	\$0	\$0
							<b>\$</b> 0	\$0	\$0
	Subtota	I: Salaries	\$15,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$15,000	\$15,000	\$0
				Gr	aduate Student				
Tuition to be budgeted in the -	Name	% Time		w	ages		Total	AFS Portion	CES Portion
	(if position is filled)	<i>/</i> <b>0</b> mile			а <u>в</u> со		- Otal		
same ratio as GA		50%	\$11,000				\$11,000	\$11,000	\$0
stipend time, e.g.,							\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	<u>\$0</u>
full time GA							<u>\$0</u>	\$0 ¢0	<u> </u>
stipend, full year's							ېن د م	<u>ېل</u>	<u>ېل</u>
tuition.		Tuition	\$3.800				٥ <u>۶</u> ۵۵۶ ۶۶	٥ <u>د</u> ۵۵۶ دې	<u>ې پې</u> ۵
			\$3,000				\$3,000	\$3,800	Ç.
	Subtotal: Graduat	e Student	\$14,800	Ş0	Ş0	<u>\$0</u>	\$14,800	\$14,800	Ş0
		ľ		14/	2005	Houriy	Tatal	AFC Dertion	CEC Doution
	Hourly-	Personnel			ages				
	Hourly	-Students					\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0 \$0
	Cubbet		ćo	ćo.	<u>ćo</u>	ćo.	¢0	¢0	֥
	Subtot		ŞU	٦ć	<u></u> ېل	June Reposito	ŞU	<u></u> ېل	Şυ
				Ro	nofits	-ringe benefits	Total	AES Portion	CES Portion
Fringe benefits are	Fulltime	Personnel	\$4 740	\$0	<u>¢</u> ۵	Śŋ	\$4 740	\$4 740	\$0 \$0
calculated when	Graduate	Students	\$462	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$462	\$462	<u>\$0</u>
salary and wage	Hourly	Personnel	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
amounts are	, Hourly	-Students	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
entered above.	Subtotal: Fring	e Benefits	\$5 202	ŚŊ	<u>¢</u> ۵	<u>\$0</u>	\$5 202	\$5 202	ŚŊ
	Person	nel Total	\$35.002	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$35.002	\$35.002	\$0
			÷33,002	ŲŲ	, v	Travel	÷33,032	÷33,002	ŲŲ
				Tr	avel		Total	AES Portion	<b>CES Portion</b>
Justify out-of-state		In-State	\$5,000				\$5,000	\$5,000	\$0
traver in proposal.	Οι	it-of-State	\$3,000				\$3,000	\$3,000	\$0
	Tra	vel Total	\$8,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$8,000	\$8,000	\$0

# University of Arkansas System Division of Agriculture

#### **Promotion Board Budget**

Elli, Elvis

Corn Yield Forecast System for the US Mid-South

					Mainte	enance & Opera	ations		
				N	1&0		Total	AES Portion	CES Portion
		Supplies	\$3,000				\$3,000	\$3,000	\$0
		Fertilizer/Chemicals					\$0	\$0	\$0
	Publication						\$0	\$0	\$0
	Statistical Consulting						\$0	\$0	\$0
Other D	Other Direct Costs Tissue Nitrogen Analysis						\$7,000	\$7,000	\$0
		SAREC, Fayetteville	\$1,250	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,250	\$1,250	\$0
		CTST, Marianna	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	tenance	Lonoke County Ext. Center	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
		NERE, Keiser	\$1,200	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,200	\$1,200	\$0
		NERREC, Jonesboro	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	ain	Jackson County Ext. Center	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Ξ	PTST, Colt	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	u	RIRE, Stuttgart	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	ati	Rosen Center	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	St	SEST, Rohwer	\$1,200	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,200	\$1,200	\$0
		SWRE, Hope	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
		VGSS, Kibler	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
		FRSS, Clarksville	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
		LFST, Batesville	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
		CSES Greenhouse, Fayetteville	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
		M & O Total	\$13,650	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$13,650	\$13,650	\$0
		Total for Proposal	\$56,652	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$56,652	\$56,652	\$0
Rudget (	arrors dela	w submission of your proposal	Any proposal	submitted wit	h errors in the h	udaet cannot h	e avaranteed	accurate prese	ntation for

Budget errors delay submission of your proposal. Any proposal submitted with errors in the budget cannot be guaranteed accurate presentation for funding. Please check budgets for accuracy.

	Fringe Benefit Rates (as of 7/1/2022)												
Campus	Fulltime	Fulltime Temp/Hourly Graduate											
AES	31.60%	7.90%	4.20%	0.70%									
CES	31.60%	7.90%	4.20%	0.70%									

0	Complete the following section ONLY if the project will be considered for an Ecosystem.											
					Drescher,							
		%	Elli, Elvis	Kelley, Jason	Gerson		Total					
Ecosystems	Grand Prairie	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0					
(Rice Only)	Mississippi Delta		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0					
	White River		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0					
	Totals	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0					

## Arkansas Corn and Grain Sorghum Board – 2024-2025 Proposal

Title: University of Arkansas System Division of Agriculture Feed Kits

Lead Investigators: Allison Harman

Status (i.e., New, Year 2 of 3, Year 2 of 2, etc.): New

Research Areas: Misc. Project

### **Stated Goal:**

To provide each of the 75 Arkansas county Extension offices with a UADA Feed Kit as an educational resource and training tool for youth and adult animal science programs. Arkansas agriculture is more than a \$20 billion industry producing significant amounts of U.S. livestock and crop production. The goal of this resource is to increase the quality of educational efforts by UADA county agents at no expense to the county budget. This project was previously completed in 2015 but was not accessible to all counties due to cost and limited scale. Feedback from county agents indicates that the counties that still have access to the kits are missing feed or have missing answer keys. Use of an educational feed kit will increase awareness of feeding options for livestock, focus on Arkansas grown feed grains, and emphasize the importance of our agricultural industries in Arkansas.

## **Specific Objectives:**

- Provide each Arkansas county with a resource for youth and adult education.
- Source as much feed as possible from Arkansas farms and businesses.
- Increase awareness of the different commodities used as livestock feeds.
- Increase a county's ability to train 4-H Animal Science contest teams.

### Methods:

Each kit will contain 3 or 4-ounce samples of 36 feedstuffs commonly utilized in livestock rations. These feeds will be sourced as locally as possible with emphasis on utilizing Arkansas farms and businesses. Each county will be provided with a plastic bin to hold the plastic jars containing the feed. Each feed jar will be filled and labeled with a number that corresponds to the name of the feed on an answer key. The answer key will be a two-sided laminated paper with the list of feeds, logos of the supporting organizations, and brief nutritional information about the feed. Each county will receive a complete UADA Feed Kit by December 2024.

### **Planned Milestones:**

- 1. Formalization of a detailed project management plan: February
- 2. Sourcing and accumulating feeds: March
- 3. Order plastic bins and jars: March
- 4. Assembly of feed kits and creation of answer key: June
- 5. Distribution of feed kits to each county office: September
- 6. Have all UADA Feed Kits to each county office: December

## **Statement of Projected Value:**

Counties with the previous UADA Feed Kits have emphasized the broad array of educational programs utilizing this resource. The 4-H Livestock Skill-a-thon and Hippology contests have feed identification portions of the contest. Training of these skills is improved by access to tangible feedstuffs. Producer groups can also be educated on the value of adding commodity feeds to supplement livestock rations at reduced costs while being informed about the variety of options and physical characteristics of those feeds. County agents have also indicated the popularity of including livestock feeds as an educational display at county fairs. Arkansas Agriculture consistently ranks in the top one-third of the nation for agricultural cash farm receipts. In 2023, agricultural cash receipts from animals and animal products in Arkansas ranked 10<sup>th</sup> nationally. Additionally, Arkansas ranked 15<sup>th</sup> nationally for corn for grain production in 2022. Given the significant contribution of agriculture to the Arkansas economy and the platform for county agents to educate within their own community, this resource will start conversations and raise awareness on the broad scope of Arkansas agriculture.

#### **Budget Justifications/Explanation of Travel and Direct Costs:**

Coordination will be provided by the University of Arkansas System Division of Agriculture Cooperative Extension Service Animal Science team. Estimated cost for the project totals in the amount of \$7,500. Program coordinators are requesting \$2,500 from the Corn and Grain Sorghum Board and seeking additional contributions from other commodity and industry groups to fund the total. The greatest expenses for this project are the plastic jars for each feed sample and the bins to contain the kits. Utilizing county agent relationships, we plan to secure donation of most of the feedstuffs included in the kits and source as much of it from Arkansas farms and co-ops as possible.

# University of Arkansas System Division of Agriculture Promotion Board Budget

Harman, Allison		UADA Fee	d Kits						
Year	2024/2025		Project Year	New		]		Version: 6.0	(11/01/2023)
Lead Investigator	Harman, Allison		Co-PI #1	Russell, Mark					
Co-PI #2			Co-PI #3						
Department	ANSC Animal Sciences								
Commodity Board	Corn and Grain Sorghu	um Board							
Project Title	UADA Feed Kits								
	Budgets are re	quested in	separate colu	umns if separa	te Worktags for	AES and CES w	ill be needed.		
			В	udget for Pe	rsonnel				
			Harman,						
			Allison	Russell, Mark			Total Board		
S	Select "AES" or "CES" fo	or each PI	CES	CES			Funding		
							Requested	AES Portion	<b>CES</b> Portion
				Fulltime Pers	onnel				
Position Title	Name (if position is filled)	% Time		Sa	aries		Total	AES	CES
	() position to jined)						\$0	\$0	\$0
							\$0	\$0	\$0
							\$0	\$0	\$0
							\$0	\$0	\$0
							\$0	\$0	\$0
	Subtota	I: Salaries	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
				Gr	aduate Student			` I	
Tuition to be	Name	% Time			2005		Total	AES Portion	CES Portion
budgeted in the	(if position is filled)	70 mme			ages		Total	ALSFORION	CLSFOILION
same ratio as GA							\$0	\$0	\$0
stipend time, e.g.,							<u>\$0</u>	\$0 \$0	\$0
full time GA							<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
stipend, full year's							<u>ېل</u> د م	ېن د م	<u>\$0</u>
tuition.		Tuition					<u>ېنې</u> د کې		ېن ۵۷
								÷0	
	Subtotal: Graduat	e Student	Ş0	Ş0	Ş0	<u>\$0</u>	Ş0	Ş0	Ş0
				W	ages	Houriy	Total	AES Portion	CES Portion
	Hourly-	Personnel			ages			ALS FOILION \$0	
	Hourly	-Students					\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0
	Subtat	al. I a	¢0	¢0	ćo	¢0			÷-
	Subiol	al. Houriy	٦¢	<u></u> ٦٢	ŞU	Stingo Ronofito	ŞU	ېر	٦¢
				Rei	nefits	Tinge benefits	Total	AFS Portion	CES Portion
Fringe benefits are	Fulltime	Personnel	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
calculated when	Graduate	Students	\$0	\$0	\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0 \$0	\$0	<u>\$0</u>
salary and wage	Hourly	Personnel	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
amounts are	, Hourly	-Students	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
entered above.	Subtotal: Fring	e Benefits	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Personi	nel Total	0¢ \$0	\$0 \$0	<del>ر</del> در	<del>۵</del> ۵	0¢ \$0	0¢ ()	0¢ \$0
	1 0.501		ŲÇ	U QC	ŲÇ	Travel	ŲŲ	ΨŲ	ŲÇ
hand the second second				Tr	avel		Total	<b>AES Portion</b>	<b>CES Portion</b>
Justify out-of-state		In-State					\$0	\$0	\$0
a aver in proposal.	Ou	t-of-State					\$0	\$0	\$0
	vel Total	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	

# University of Arkansas System Division of Agriculture Promotion Board Budget

				Mainte	enance & Opera	ations		
			N	1&0		Total	<b>AES Portion</b>	CES Portion
	Supplies	\$2,500				\$2,500	\$0	\$2,500
	Fertilizer/Chemicals					\$0	\$0	\$(
	Publication					\$0	\$0	\$
Statistical Consulting						\$0	\$0	\$
Other Direct Costs						\$0	\$0	\$
	SAREC, Fayetteville	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$
	CTST, Marianna	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$
lance	Lonoke County Ext. Center	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$
	NERE, Keiser	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$
ter	NERREC, Jonesboro	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$
in	Jackson County Ext. Center	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$
Ĕ	PTST, Colt	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$
5	RIRE, Stuttgart	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$
atio	Rosen Center	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$
St	SEST, Rohwer	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$
	SWRE, Hope	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$
	VGSS, Kibler	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$
	FRSS, Clarksville	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$
	LFST, Batesville	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$
	CSES Greenhouse, Fayetteville	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$
	M & O Total	\$2,500	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,500	\$0	\$2,50
	Total for Proposal	\$2,500	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,500	\$0	\$2,50

Budget errors delay submission of your proposal. Any proposal submitted with errors in the budget cannot be guaranteed accurate presentation for funding. Please check budgets for accuracy.

	Fringe Benefit Rates (as of 7/1/2022)												
Campus	Fulltime	Temp/Hourly	Graduate	Studen									
AES	31.60%	7.90%	4.20%	0.70%									
CES	31.60%	7.90%	4.20%	0.70%									

C	omplete the following	section ON	NLY if the proje	e <mark>ct will be con</mark> s	sidered for an Ec	osystem.	
			Harman,				
Ecosystems (Rice Only)		%	Allison	Russell, Mark			Total
	Grand Prairie	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Mississippi Delta		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	White River		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Totals	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

**Title**: Use of Corn Protein Concentrate in Plant-Based Diets for Largemouth Bass to Optimize Production Sustainability and Profitability

**Investigator**: Rebecca Lochmann, University of Arkansas at Pine Bluff (UAPB), Dept. of Aquaculture and Fisheries, Mail Slot 4912, Pine Bluff, AR 71601 phone: (870) 575-8124; fax: (870) 575-8125

Status: New 1-year project; Research Area: Miscellaneous projects

**Stated Goal**: To determine the effects of dietary corn protein concentrate (CPC) on production performance, non-specific immune response, and cost effectiveness of largemouth bass production.

# **Specific Objectives:**

1) Determine growth, survival, feed conversion, native immune function, and whole-body proximate composition of largemouth bass fed diets with increasing CPC in place of fish meal.

2) Conduct a partial budget analysis to determine the relative profitability of using the different diets.

# **Background and rationale**:

Arkansas is a major producer of largemouth bass (LMB), which are popular worldwide for food and sportfishing. Current production feeds for largemouth bass include marine fish meal and fish oil, which are expensive and considered environmentally unsustainable in fish feeds. The aquaculture industry is rapidly migrating toward plant-based feeds that generate a smaller carbon footprint and cost less than animal-based feeds. However, it is especially challenging to create palatable plant-based feeds that support growth and overall performance of carnivorous fish (such as LMB). Most plant ingredients have incomplete amino acid profiles compared to animal proteins. Plants also contain anti-nutritional factors (ANFs) that limit fish performance. However, concentrates produced from corn have been processed so that many of the ANFs are removed. Concentrates also contain much higher total protein levels (50-60%) – comparable to fish meal. Corn protein concentrate has the potential to be a primary protein source in LMB feeds, and CPC costs considerably less than fish meal. Fish Performance/cost data is needed to drive changes in commercial feed formulation Increased use of US corn concentrate as a major protein source in largemouth bass feeds will benefit the corn, aquaculture, and animal feed industries. Recent LMB sales totaled \$27,500,000. Approximately 3,778,000 lbs of foodsize fish were produced, requiring 5,667,000 lbs of feed (conversion of 1.5). Potential application to other carnivorous species could increase demand further. Environmental impacts of higher soy and corn in aquafeeds will be positive (versus feeds high in marine animal products). Demonstrated benefits of using more corn (as a concentrate) in LMB feeds will increase use of plant-based feeds in high-value carnivorous fish, increasing demand for domestic corn.

**Methods**: We will conduct a feeding trial in tanks to evaluate the use of corn protein concentrate in LMB feeds. Five experimental diets will be prepared in the UAPB fish nutrition lab. The control diet will contain 15% fish meal. The other diets will successively replace fish meal with CPC in combination with complementary ingredients (such as blood meal) to ensure that all essential amino acid requirements are met by all diets. All diets will be formulated to contain similar amounts of total protein and available energy, and meet the known nutrient requirements of LMB. Juvenile LMB (feed-trained) will be obtained from a local producer (Dunn's Fish Farm, Brinkley, AR) and reared to an appropriate size for stocking (5 grams). Fish will be hand-graded to achieve uniform initial sizes, then will be weighed and stocked at 20 fish per tank in each of 4 replicate tanks per diet. Fish will be fed twice daily to satiation for 12 weeks, and weighed every 3 weeks to track growth and adjust feed rations. At the end of the trial, all fish will be counted and weighed by tank. Mucous and blood from five anaesthetized fish per tank will be obtained to perform health assays. The same fish will be reserved for proximate analysis (protein, lipid, dry matter, ash). A partial budget analysis will be conducted to compare the economics of the different diets.

## Planned Milestones (with target times):

1) Prepare diets and begin the feeding trial (March-April)

2) Subsample fish for growth (monthly after stocking)

3) Determine final growth, survival, feed conversion, native immune function and proximate

composition of whole body (Oct.-Dec.)

4) Conduct statistical and economic analyses of data (Nov.-Dec.)

5) Prepare final report, presentations, and manuscript for publication (Dec.-Feb.)

## **Statement of Projected Value:**

Fish Performance/cost data is needed to drive changes in commercial feed formulation. Increased use of US corn protein concentrate as a major protein source in largemouth bass feeds has the potential to benefit multiple industries (corn, aquaculture, and the feed industry). Recent LMB sales totaled \$27,500,000. Approximately 3,778,000 lbs of foodsize fish were produced, requiring 5,667,000 lbs of feed (conversion of 1.5). Potential application to other carnivorous species could increase demand further, and increasing the economic efficiency of domestic fish production is more critical than ever.

**Budget Justification:** This project will require a  $\frac{1}{2}$  time MS level Research Specialist (annual salary of \$37,600 x 0.5 = \$18,800) to conduct the feeding trial and collect and analyze nutrition, water quality, and immune function. The Research Specialist will also supervise an undergraduate student (separately funded) who will assist with this trial. Supplies include reagents for nutritional and water quality analysis, (\$5200), immune reagents, and diet ingredients (\$800) and out-of-state travel (\$2000). Travel expenses will partly cover the costs of the PI and the Research Specialist to attend the Aquaculture America meeting in 2025 in New Orleans to present the research results. The total amount of this request is \$32,741.

# University of Arkansas System Division of Agriculture

# **Promotion Board Budget**

Lochmann, Rebecca		Use of Cor	n Protein Con	centrate in Plai	nt-Based Diets fo	or Largemouth I	Bass to Optimiz	e Production S	ustainability	
Year	2024/2025		<b>Project Year</b>	New				Version: 6.0	(11/01/2023)	
Lead Investigator	Lochmann, Rebecca		Co-PI #1							
Co-PI #2			Co-PI #3							
Department	UAPB Aquaculture and	d Fisheries								
Commodity Board	Corn and Grain Sorgh	um Board								
Project Title	Use of Corn Protein C	oncentrate	in Plant-Base	d Diets for Larg	emouth Bass to	Optimize Prod	uction Sustaina	bility and Prof	itability	
	Budgets are re	equested in	separate colu	imns if separa	te Worktags for	AES and CES w	ill be needed.			
			B	udget for Pe	rsonnel					
			Lochmann,							
S	Select "AES" or "CES" f	or each Pl	AES				Total Board			
							Requested	AES Portion	<b>CES</b> Portion	
	1			Fulltime Pers	onnel					
Position Title	Name (if position is filled)	% Time		Sa	aries		Total	AES	CES	
Research Specialist	ТВА	50%	\$18,800				\$18,800	\$18,800	\$0	
			,,_,,				\$0	\$0	\$0	
							\$0	\$0	\$0	
							\$0	\$0	\$0	
							\$0	\$0	\$0	
	Subtota	al: Salaries	\$18,800	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$18 800	\$18,800	\$0	
			<i></i>	Gr	aduate Student	ŶŸ	<i>\</i> 10,000	<i>\</i> 20,000	, vo	
Tuition to be budgeted in the	Name	% Time		w	ages		Total	AES Portion	CES Portion	
							\$0	<u>\$0</u>	\$0	
same ratio as GA							<u>رې</u> ۱۵	<del>رې</del> د ک	<del>رې</del> دې	
stipend time, e.g.,							\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	
full time GA							\$0	\$0	\$0	
stipend, full year's							\$0	\$0	\$0	
tuition.		Tuition					\$0	\$0	\$0	
	Subtotal: Graduat	e Student	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	<u>\$0</u>	ŚŊ	
	Subtotui. Gradaat	c student	<u> </u>	ŲŲ	Ŭ,	Hourly				
				w	ages		Total	<b>AES Portion</b>	CES Portion	
	Hourly-	Personnel					\$0	\$0	\$0	
	, Hourly	/-Students					\$0	\$0	\$0	
	Subtot	al: Hourly	ŚO	ŚŊ	ŚO	ŚŊ	ŚŊ	ŚŊ	ŚŊ	
	545101	un nouny	<del>_</del>	, ÇO	, vo	ringe Benefits	<u> </u>	U U	U V	
				Be	nefits		Total	<b>AES Portion</b>	<b>CES Portion</b>	
Fringe benefits are	Fulltime	Personnel	\$5,941	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$5,941	\$5,941	\$0	
calculated when	Graduate	e Students	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
salary and wage	Hourly	Personnel	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
amounts are	Hourly	/-Students	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
entereu ubove.	Subtotal: Fring	e Benefits	\$5,941	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$5,941	\$5,941	\$0	
	Person	nel Total	\$24,741	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$24,741	\$24,741	\$0	
					_	Travel				
Justify out-of-state				Tr	avel	Total	AES Portion	CES Portion		
travel in proposal.		In-State					\$0	\$0	\$0	
	Οι	ut-of-State	\$2,000				\$2,000	\$2,000	\$0	
Travel Total			\$2,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,000	\$2,000	\$0	
## University of Arkansas System Division of Agriculture **Promotion Board Budget**

Lochmann, Rebecca

Use of Corn Protein Concentrate in Plant-Based Diets for Largemouth Bass to Optimize Production Sustainability

			Maintenance & Operations							
			N	1&0		Total	<b>AES Portion</b>	<b>CES</b> Portion		
	Supplies	\$6,000				\$6,000	\$6,000	\$0		
	Fertilizer/Chemicals					\$0	\$0	\$0		
	Publication					\$0	\$0	\$0		
	Statistical Consulting					\$0	\$0	\$0		
Other Direct Costs						\$0	\$0	\$0		
	SAREC, Fayetteville	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
	CTST, Marianna	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
e	Lonoke County Ext. Center	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
lan	NERE, Keiser	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
ter	NERREC, Jonesboro	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
ii.	Jackson County Ext. Center	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
Ξ	PTST, Colt	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
5	RIRE, Stuttgart	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
ati	Rosen Center	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
St	SEST, Rohwer	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
	SWRE, Hope	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
	VGSS, Kibler	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
	FRSS, Clarksville		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
	LFST, Batesville	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
CSES Greenhouse, Fayetteville		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
	M & O Total			\$0	\$0	\$6,000	\$6,000	\$0		
	Total for Proposal	\$32,741	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$32,741	\$32,741	\$0		

	Fringe Benefit Rates (as of 7/1/2022)												
Campus	Fulltime	Temp/Hourly	Graduate	Student									
AES	31.60%	7.90%	4.20%	0.70%									
CES	31.60%	7.90%	4.20%	0.70%									

Ecosystems (Rice Only)  Grand Prairie  0%  \$0	Со	Complete the following section ONLY if the project will be considered for an Ecosystem.										
Ecosystems (Rice Only)Grand Prairie0%RebeccaTotalMississippi Delta0%\$0\$0\$0\$0White River\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0Total\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0Total\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0Total\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0				Lochmann,								
Ecosystems (Rice Only)  Grand Prairie  0%  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0    Mississippi Delta  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0    White River  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0			%	Rebecca				Total				
(Rice Only)  Mississippi Delta  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0    White River  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0	Ecosystems	Grand Prairie	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0				
White River  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0	(Rice Only)	Mississippi Delta		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0				
		White River		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0				
I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I		Totals	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Must match the p			

#### Arkansas Corn and Grain Sorghum Board – 2024-2025 Proposal

**Title:** Remote sensing-based mid-season corn nitrogen fertilizer rate recommendations and web-tool extension.

Lead Investigators: Aurelie Poncet

Co-Investigators: Trenton Roberts, Jason Kelley

Status: NEW Year 1 of 3

Research Areas: General Agronomics, Fertility

Stated Goal: The current corn nitrogen (N) fertilizer recommendations account for soil texture and yield goal, and the recommended amounts are applied in two or three-split applications. Three-split applications are preferable when unexpected environmental conditions increase early-season N loss because they provide a better match of fertilizer delivery to crop needs. However, the need for a third application and the optimum mid-season N fertilizer rate are difficult to predict without additional information about site-specific crop health. Ongoing research emphasizes the use of tissue sampling and remote sensing to collect the needed information and fine-tune mid-season corn N fertilizer recommendations. Research conducted by the NSTaR program demonstrated that yield-limiting N deficiency is expected if the corn leaf N concentration before tasseling (VT) is smaller than 3%. In that case, additional N fertilizer should be applied to minimize losses, and calibration equations were developed to identify the optimum agronomic mid-season N fertilizer rate from leaf N concentration. However, these equations should only be used if the collected samples are representative of field conditions, and remote sensing can help inform tissue sampling. Previous research demonstrated that midseason corn N status can be assessed from canopy greenness using inexpensive small unmanned aerial systems (sUAS, or drones). Canopy greenness is quantified using the dark green color index (DGCI). Calibration curves were established to relate pre-tassel field and high-N reference DGCI values to midseason corn N status. The image processing steps were automated and integrated into a web-tool prototype that uses raw drone images (no stitching required) to determine whether a crop would be responsive to a third N fertilizer application, and where tissue samples may be collected to determine the optimized N fertilizer rate(s). However, no mid-season N fertilizer rate is provided directly from the images. The proposed research goal is to develop remote sensing-based mid-season N fertilizer recommendations and integrate them into the created web-tool to further inform ground-truthing using tissue sampling and optimize Arkansas corn production.

#### **Specific Objectives:**

- To create calibration curves that determine the amount of N fertilizer required to recover 90% to 95% yield potential from field and high-N reference DGCI values when mid-season yieldlimiting N deficiencies are identified.
- 2. To develop a new web-tool functionality that predicts the agronomically and economically optimum mid-season corn N fertilizer rate recommendation from raw drone images.
- 3. To verify that the new remote sensing-based mid-season corn N fertilizer rate recommendations can accurately predict how much N fertilizer is needed to maintain or increase yields.

#### **Methods:**

<u>Objective 1:</u> In the past four years, drone images and tissue samples were collected at V8, V10, and V13 in five NSTaR's N response trials established between 2020 and 2023 at the Milo J. Shult Agricultural

Research and Extension Center (Fayetteville, AR) and Pine Tree Research Station (Colt, AR). Treatments with different pre-planting, sidedress, and mid-season N fertilizer rates were applied to create a gradient of mid-season corn N status and evaluate crop response to different mid-season N fertilizer rates across the established gradient. Leaf samples were collected immediately before the mid-season N fertilizer application and analyzed for total N. Flight height was 200 ft. The treatment with the maximum total amount of N fertilizer applied will be used as high-N reference. The DGCI values for the high-N reference and other treatments will be determined from the collected drone images. At maturity, grain yield was harvested from a bordered section of each plot. Relative grain yield (treatment yield compared to the yield of the high-N reference) for each site-year will be regressed against the field and high-N reference DGCI values. Results will then be summarized across all site-years to determine the amount of N required to recover 90% and 95% yield potential based on the measured field and high-N reference DGCI values.

<u>Objective 2:</u> The calibration curves created to meet objective 1 will be integrated into the web-tool prototype developed during previous research. This process will include additional automation and user interface development. The outcome will be the addition of a new functionality that will provide Arkansas corn producers with a remote sensing-based mid-season N fertilizer recommendation.

<u>Objective 3:</u> During project years 1 to 3, drone images, tissue samples, and yield data will be collected in the NSTaR's variety x plant population x N fertilizer rate trials established in at least three locations every year. The data will be collected and processed as described under objective 1. For each variety and plant population, the treatment with the higher N fertilizer rate will be used as the high-N reference. The created tool will then be used to determine the predicted optimum mid-season N fertilizer rate. Statistical analysis of the leaf N and yield data will be conducted to identify the true optimum mid-season N fertilizer rate. The predicted and true results will be compared to validate the created remote sensing-based mid-season corn N fertilizer recommendation.

**Planned Milestones:** We anticipate that objectives 1, 2, and 3 will be completed during project years 1, 2, and 3, respectively.

**Statement of Projected Value:** Corn uses more N than any other crop cultivated in Arkansas and optimal N fertilizer use in corn is a critical component of farm profitability. Optimal N fertilizer use matches the crop needs, but the current recommendations do not account for the potential of early season N losses due to leaching and denitrification caused by unpredictable weather patterns. Previous research demonstrated that mid-season corn N status can be assessed using inexpensive drones and the DGCI index, providing that a high-N reference is established in a small portion of the field. A web-tool prototype was also created to automate image processing and make research findings accessible to Arkansas producers. However, further research is needed to predict the optimum mid-season N fertilizer rate from drone images when yield-limiting N deficiencies are identified in the field. The proposed research will address this gap and help increase corn N fertilizer use efficiency using inexpensive drone technology.

**Budget Justifications/Explanation of Travel and Direct Costs:** Personnel fund were requested for 30% support of a program associate and 50% support of a graduate student. Graduate student support includes stipend and tuition. Personnel funds were also requested for support of hourlies. All personnel will help with data analysis and web tool development. Travel funds were requested for data collection and participation in relevant conferences including the 2024 ASA-CSSA-SSSA Annual International Meeting. Supply funds and other direct costs were requested to participate with remote sensing equipment maintenance, leaf sample analysis, and manuscript publication fees.

Poncet, Aurelie		Remote se	nsing-based n	nid-season cor	n nitrogen fertiliz	er rate recomm	nendations and	l web-tool exte	ension.
Year	2024/2025		<b>Project Year</b>	New				Version: 6.0	(11/01/2023)
Lead Investigator	Poncet, Aurelie		Co-PI #1						
Co-PI #2			Co-PI #3						
Department	CSES Crop, Soil, Enviro	onmental So	cience						
Commodity Board	Corn and Grain Sorghu	um Board							
Project Title	Remote sensing-based	d mid-seaso	on corn nitrog	en fertilizer ra	te recommendat	ions and web-t	ool extension.		
	Budgets are re	quested in	separate colu	mns if separa	te Worktags for	AES and CES w	ill be needed.		
			В	udget for Pe	rsonnel				
			Poncet,						
5	Select "AES" or "CES" fo	or each PI	Aurelle				Total Board		
			7120				Funding Requested	AES Portion	CES Portion
		!		Fulltime Pers	onnel		;		
Position Title	Name (if position is filled)	% Time		Sal	laries		Total	AES	CES
Program Associate	Wesley France	30%	\$15,000				\$15,000	\$15,000	\$0
							\$0	\$0	\$0
							\$0	\$0	\$0
							\$0	\$0	\$0
							\$0	\$0	\$0
	Subtota	I: Salaries	\$15,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$15,000	\$15,000	\$0
	News			Gr	aduate Student				
Tuition to be	Name	% Time		w	ages		Total	<b>AES Portion</b>	<b>CES</b> Portion
budgeted in the	(If position is filled)	E 00/	¢11 E00				¢11 E00	¢11 E00	¢0
same ratio as GA		50%	\$11,500				\$11,500 \$1	0¢ ۱۵¢	ې ډې
stipend time, e.g.,				<u></u>			ې ډې د	<del>ر</del> ۵۷	<del>ر</del> در
full time GA							\$0	\$0	\$0
stipend, full year's							\$0	\$0	\$0
tuition.		Tuition	\$2,700				\$2,700	\$2,700	\$0
	Subtotal: Graduat	o Student	\$14,200	ŚO	ŚŊ	ŚŊ	\$14,200	\$14,200	ŚŊ
	Subtotal. Graduat	e student	\$14,200	ŲÇ	ŲÇ	Hourly	\$14,200	Ş14,200	Ç
				W	ages	liouny	Total	<b>AES Portion</b>	CES Portion
	Hourly-	Personnel	\$1,800				\$1,800	\$1,800	\$0
	, Hourly	-Students	\$2,500				\$2,500	\$2,500	\$0
	Subtot	al Hourly	\$4 300	ŚŊ	ŚŊ	ŚŊ	\$4 300	\$4,300	<u>\$0</u>
	505101		Ţ <del>,</del> ,500	UÇ.	F	ringe Benefits	,500 j	Ş <del>,</del> ,500	ŲŲ
				Be	nefits	0	Total	<b>AES Portion</b>	<b>CES</b> Portion
Fringe benefits are	Fulltime	Personnel	\$4,740	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$4,740	\$4,740	\$0
calculated when	Graduate	Students	\$483	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$483	\$483	\$0
salary and wage	Hourly	Personnel	\$142	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$142	\$142	\$0
amounts are	Hourly	/-Students	\$18	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$18	\$18	\$0
entered above. Subtotal: Fringe Benefits		e Benefits	\$5,383	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$5,383	\$5,383	\$0
Personnel Total			\$38,883	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$38,883	\$38,883	\$0
				Travel					
Justify out-of-state			Tr	avel		Total	<b>AES Portion</b>	<b>CES Portion</b>	
travel in proposal.		In-State	\$4,500				\$4,500	\$4,500	\$0
	Ou	it-of-State	\$3,500				\$3,500	\$3,500	\$0
	Tra	vel Total	\$8,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$8,000	\$8,000	\$0

## University of Arkansas System Division of Agriculture Promotion Board Budget

Poncet, Aurelie

Remote sensing-based mid-season corn nitrogen fertilizer rate recommendations and web-tool extension.

		Maintenance & Operations							
		IV	1&0	Total	<b>AES Portion</b>	<b>CES</b> Portion			
Supplies	\$1,617				\$1,617	\$1,617	\$0		
Fertilizer/Chemicals					\$0	\$0	\$0		
Publication	\$3,000				\$3,000	\$3,000	\$0		
Statistical Consulting					\$0	\$0	\$0		
	\$4,500				\$4,500	\$4,500	\$0		
SAREC, Fayetteville	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
CTST, Marianna	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
Lonoke County Ext. Center	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
NERE, Keiser	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
NERREC, Jonesboro	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
Jackson County Ext. Center	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
PTST, Colt	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
RIRE, Stuttgart	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
Rosen Center	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
SEST, Rohwer	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
SWRE, Hope	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
VGSS, Kibler	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
FRSS, Clarksville	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
LFST, Batesville	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
CSES Greenhouse, Fayetteville		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
M & O Total		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$9,117	\$9,117	\$0		
Total for Proposal	\$56,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$56,000	\$56,000	\$0		
	Supplies Fertilizer/Chemicals Publication Statistical Consulting SAREC, Fayetteville CTST, Marianna Lonoke County Ext. Center NERE, Keiser NERREC, Jonesboro Jackson County Ext. Center PTST, Colt RIRE, Stuttgart Rosen Center SEST, Rohwer SWRE, Hope VGSS, Kibler FRSS, Clarksville LFST, Batesville CSES Greenhouse, Fayetteville M & O Total Total for Proposal	Supplies\$1,617Fertilizer/ChemicalsPublicationPublication\$3,000Statistical Consulting\$4,500SAREC, Fayetteville\$0CTST, Marianna\$0Lonoke County Ext. Center\$0NERE, Keiser\$0NEREC, Jonesboro\$0Jackson County Ext. Center\$0PTST, Colt\$0RIRE, Stuttgart\$0ROSen Center\$0SEST, Rohwer\$0SWRE, Hope\$0VGSS, Kibler\$0FRSS, Clarksville\$0LFST, Batesville\$0CSES Greenhouse, Fayetteville\$0,117Total for Proposal\$56,000	Supplies\$1,617Fertilizer/Chemicals9ublicationPublication\$3,000Statistical Consulting90SAREC, Fayetteville\$0\$0\$0CTST, Marianna\$0Lonoke County Ext. Center\$0NERE, Keiser\$0NERREC, Jonesboro\$0Jackson County Ext. Center\$0PTST, Colt\$0SUPPLEX, Center\$0SUPPLEX, Center\$0<	MainterSupplies\$1,617Supplies\$1,617Fertilizer/ChemicalsPublication\$3,000Statistical ConsultingStatistical ConsultingSAREC, Fayetteville\$0SAREC, Fayetteville\$0CTST, Marianna\$0SOMERE, Keiser\$0NERE, Keiser\$0NERE, Keiser\$0NEREC, Jonesboro\$0Jackson County Ext. Center\$0SOMEREC, Ionesboro\$0PTST, Colt\$0Rine, Stuttgart\$0Rosen Center\$0SWRE, Hope\$0SWRE, Hope\$0SWRE, Hope\$0SVSRE, Kibler\$0FRSS, Clarksville\$0SUSS, Kibler\$0SUSS Greenhouse, Fayetteville\$0\$0\$0SUSS Greenhouse, Fayetteville\$0	Maintenance & OperativeM&OSupplies\$1,617M&OFertilizer/Chemicals\$3,000IIPublication\$3,000IIIStatistical Consulting\$0\$0\$0SAREC, Fayetteville\$0\$0\$0CTST, Marianna\$0\$0\$0Lonoke County Ext. Center\$0\$0\$0NERE, Keiser\$0\$0\$0NEREC, Jonesboro\$0\$0\$0Jackson County Ext. Center\$0\$0\$0NERREC, Jonesboro\$0\$0\$0PTST, Colt\$0\$0\$0Rosen Center\$0\$0\$0SWRE, Hope\$0\$0\$0SWRE, Hope\$0\$0\$0VGSS, Kibler\$0\$0\$0FRSS, Clarksville\$0\$0\$0CSES Greenhouse, Fayetteville\$0\$0\$0M & O Total\$9,117\$0\$0\$0Total for Proposal\$56,000\$0\$0\$0	Maintenance & OperationsM&OTotalSupplies\$1,617\$1,617Fertilizer/Chemicals\$1,617\$1,617Publication\$3,000\$0\$0Statistical Consulting\$3,000\$0\$0Statistical Consulting\$4,500\$0\$0CTST, Marianna\$0\$0\$0\$0CTST, Marianna\$0\$0\$0\$0NERE, Keiser\$0\$0\$0\$0NERE, Keiser\$0\$0\$0\$0NERRE, Jonesboro\$0\$0\$0\$0Jackson County Ext. Center\$0\$0\$0\$0PTST, Colt\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0RiRE, Stuttgart\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0SEST, Rohwer\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0SWRE, Hope\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0CSES Greenhouse, Fayetteville\$0\$0\$0\$0M & O Total\$9,117\$0\$0\$0\$0Total for Proposal\$56,000\$0\$0\$50,000\$0Net enter\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0Statistical Conter\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0Statistical Conter\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0Statistical Conter\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0Statistical Conter\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0Statistical Conter\$0\$0 </th <th>Maintenance &amp; OperationsM&amp;OTotalAES PortionSupple\$1,617\$1,617\$1,617\$1,617Fertilizer/Chemicals\$3,000\$3,000\$3,000Publication\$3,000\$3,000\$3,000Statistical Consulting\$4,500\$3,000\$3,000SAREC, Fayetteville\$0\$0\$0\$0SAREC, Fayetteville\$0\$0\$0\$0CTST, Marianna\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0NERRE, Keiser\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0NERRE, Lonesboro\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0Jackson County Ext. Center\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0NERRE, Lonesboro\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0NERRE, Lonesboro\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0PIST, Cot\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0RIRE, Stuttgart\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0SWRE, Hope\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0SWRE, Hope\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0VGSS, Kibler\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0CSES Greenhouse, Fayetteville\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0M &amp; O Total\$5,0,00\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0CSES Greenhouse, Fayetteville\$5,0,00\$0<t< th=""></t<></th>	Maintenance & OperationsM&OTotalAES PortionSupple\$1,617\$1,617\$1,617\$1,617Fertilizer/Chemicals\$3,000\$3,000\$3,000Publication\$3,000\$3,000\$3,000Statistical Consulting\$4,500\$3,000\$3,000SAREC, Fayetteville\$0\$0\$0\$0SAREC, Fayetteville\$0\$0\$0\$0CTST, Marianna\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0NERRE, Keiser\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0NERRE, Lonesboro\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0Jackson County Ext. Center\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0NERRE, Lonesboro\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0NERRE, Lonesboro\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0PIST, Cot\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0RIRE, Stuttgart\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0SWRE, Hope\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0SWRE, Hope\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0VGSS, Kibler\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0CSES Greenhouse, Fayetteville\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0M & O Total\$5,0,00\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0CSES Greenhouse, Fayetteville\$5,0,00\$0 <t< th=""></t<>		

Fringe Benefit Rates (as of 7/1/2022)												
Campus	Fulltime	Temp/Hourly	Graduate	Student								
AES	31.60%	7.90%	4.20%	0.70%								
CES	31.60%	7.90%	4.20%	0.70%								

C	Complete the following section ONLY if the project will be considered for an Ecosystem.											
	Poncet,											
		%	Aurelie				Total					
Ecosystems	Grand Prairie	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0					
(Rice Only)	Mississippi Delta		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0					
	White River		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0					
	Totals	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0					

#### Arkansas Corn and Grain Sorghum Board – 2024-2025 Proposal

**Title:** Utilizing Plasma Technology to Inhibit Tannin Effects in Grain Sorghum for Enhanced digestibility and Protein Quality

#### Lead Investigators: Mahfuzur Rahman

Co-Investigators: Griffiths Atungulu

Status: New

Research Areas: Misc. Projects

#### **Stated Goal:**

The goal of the project is to increase the value of sorghum in the food and feed industry by inactivating tannin activity. Tannins, found in sorghum, present challenges such as a bitter taste, reduced digestibility, antinutritional effects, and complications in livestock feed and food processing. Their high levels diminish sorghum's palatability for humans and animals, impacting market appeal. Tannins interfere with nutrient digestion, particularly proteins, lowering nutritional value. In livestock feed, elevated tannin levels decrease intake and nutrient absorption. In addition, sorghum-based food product manufacturing is hindered by high tannin content, requiring removal or reduction for improved taste and functionality. The goal of this proposed project is to utilize non-thermal technology to inactivate tannin, unleash grain-sorghum protein functionality and digestibility, while preserving grain quality.

The goal will be achieved by applying non-thermal plasma technology to inactivate tannin and fractionate high-quality sorghum protein. Plasma is considered the fourth state of matter, distinct from solid, liquid, and gas. It is characterized by a collection of charged particles, including ions and free electrons, in an energized and ionized state. When plasma interacts with atmospheric gases like oxygen and nitrogen, it can influence the chemical composition of these gases and create oxygen and nitrogen radicals as well as ozone.

Plasma treatment technology can easily be retrofitted in processing for enhancing grain-sorghum digestibility and protein quality. Dry grain could be treated with plasma while moving on conveyors belts. Alternatively, the technology could be applied to generate plasma-activated water to soak and clean contaminated grain. These approaches have the potential to unleash sorghum protein and enhance its digestibility and utilization in the manufacture of plant-protein based products.

#### **Specific Objectives:**

- Optimize the cold plasma system based on inactivated tannin activity in grain sorghum.
- Determine the impact of plasma treatment on the quality of grain.
- Fractionate protein from grains and analyze the quality of protein to develop alternative protein-based food products.

#### Methods:

A plasma reactor with a series of discharge methods, such as activating air (jet or pin-to-plate), and activating water (frit and bubble), will be utilized to achieve tannin degradation in grain sorghum. This reactor can also use nitrogen gas instead of atmospheric air if needed. The tannins are tightly bound to the cell membrane and require a certain amount of energy to be liberated and made available for removal from any substrate. The high-energy transfer during cold plasma generation will aid in breaking covalent bonds, hydrolyzing, and depolymerizing phytochemicals like tannins. The free and depolymerized tannin compounds will undergo substantial degradation by the reactive species generated during cold plasma treatment.

A protocol will be developed, optimized, and evaluated for the degradation of tannin content in sorghum using non-thermal plasma generated through different modes. Parameters such as exposure time, voltage, discharge frequency, moisture content, and source gas will be analyzed to enhance the protocol, aiming for maximum degradation efficiency. After degrading the tannins, the impact of plasma on the grain will be analyzed to ensure that plasma treatment does not affect the quality. Grain quality factors, such as protein content, protein digestibility, kernel hardness, kernel weight and diameter, and phenolic content, will be assessed.

Tannin-degraded sorghum samples will undergo protein extraction using plasma-activated water, a process hindered by tannin content. Analyzing the quality and functional properties of extracted sorghum protein is very important to identify its food applications. Based on the quality and functional data, protein will be selected to develop plant (sorghum)-based cheese and meat containing 20-25% sorghum protein. Textural properties of the cheese and meat analogs will be compared with commercially available plant-based cheese and meat.

#### **Planned Milestones:**

**2024**: Find a suitable and optimized method of cold plasma treatment (whether wet or dry, considering the mode of generation and treatment parameters) for the degradation of tannins in sorghum grain.

**2025**: Determine the impact of plasma treatment on the quality of grain such as protein content, protein digestibility, kernel hardness, kernel weight and diameter, and phenolics content. Publish the results in the Arkansas Corn and Grain Sorghum Research Studies series & a journal, and present data at the national scientific meeting.

**2026**: Optimize protein extraction, assess extracted protein properties, and create protein-rich food and feed products. Evaluate the quality of cold-plasma-treated sorghum grains, proteins, and products for a comprehensive understanding. Publish the results in the Arkansas Corn and Grain Sorghum Research Studies series & a journal, and present data at the national scientific meeting.

#### **Statement of Projected Value:**

Tannins in train-sorghum sorghum can adversely impact their quality and utility. The bitter taste associated with tannins reduces the palatability of products from the grain-sorghum for both humans and animals. Additionally, high tannin levels hinder nutrient absorption, particularly affecting proteins, thereby lowering the overall nutritional value of the grain. These factors, combined with potential antinutritional effects, make tannins a significant concern for the grain-sorghum industry, affecting its market appeal and suitability for various uses, including livestock feed and food processing.

In 2022, the plant-based protein market was valued at \$8 billion in the U.S., experiencing a 7% increase in dollar sales compared to 2021. The major plant-based categories are plant-based meat and dairy alternatives. Soybean, pea, corn, and wheat proteins dominate the plant protein market. Although sorghum has a great protein to make alternative dairy products, tannin is the only hindrance to getting into the market. Successful results from this project would create a new market for sorghum protein in the alternative protein market.

#### **Budget Justifications/Explanation of Travel and Direct Costs:**

Salaries of a research associate (20% FTE) and a graduate student are requested to complete the project. The research associate will train the graduate student in cold plasma systems and analysis of grain, as well as troubleshoot the method when needed. The graduate student will be responsible for running experiments, analyzing data, and preparing reports. Out-of-state travel to present at professional conferences (PI and Grad Student): transportation, lodging, and registration costs. Expenses for laboratory supplies and chemicals for plasma and grain quality analysis are also requested.

Rahman, Mahfuzur		Utilizing P	lasma Techno	logy to Inhibit	Tannin Effects in	Grain Sorghum	for Enhanced	digestibility ar	nd Protein	
Year	2024/2025		Project Year	New				Version: 6.0	(11/01/2023)	
Lead Investigator	Rahman, Mahfuzur		Co-PI #1	Atungulu, Grif	fiths					
Co-PI #2			Co-PI #3							
Department	FDSC Food Science									
Commodity Board	Corn and Grain Sorgh	um Board								
Project Title	Utilizing Plasma Techr	nology to Ir	hibit Tannin E	ffects in Grain	Sorghum for En	hanced digestik	ility and Prote	in Quality		
	Budgets are re	equested in	separate colu	ımns if separa	te Worktags for	AES and CES w	ill be needed.			
			В	udget for Pe	rsonnel					
			Rahman, Mahfuzur	Atungulu, Griffiths						
S	Select "AES" or "CES" fe	or each Pl	AES	AES			Total Board			
							Requested	AES Portion	CES Portion	
				Fulltime Pers	onnel					
	Name			runner ers	onner					
Position Title	(if position is filled)	% Time		Sa	laries		Total	AES	CES	
Research Associate	Nikitha Modupolli	20%	\$8,333				\$8,333	\$8,333	\$0	
							\$0	\$0	\$0	
							\$0	\$0	\$0	
							\$0	\$0	\$0	
							\$0	\$0	\$0	
	Subtota	I: Salaries	\$8,333	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$8,333	\$8,333	\$0	
				Gr	aduate Student					
Tuition to be	Name	% Time		w	ages		Total	AES Portion	<b>CES</b> Portion	
budgeted in the	Roshanak Zolgadri	50%	\$20,000				\$20,000	\$20,000	\$0	
same ratio as GA		5070	<i></i>				\$0	\$0	\$0	
stipend time, e.g.,							\$0	\$0	\$0	
full time GA							\$0	\$0	\$0	
superia, juii year s							\$0	\$0	\$0	
curcion.		Tuition	\$6 <i>,</i> 795				\$6,795	\$6,795	\$0	
	Subtotal: Graduat	e Student	\$26,795	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$26,795	\$26,795	\$0	
						Hourly				
				w	ages		Total	<b>AES Portion</b>	<b>CES</b> Portion	
	Hourly-	Personnel					\$0	\$0	\$0	
	Hourly	/-Students					\$0	\$0	\$0	
	Subtot	al: Hourly	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
					F	ringe Benefits				
				Be	nefits		Total	<b>AES Portion</b>	<b>CES</b> Portion	
Fringe benefits are	Fulltime	Personnel	\$2,633	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,633	\$2,633	\$0	
calculated when	Graduate	e Students	\$840	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$840	\$840	\$0	
salary and wage	Hourly	Personnel	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
amounts are	Hourly	/-Students	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
entereu ubove.	Subtotal: Fring	e Benefits	\$3,473	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,473	\$3,473	\$0	
Personnel Total		\$38,601	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$38,601	\$38,601	\$0		
	. ,			Travel	. ,	. ,				
lustify out-of-state			Travel				Total	<b>AES Portion</b>	<b>CES</b> Portion	
travel in proposal		In-State					\$0	\$0	\$0	
naver in proposul.	Οι	ut-of-State	\$2,500				\$2,500	\$2,500	\$0	
	Tra	vel Total	\$2.500	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2.500	\$2.500	\$0	
l					1 -			· · · · · ·		

## University of Arkansas System Division of Agriculture Promotion Board Budget

Rahman, Mahfuzur

Utilizing Plasma Technology to Inhibit Tannin Effects in Grain Sorghum for Enhanced digestibility and Protein

			Maintenance & Operations							
			N	1&0		Total	AES Portion	CES Portion		
	Supplies	\$2,000				\$2,000	\$2,000	\$0		
	Fertilizer/Chemicals					\$0	\$0	\$0		
	Publication					\$0	\$0	\$0		
	Statistical Consulting					\$0	\$0	\$0		
Other Direct Costs						\$0	\$0	\$0		
	SAREC, Fayetteville	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
	CTST, Marianna	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
Ce	Lonoke County Ext. Center	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
lar	NERE, Keiser	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
ter	NERREC, Jonesboro	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
ain la	Jackson County Ext. Center	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
Σ	PTST, Colt	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
u	RIRE, Stuttgart	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
ati	Rosen Center	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
St	SEST, Rohwer	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
	SWRE, Hope	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
	VGSS, Kibler	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
	FRSS, Clarksville	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
	LFST, Batesville	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
	CSES Greenhouse, Fayetteville		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
	M & O Total	\$2,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,000	\$2,000	\$0		
	Total for Proposal	\$43,101	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$43,101	\$43,101	\$0		
Dealers the second shall	and the stand of the second	A	and the state of the state	to a survey of the state of the	and a set in some set to	a second second		and a start of the		

Fringe Benefit Rates (as of 7/1/2022)												
Campus	Fulltime	Temp/Hourly	Graduate	Student								
AES	31.60%	7.90%	4.20%	0.70%								
CES	31.60%	7.90%	4.20%	0.70%								

C	Complete the following section ONLY if the project will be considered for an Ecosystem.										
	Rahman, Atungulu,										
		%	Mahfuzur	Griffiths			Total				
Ecosystems	Grand Prairie	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0				
(Rice Only)	Mississippi Delta		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0				
	White River		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0				
	Totals	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0				

#### Arkansas Corn and Grain Sorghum Board – 2024-2025 Proposal

Title: Improving Nitrogen Management for Arkansas Corn Production

Lead Investigators: Trenton Roberts

Co-Investigators: Jason Kelley and Gerson Drescher

Status: New

Research Areas: Fertility and General Agronomics

**Stated Goal:** Investigate proper nitrogen management in irrigated corn production systems and provide producers with effective management strategies.

#### **Specific Objectives:**

- 1. Validate the current nitrogen management strategies for irrigated Arkansas corn production in small plot and large block field trials. The nitrogen management tools that will be investigated in the systems approach include:
  - a. Preplant and in-season soil tests
  - b. In-season tissue analysis
  - c. Aerial imagery
  - d. Post-season cornstalk nitrate test
- 2. Quantify the potential nitrogen losses, primarily ammonia volatilization loss, from treatments that include surface broadcast and banded applications of urea and urea ammonium nitrate.
- 3. Evaluate the impacts of nitrogen rate and timing on corn yield components including rows per ear, kernels per row and 1000 count seed weight.

#### Methods:

- 1. Trials will be established on experiment stations in a range of sizes from standard small plots all the way to large scale block trials. Preplant soils samples and soil samples collected at V4-V6 will be used to refine sidedress nitrogen application rates. Following sidedress nitrogen applications leaf samples will be collected weekly to identify whether additional top dress or pretassel nitrogen applications are warranted. From the V6-VT growth stages, aerial imagery will be collected to assess the need for in-season nitrogen applications. The aerial images will be compared to the tissue concentration data, but treatments will be made based on each assessment to compare if one is more feasible or accurate than the other. At the conclusion of the season cornstalk nitrate samples will be taken to assess the season long nitrogen management approach and provide an report for each of the strategies that were implemented.
- 2. Within the trials listed above and independent trials ammonia volatilization will be quantified using semi-static chambers that will capture the ammonia loss over a series of days to identify the daily and cumulative nitrogen loss from each of the treatments. The semi-static chambers will contain foam sorbers saturated in a phosphoric acid/glycol mixture that will effectively capture the ammonia gas before it escapes to the atmosphere. The foam sorbers will be changed periodically and the phosphoric acid mixture extracted and the ammonium nitrogen it contains quantified. Once the potential loss from each treatment combination is identified subsequent years of the study will use the same methodology to quantify the effectiveness of urease inhibitors on reducing nitrogen losses via ammonia volatilization using these treatment strategies.
- 3. Nitrogen rate trials will be implemented that include a single application of 220-280 lb nitrogen per acre applied at one of the following growth stages: preplant, V2, V6, V8, V10,

V12, V14, VT and R2. A standard nitrogen management plan of 30 lb nitrogen preplant followed by 190 lb nitrogen per acre applied sidedress will be included for comparison. At R6 or physiological maturity a minimum of five ears will be collected from each plot. The number of rows per ear, kernels per row and 1000 count seed weight will be collected.

#### **Planned Milestones:**

- 1. Data will be collected annually and compiled over time to compare how the various nitrogen management tools can be optimized to produce not only maximal yield but in the most profitable way possible. These trials will be conducted at multiple locations with varying soils and potential nitrogen needs locations may be altered each year to fill in knowledge gaps. At the end of three growing seasons the dataset should be robust enough to identify any potential differences in the nitrogen assessment tools and inform producers on the best management practices moving forward.
- 2. Initial trials will be established to identify the ammonia volatilization loss potential of the various source and application strategy combinations identified in objective one. Once the principal investigators are able to identify the ammonia volatilization loss potential the will test mitigation strategies in year 2 and 3 to identify what potential fertilizer additives might be used to protect nitrogen from ammonia volatilization using the various application strategies. By year 3 we hope to have clearly identified best management practices to prevent ammonia volatilization losses.
- 3. Initial plans are to implement nitrogen response trials at three locations and assess how variety, environment, and response to nitrogen impact corn yield components. Based on the first year of data the nitrogen rates and timings may be adjusted to ensure that we are able to generate a robust dataset.

**Statement of Projected Value:** Nitrogen fertilizer and its application represent the largest single item expenditure for most corn producers and accounts for as much as 20% of the total operating cost. Nitrogen is the most yield limiting factor in Arkansas corn production and offers the largest yield gains when used at the correct rates and timings. There are a variety of rates, sources and timings that can be used to maximize corn yield and a better understanding of how to best make these decisions is needed. Nitrogen use efficiency in Arkansas irrigated corn production can be very high when the proper combination of rates, sources and timings is implemented and can provide a very high rate of return on the nitrogen fertilizer investment. Development of a web-based decision support tool that allows producers to input their production parameters and economic factors to determine the best course of action is needed. The results of this research project will help provide the basis for the development and implementation of this tool.

**Budget Justifications/Explanation of Travel and Direct Costs:** The out of state travel included in this proposal is to cover a portion of the cost for graduate students to attend professional scientific meetings which in this calendar year will include the international annual agronomy meetings in San Antonio, TX. The attendance at these meetings allows presentations to be made concerning the research work conducted and also for increased education by attending other scientific presentations and workshops. Instate travel and salary are budgeted for field data collections.

Roberts, Trenton		Improving	ı Nitrogen Ma	nagement for A	Arkansas Corn Pr	oduction			
Year	2024/2025		Project Year	New				Version: 6.0	(11/01/2023)
Lead Investigator	Roberts, Trenton		Co-PI #1						
Co-PI #2			Co-PI #3						
Department	CSES Crop, Soil, Enviro	onmental S	cience						
Commodity Board	Corn and Grain Sorgh	um Board							
Project Title	Improving Nitrogen N	lanagemer	nt for Arkansa	s Corn Producti	on				
	Budgets are re	equested in	separate col	umns if separa	te Worktags for	AES and CES w	vill be needed.		
			В	udget for Pe	rsonnel				
			Roberts, Trenton						
5	Select "AES" or "CES" fo	or each Pl	AES				Total Board Funding		
							Requested	AES Portion	<b>CES Portion</b>
				<b>Fulltime Pers</b>	onnel				
Position Title	Name (if position is filled)	% Time		Sa	laries		Total	AES	CES
Program Associate	Joe Shafer		\$14,000				\$14,000	\$14,000	\$0
Program Associate	Dave Smith		\$14,000				\$14,000	\$14,000	\$0
							\$0	\$0	\$0
							\$0	\$0	\$0
							\$0	\$0	\$0
	Subtota	l. Salarios	\$28,000	<u></u>	ŚŊ	\$0	\$28,000	\$28,000	ŚŊ
	Jubiota	n. Salaries	720,000	Ç.	aduato Studont	J Ç	\$20,000	\$20,000	ŲŲ
Tuition to be		w	ages		Total	AES Portion	CES Portion		
budgeted in the	(if position is filled)				•				
same ratio as GA	Kyle Hoegenauer	50%	\$12,500				\$12,500	\$12,500	<u>\$0</u>
stipend time, e.g.,							\$0 \$0	<u>\$0</u>	<u> </u>
full time GA							<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u> </u>
stipend, full year's							\$U	<u>\$0</u>	\$0 \$0
tuition.		Tuition	¢2 200				<u>ال</u> د مود دغ	<u>ںد</u> ۵۵۵ دغ	<u> </u>
		Tutton	\$5,500				\$3,500	\$5,500	ŞU
	Subtotal: Graduat	e Student	\$15,800	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$15,800	\$15,800	\$0
						Hourly			
				W	ages	1	Total	AES Portion	CES Portion
	Hourly-	Personnel	40.000				<u>\$0</u>	\$0 \$0	\$0
	Hourly	/-Students	\$2,000				\$2,000	\$2,000	\$0
	Subtot	al: Hourly	\$2,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,000	\$2,000	\$0
					F	ringe Benefits			
Enimena hanafita ana				Be	nefits		Total	AES Portion	CES Portion
Fringe benefits are	Fulltime	Personnel	\$8,848	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$8,848	\$8,848	\$0
calculated when	Graduate	e Students	\$525	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$525	\$525	\$0
amounts are	Hourly	Personnel	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
entered above	Hourly	/-Students	\$14	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$14	\$14	\$0
entereu ubove.	Subtotal: Fring	e Benefits	\$9,387	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$9,387	\$9,387	\$0
	Person	nel Total	\$55,187	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$55,187	\$55,187	\$0
						Travel			
luctify out of state			Travel				Total	<b>AES Portion</b>	<b>CES Portion</b>
travel in property		In-State	\$5,500				\$5,500	\$5,500	\$0
traver in proposal.	Οι	ut-of-State	\$1,500				\$1,500	\$1,500	\$0
	Tra	vel Total	\$7.000	ŚŊ	ŚŊ	Śŋ	\$7,000	\$7,000	¢0
	110		Ŷ7,000	J.	γŪ	γŪ	Ŷ7,000	Υ,000	γŪ

#### **Promotion Board Budget**

Roberts, Trenton Improving Nitrogen Management

Improving Nitrogen Management for Arkansas Corn Production

			Maintenance & Operations								
			N	1&0		Total	<b>AES Portion</b>	CES Portion			
	Supplies	\$2,000				\$2,000	\$2,000	\$0			
	Fertilizer/Chemicals	\$1,000				\$1,000	\$1,000	\$0			
	Publication					\$0	\$0	\$0			
	Statistical Consulting					\$0	\$0	\$0			
Other Direct Costs	Analysis	\$5,000				\$5,000	\$5,000	\$0			
	SAREC, Fayetteville	\$2,480	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,480	\$2,480	\$0			
	CTST, Marianna	\$1,680	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,680	\$1,680	\$0			
lce	Lonoke County Ext. Center	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
Jar	NERE, Keiser	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
tei	NERREC, Jonesboro	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
ain	Jackson County Ext. Center	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
Σ	PTST, Colt	\$4,200	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$4,200	\$4,200	\$0			
u	RIRE, Stuttgart	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
ati	Rosen Center	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
St	SEST, Rohwer	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
	SWRE, Hope	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
	VGSS, Kibler	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
	FRSS, Clarksville	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
	LFST, Batesville	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
	CSES Greenhouse, Fayetteville	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
	M & O Total	\$16,360	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$16,360	\$16,360	\$0			
	Total for Proposal	\$78,547	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$78,547	\$78,547	\$0			

Fringe Benefit Rates (as of 7/1/2022)												
Campus	Fulltime	Temp/Hourly	Graduate	Student								
AES	31.60%	7.90%	4.20%	0.70%								
CES	31.60%	7.90%	4.20%	0.70%								

C	Complete the following section ONLY if the project will be considered for an Ecosystem.									
			Roberts,							
		%	Trenton				Total			
Ecosystems	Grand Prairie	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
(Rice Only)	Mississippi Delta		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
	White River		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
	Totals	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			

#### Arkansas Corn and Grain Sorghum Board – 2024-2025 Proposal

Title: Determining disease resistance and susceptibility of corn and grain sorghum hybrids

Lead Investigators: Dr. Terry Spurlock, Dr. Jason Kelley, Dr. Camila Nicolli, and John Carlin

Status: New

**Research Areas:** Diseases

**Stated Goal:** To extend disease resistance and susceptibility data of commercial corn and grain sorghum hybrids to growers annually

#### **Specific Objectives:**

- 1. Establish a 'late-planted' version of the official corn hybrid variety trial at Rohwer Research Station (Spurlock), Lon Mann Cotton Research Station (Kelley), and Pine Tree Research Station (Nicolli).
- 2. Evaluate each grain sorghum official variety trial and determine the two (of the five normally planted) that have the highest disease pressure and determine the amount of disease on each hybrid. (Spurlock and Nicolli)
- 3. Extend the results to growers and consultants at county and regional row crop production meetings and post the results on the Arkansas Variety Testing web site annually. (Spurlock, Kelley, Nicolli, Carlin).

**Methods:** Seed from each entry of the official corn hybrid variety trial will be planted at each of three locations: Rohwer Research Station, Lon Mann Cotton Research Station in Marianna, and Pine Tree Research Station. Trials will be planted to 2-row plots on 30- or 38-inch row spacings (depending on station) with each hybrid replicated three times and plot lengths 20 ft long. **Each trial will be planted late to help increase foliar disease pressure, particularly southern rust pressure.** Disease incidence and severity will be determined for each location at growth stage R5.5 – R6 with each disease present rated on a 0-9 scale where a rating of '9' would indicate the disease was severe. Diseases that will be rated should include southern rust, northern corn leaf blight, southern corn leaf blight, gray leaf spot, stalk rot, and others if they are present and at high enough levels to be rated. Drone images will also be collected of each plot at each location for extension outreach and training purposes. At maturity, plots in all tests will be harvested with a plot combine and the results subjected to appropriate statistical analyses (ANOVA and means separation of fixed effects using Tukey's honest significant difference test).

Grain sorghum variety trials are planted each year as part of the variety testing program. Here, we propose to scout each trial and choose at least one, or possibly two trials, with significant disease pressure and rate the trials for incidence and severity of foliar and head diseases just prior to maturity. Drone images will be collected of all plots in the trials that are rated for disease and be used for extension outreach and training purposes.

**Planned Milestones:** Trials will be planted at each location by mid-May. Summer and annual reports will be provided as requested to the Corn and Grain sorghum Promotion Board. The results of each test location will be summarized and submitted to Arkansas Variety Testing by December 1.

**Statement of Projected Value:** At present, Arkansas Variety Testing does not generate foliar disease data for corn or grain sorghum for the official variety trial locations. These data would be valuable for producers to select high yielding corn and grain sorghum hybrids that are also resistant to the diseases that impact yield and grain quality in Arkansas. By selecting resistant hybrids, producers can save thousands of dollars on input costs for their own farms by not having to apply fungicides for foliar disease control.

**Budget Justifications/Explanation of Travel and Direct Costs:** The budget includes personnel costs for time spent planting and maintaining the trials and assisting in collection of disease data. There are also costs included for plot supplies, fertilizer, and herbicide to be used for plot maintenance. Travel costs are included for investigators and staff to travel to trial locations.

## University of Arkansas System Division of Agriculture Promotion Board Budget

Spurlock, Terry		Determini	ng disease res	itance and sus	ceptibility of cor	n and grain sor	ghum hybrids		
Year	2024/2025		Project Year	New				Version: 6.0	(11/01/2023)
Lead Investigator	Spurlock, Terry		Co-Pl #1	Kelley, Jason					
Co-PI #2	Nicolli, Camila		Co-PI #3						
Department	ENPL Entomology and	l Plant Path	ology						
Commodity Board	Corn and Grain Sorghu	um Board							
Project Title	Determining disease r	esitance ar	nd susceptibili	ty of corn and	grain sorghum h	ybrids			
	Budgets are re	quested in	separate colu	imns if separa	te Worktags for	AES and CES w	vill be needed.		
			Bu	udget for Pe	rsonnel				
			Spurlock, Terry	Kelley, Jason	Nicolli, Camila				
S	elect "AES" or "CES" fo	or each PI	CES	CES	CES		Total Board		
			020		020		Funding	AFC Doution	CEC Doution
				E III			Requested	AES POILION	CES POILION
	News			Fulltime Perso	onnei				
Position Title	(if position is filled)	% Time		Sal	aries		Total	AES	CES
Program Technician	Robert Hoyle	20%	\$8,500		40.000		\$8,500	<u>\$0</u>	\$8,500
Program Technician		20%			\$8,000		\$8,000	\$0	\$8,000
							\$0 ¢0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
							ېن ډې	<u>ې</u> ن	<u>ېل</u>
							ŞU	ŞU	<u>ېل</u>
	Subtota	al: Salaries	\$8,500	\$0	\$8,000	\$0	\$16,500	\$0	\$16,500
				Gr	aduate Student				
Tuition to be	Name (if position is filled)	% Time		w	ages		Total	<b>AES Portion</b>	<b>CES</b> Portion
same ratio as GA							\$0	\$0	\$0
stipend time. e.a							\$0	\$0	\$0
full time GA							\$0	\$0	\$0
stipend, full year's							\$0 \$0	<u>\$0</u>	\$0 \$0
tuition.		<b>T</b> . (4)					<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
		Iuition					ŞU	ŞU	ŞU
	Subtotal: Graduat	e Student	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
						Hourly			
				W	ages		Total	AES Portion	CES Portion
	Hourly-	Personnel		\$3,500			\$3,500	<u>\$0</u>	\$3,500
	Houriy	/-Students					ŞU	ŞU	ŞU
	Subtot	al: Hourly	\$0	\$3,500	\$0	\$0	\$3,500	\$0	\$3,500
					F	ringe Benefits			
Evinera hanafita eva				Bei	nefits		Total	AES Portion	CES Portion
calculated when	Fulltime	Personnel	\$2,686	\$0	\$2,528	\$0	\$5,214	\$0	\$5,214
salary and waae	Graduate	e Students	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
amounts are	Hourly	Personnel	\$0	\$277	\$0	\$0	\$277	\$0	\$277
entered above.	Hourly	/-Students	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Ş0	Ş0	\$0
	Subtotal: Fring	e Benefits	\$2,686	\$277	\$2,528	\$0	\$5,491	\$0	\$5,491
	Personi	nel Total	\$11,186	\$3,777	\$10,528	\$0	\$25,491	\$0	\$25,491
						Travel			
lustify out-of-state				Tr	avel		Total	<b>AES Portion</b>	<b>CES Portion</b>
travel in proposal.		In-State	\$1,000	\$2,500	\$1,000		\$4,500	\$0	\$4,500
and an proposal	Οι	ut-of-State					\$0	\$0	\$0
	Trav	vel Total	\$1,000	\$2,500	\$1,000	\$0	\$4,500	\$0	\$4,500

## University of Arkansas System Division of Agriculture Promotion Board Budget

Spurlock, Terry

Determining disease resitance and susceptibility of corn and grain sorghum hybrids

		Maintenance & Operations									
		N	1&0		Total	AES Portion	CES Portion				
Supplies	\$799	\$1,500	\$1,000		\$3,299	\$0	\$3,299				
Fertilizer/Chemicals	\$1,500	\$500	\$500		\$2,500	\$0	\$2,500				
Publication					\$0	\$0	\$0				
Statistical Consulting					\$0	\$0	\$0				
					\$0	\$0	\$0				
SAREC, Fayetteville	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0				
CTST, Marianna	\$0	\$2,010	\$0	\$0	\$2,010	\$0	\$2,010				
Lonoke County Ext. Center	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0				
NERE, Keiser	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0				
NERREC, Jonesboro	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0				
Jackson County Ext. Center	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0				
PTST, Colt	\$0	\$0	\$1,680	\$0	\$1,680	\$0	\$1,680				
RIRE, Stuttgart	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0				
Rosen Center	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0				
SEST, Rohwer	\$520	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$520	\$0	\$520				
SWRE, Hope	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0				
VGSS, Kibler	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0				
FRSS, Clarksville	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0				
LFST, Batesville	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0				
CSES Greenhouse, Fayetteville	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0				
M & O Total	\$2,819	\$4,010	\$3,180	\$0	\$10,009	\$0	\$10,009				
Total for Proposal	\$15,005	\$10,287	\$14,708	\$0	\$40,000	\$0	\$40,000				
	Supplies Fertilizer/Chemicals Publication Statistical Consulting SAREC, Fayetteville CTST, Marianna Lonoke County Ext. Center NERE, Keiser NERREC, Jonesboro Jackson County Ext. Center PTST, Colt RIRE, Stuttgart Rosen Center SEST, Rohwer SWRE, Hope VGSS, Kibler FRSS, Clarksville LFST, Batesville CSES Greenhouse, Fayetteville M & O Total Total for Proposal	Supplies\$799Fertilizer/Chemicals\$1,500PublicationStatistical ConsultingSAREC, Fayetteville\$0CTST, Marianna\$0Lonoke County Ext. Center\$0NERE, Keiser\$0Jackson County Ext. Center\$0Jackson County Ext. Center\$0PTST, Colt\$0RiRE, Stuttgart\$0Rosen Center\$0SEST, Rohwer\$520SWRE, Hope\$0VGSS, Kibler\$0FRSS, Clarksville\$0CSES Greenhouse, Fayetteville\$0M & O Total\$2,819Total for Proposal\$15,005	Supplies\$799\$1,500Fertilizer/Chemicals\$1,500\$500PublicationStatistical ConsultingSAREC, Fayetteville\$0\$0CTST, Marianna\$0\$2,010Lonoke County Ext. Center\$0\$0NERE, Keiser\$0\$0NERREC, Jonesboro\$0\$0Jackson County Ext. Center\$0\$0PTST, Colt\$0\$0BRRE, Stuttgart\$0\$0Rosen Center\$0\$0SWRE, Hope\$0\$0VGSS, Kibler\$0\$0FRSS, Clarksville\$0\$0CSES Greenhouse, Fayetteville\$0\$0M & O Total\$2,819\$4,010Total for Proposal\$15,005\$10,287	Mainter    Supplies  \$799  \$1,500  \$1,000    Fertilizer/Chemicals  \$1,500  \$500  \$500    Publication	Maintenance & Oper    Supplies  \$799  \$1,500  \$1,000    Fertilizer/Chemicals  \$1,500  \$500  \$500    Publication       Statistical Consulting        SAREC, Fayetteville  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0    CTST, Marianna  \$0  \$2,010  \$0  \$0    Lonoke County Ext. Center  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0    NERE, Keiser  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0    NERREC, Jonesboro  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0    Jackson County Ext. Center  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0    PTST, Colt  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0    PTST, Colt  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0    SWRE, Hope  \$	Maintenance & Operations    Supplies  \$799  \$1,500  \$1,000  \$3,299    Fertilizer/Chemicals  \$1,500  \$500  \$500  \$2,500    Publication    \$0  \$0    Statistical Consulting    \$0  \$0    Statistical Consulting    \$0  \$0    SAREC, Fayetteville  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0    CTST, Marianna  \$0  \$2,010  \$0  \$0    Lonoke County Ext. Center  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0    NERE, Keiser  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0    Jackson County Ext. Center  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0    PTST, Colt  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0    RiRe, Stuttgart  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0    Seen Center  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0    SEST, Rohwer  \$520  \$0  \$0	Maintenance & Operations    VISO  Maintenance & Operations    Supplies  \$799  \$1,500  \$\$1,000  \$\$2,500  \$\$0    Fertilizer/Chemicals  \$\$1,500  \$\$0  \$\$2,500  \$\$0    Publication  \$\$2,500  \$\$0    Statistical Consulting  \$\$<1,500  \$\$0				

Fringe Benefit Rates (as of 7/1/2022)												
Campus	Fulltime	Temp/Hourly	Graduate	Student								
AES	31.60%	7.90%	4.20%	0.70%								
CES	31.60%	7.90%	4.20%	0.70%								

Complete the following section ONLY if the project will be considered for an Ecosystem.										
			Spurlock,							
		%	Terry	Kelley, Jason	Nicolli, Camila		Total			
Ecosystems	Grand Prairie	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
(Rice Only)	Mississippi Delta		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
	White River		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
	Totals	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			

#### Arkansas Corn and Grain Sorghum Board – 2024-2025 Proposal

Title: Developing a satellite-based field scouting tool for corn

Lead Investigators: Dr. Terry Spurlock

Co-Investigators: Dr. Jason Kelley

Status: New

Research Areas: Precision Ag, General Agronomics, and Diseases

**Stated Goal:** To develop a tool that uses publicly available satellite imagery to increase scouting efficiency by locating areas in fields that should be scouted

#### **Specific Objectives:**

- 1. Run the tool weekly on each corn verification field and scout areas of field the tool locates at least once prior to VT, once at R3/R4 and once at R6.
- 2. Collect relevant data relating to corn health and productivity (stand, weed populations, diseases present, etc.) at each area the tool locates as well as soil samples from areas the tool frequently locates.
- 3. Test different vegetation indexes and mathematical models to determine the best single model or combination of models for field scouting.
- 4. Year 3 deploy the beta version of the tool to be used by county agents, consultants, scouts, and/or farmers.

Methods: For each verification field, a polygon shapefile will be drawn to represent the field boundary. All fields will be joined as a single file and these data entered into the tool. The tool will be run weekly on all fields through maturity. As fields reach maturity, they will be removed from the model. The model will use multiple vegetation indexes, normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI), simple ratio (SR), optimized vegetation index (OSAVI), difference vegetation index (DVI), and others, calculated from satellite data that is downloaded weekly. From these indices, three mathematical models will be calculated, 10 points in 4 categories: high, medium high, medium low, and low, a global Moran's I, and high and low outliers calculated using the interquartile range method, to locate field areas to be scouted. After each run of the tool, a file with point data representing areas of the fields to be scouted will be created for each field. These files will then be opened, visualized, and located using a GPS enabled smart phone. For each area scouted, data will be collected within a 5-meter area. Data to be collected will be stand, percent weed coverage, diseases present, and other relevant data describing the field condition. For areas the tool repeatedly locates, a soil sample will be collected prior to harvest. Soil samples will be stored in a -80 celsius freezer for future analysis. At the time of scouting, each point will be designated as 'healthy' or 'unhealthy' to confirm that visual observation agrees or disagrees with the category designated by the model run. Data will be analyzed using a t-test to determine differences between what each model run located.

**Planned Milestones:** Each year, a summary of findings will be provided to the Arkansas Corn and Grain Sorghum Promotion Board as required. Required progress reports will also be provided. At the conclusion of year 3, a 'beta' version of the tool will be made available to select county offices for use and further testing.

**Statement of Projected Value:** A working satellite-based scouting tool has been developed to address inefficiency in our current field scouting procedures. This project seeks to validate the tool and provide a more efficient way to learn as much as possible as quickly as possible about corn fields during scouting. As farm sizes increase and the amount of acreage consultants are required to scout increases, an updated scouting procedure is needed that will direct scouts to the most important areas of fields and allow more informed decisions to be made and decrease the likelihood of missed pest pressure and unnecessary product applications.

**Budget Justifications/Explanation of Travel and Direct Costs:** The budget accounts for travel to and from field locations using Monticello as a home base. We expect to scout verification field locations in southern or central Arkansas. The Spurlock laboratory has hired a specific person to complete data collection with experience in weed science, entomology, and plant pathology. Her hourly wage is accounted for in the budget at an estimated 20 hours per week.

Spurlock, Terry		Developin	g a satellite-bo	ased field scou	ting tool for corn	1			
Year 2024/2	025		Project Year	New				Version: 6.0	(11/01/2023)
Lead Investigator Spurloo	ck, Terry		Co-Pl #1	Kelley, Jason		l .			
Co-PI #2			Co-PI #3						
Department ENPL E	ntomology and	d Plant Path	ology						
Commodity Board Corn ar	nd Grain Sorgh	um Board							
Project Title Develo	ping a satellite	-based field	d scouting too	l for corn					
	Budgets are re	equested in	separate colu	imns if separa	te Worktags for	AES and CES w	ill be needed.		
			B	udget for Pe	rsonnel				
			Spurlock,						
			Terry	Kelley, Jason			Total Board		
Select "A	AES" or "CES" f	or each PI	CES	CES			Funding		
							Requested	AES Portion	<b>CES Portion</b>
				Fulltime Pers	onnel				
Position Title	Name	% Time		Sal	aries		Total	AES	CES
(If pos	ition is filled)						¢0	¢0	<u> </u>
							ŞU	ŞU	) \$0
							ېن د م	ېن د م	<u> </u>
							ې د کې	<u>رې</u> ۵۷	<u> </u>
							<del>ر</del> در	<u>نې</u> ۱۵۶	30 \$0
			40	40	40	4.0			Ç.
	Subtota	al: Salaries	Ş0	Ş0	\$0	Ş0	ŞU	ŞU	Ş0
				Gr	aduate Student				
Tuition to be (if pos	name ition is filled)	% Time		w	ages		Total	AES Portion	<b>CES</b> Portion
budgeted in the							\$0	\$0	\$0
same ratio as GA							\$0	\$0	\$0
full time GA							\$0	\$0	\$0
stinend full year's							\$0	\$0	\$0
tuition.							\$0	\$0	\$0
		Tuition					\$0	\$0	\$0
Sub	ototal: Graduat	te Student	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
						Hourly		•	
				W	ages		Total	<b>AES Portion</b>	<b>CES</b> Portion
	Hourly-	-Personnel	\$12,000				\$12,000	\$0	\$12,000
	Hourly	y-Students					\$0	\$0	\$0
	Subtot	tal: Hourly	\$12,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$12,000	\$0	\$12,000
					F	ringe Benefits			
				Bei	nefits		Total	<b>AES Portion</b>	<b>CES Portion</b>
Fringe benefits are	Fulltime	Personnel	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
calculated when	Graduate	e Students	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
amounts are	Hourly	Personnel	\$948	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$948	\$ <mark>0</mark>	\$948
entered above	Hourly	y-Students	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Subtotal: Fring	e Benefits	\$948	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$948	\$0	\$948
	Person	nel Total	\$12,948	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$12,948	\$0	\$12,948
						Travel			
Justify out-of-state	Travel				Total	<b>AES Portion</b>	<b>CES Portion</b>		
travel in proposal		1	62 OF 2				\$2.052	\$0	\$2.052
that crim proposal.		In-State	\$2,052				72,032	ΨŪ	<i>\\</i> 2,032
	Οι	ut-of-State	\$2,052				\$2,052	\$0 \$0	\$2,032 \$0

#### **Promotion Board Budget**

Developing a satellite-based field scouting tool for corn

**Maintenance & Operations** M&0 **AES Portion CES** Portion Total Supplies \$0 \$0 Fertilizer/Chemicals \$0 \$0 \$0 Publication \$0 \$0 \$0 Statistical Consulting \$0 **\$**0 \$0 Other Direct Costs \$0 SAREC, Favetteville \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 **\$**0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 CTST, Marianna \$0 **Station Maintenance** \$0 Lonoke County Ext. Center \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 NERE, Keiser \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 NERREC, Jonesboro \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 Jackson County Ext. Center \$0 PTST, Colt \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 RIRE, Stuttgart \$0 \$0 \$0 Rosen Center \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 SEST, Rohwer \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 SWRE, Hope \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 VGSS, Kibler FRSS, Clarksville \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 LFST, Batesville \$0 \$0 \$0 CSES Greenhouse, Fayetteville \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 M & O Total \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 **Total for Proposal** \$0 \$0 \$15,000 \$15,000 \$0 \$15,000 \$0

Budget errors delay submission of your proposal. Any proposal submitted with errors in the budget cannot be guaranteed accurate presentation for funding. Please check budgets for accuracy.

Fringe Benefit Rates (as of 7/1/2022)												
Campus	Fulltime	Temp/Hourly	Graduate	Studen								
AES	31.60%	7.90%	4.20%	0.70%								
CES	31.60%	7.90%	4.20%	0.70%								

С	Complete the following section ONLY if the project will be considered for an Ecosystem.										
	Spurlock,										
		%	Terry	Kelley, Jason			Total				
Ecosystems	Grand Prairie	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0				
(Rice Only)	Mississippi Delta		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0				
	White River		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0				
	Totals	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0				

Version 5.0

Spurlock, Terry

#### Arkansas Corn and Grain Sorghum Board - 2024-2025 Proposal

<u>Title:</u> Generating a high-value wax material from sorghum bran using an innovative green approach Lead Investigator: Ali Ubeyitogullari

#### Status: New

Research Areas: Misc. Projects

#### Stated Goal:

Grain sorghum is a drought-resistant cereal, making it a valuable crop to cope with the changing climate. However, the potential of grain sorghum is underestimated as its use in food and bioethanol production is still minimal. Another distinguishing feature of grain sorghum, setting it apart from other grains, is its notable high wax content found in the bran. Sorghum bran waxes have a high melting point, which makes them a potential alternative to commercial carnauba wax, which has sustainability issues. Carnauba wax production is confined to Brazil, with notable concerns surrounding deforestation. Therefore, there is a great opportunity for grain sorghum for the generation of wax material as an alternative to commercial carnauba wax. Thus, our overall objective for this application is to extract and fractionate grain sorghum waxes using a green approach based on supercritical carbon dioxide (SC-CO<sub>2</sub>) for both food and non-food industrial applications.

SC-CO<sub>2</sub> is a green solvent for the extraction of various nonpolar compounds since CO<sub>2</sub> is nontoxic, environmentally friendly, inexpensive, abundant, and has a mild critical temperature (31 °C) and pressure (7.4 MPa). In addition, the use of grain sorghum in bioethanol production results in a lipid slurry that is rich in waxes. Nevertheless, this side stream is currently underutilized due to the limitation of hexane fractionation. Therefore, the proposed project will add value to grain sorghum by increasing its use both in food and ethanol production due to its high wax content. In addition, bioethanol manufacturers will increase the use/ratio of sorghum in their fermenters due to high-value wax in their byproducts. Overall, this study will enable the extraction and fractionation of waxes from other lipids using SC-CO<sub>2</sub> owing to its tunable solubility, density, and diffusivity properties.

#### **Specific Objectives:**

- 1. Extract high-value waxes from sorghum bran using SC-CO<sub>2</sub>, optimize the extraction conditions, and create a model to describe the extraction process.
- 2. Separate and fractionate waxes from a recovered oil process stream of a bioethanol facility that uses grain sorghum in their fermenter.
- 3. Coat fresh blackberries with the generated wax fractions to enhance their shelf life.

#### Methods:

<u>Objective 1:</u> Grain sorghum (white, yellow, red, brown, and black) will be decorticated by a dehuller to obtain sorghum bran. The wax-rich oils will be extracted from different sorghum brans using a lab-scale SC-CO<sub>2</sub> extractor (SFT-120, Supercritical Fluid Technologies, Inc., DE, USA). First, the cylindrical stainless-steel vessel (100 mL) will be filled with 18 g of sorghum bran. The vessel will be sealed with glass wool from both ends to prevent the stainless-steel frits from clogging. Then, the system will be flushed with CO<sub>2</sub> to eliminate any air in the vessel to prevent oxidation. Subsequently, the temperatures of the needle and micrometering valves will be set to 80 °C to prevent freezing owing to the Joule-Thompson effect during the continuous flow of CO<sub>2</sub>. Next, the vessel will be heated to the set temperature (30-80 °C) and pressurized to the set pressure (5-40 MPa). After a static extraction time of 20 min, the flow rate of CO<sub>2</sub> will be adjusted to 2 L/min (at ambient conditions). The extracted fractions will be continuously collected in 40 mL brown glass vials kept in an ice bath. The extraction time will vary between 1-5 h. The extraction yield will be determined gravimetrically. The wax content of the collected lipids will be determined using ethanol fractionation.

determined using a gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS) method. The SC-CO<sub>2</sub> extraction conditions will be modeled and optimized using machine learning algorithms.

<u>Objective 2:</u> The bioethanol production side streams (i.e., lipid slurries) will be obtained from Western Plains Energy. The bioethanol facility uses different ratios of corn/sorghum in their fermenter, where, depending on the availability, they could use over 90% grain sorghum. Lipid slurries obtained from 30, 50, and 70% grain sorghum used during fermentation will be used for the separation and fractionation of waxes using the same SC-CO<sub>2</sub> extractor (SFT-120, Supercritical Fluid Technologies, Inc., DE, USA). Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC, Model Diamond, Perkin-Elmer, Norwalk, CT, USA) will be performed to measure the melting points of the extracts/fractions collected. The composition of the waxes will be characterized using a GC-MS method. The melting profiles will be compared with commercially available waxes such as carnauba, shellac, beeswax, and candelilla. The DSC data will be fed into machine learning algorithms to optimize the SC-CO<sub>2</sub> extraction conditions to generate waxes with properties similar to the commercially available ones.

<u>Objective 3:</u> The purified waxes will be used to generate nanoemulsions, which will be applied to the fresh blackberries to increase their shelf life. The wax nanoemulsions will be characterized for their droplet size, zeta potential, stability, and viscosity. The coating will be achieved by spraying onto the fruit or dipping them into the emulsion solutions. The thickness of the coating materials will be analyzed using scanning electron microscopy. Based on the modeling data, wax fractions with similar properties to carnauba and candelilla wax will be applied, and they will be compared with the commercially available waxes. The texture, color, weight, total phenolic content, and decay of the blackberries will be determined over a storage period of 21 days.

#### **Planned Milestones:**

The extraction and modeling of waxes from sorghum bran will be completed in the first year. Separation, fractionation, and predictive modeling of waxes from bioethanol side streams will be completed in the second year. In the third year, the collected wax fraction will be used to produce coating materials, and they will be tested on fresh blackberries to increase their shelf life.

#### **Statement of Projected Value:**

The utilization of grain sorghum in bioethanol and food applications is limited; thus, the full value of grain sorghum is underestimated. The proposed research will highlight the advantages of grain sorghum by creating high-value wax materials from sorghum bran and byproducts of bioethanol production using a green process based on SC-CO<sub>2</sub> technology. This process will maximize the value of grain sorghum, add value to the lipid side stream in bioethanol production, and minimize waste generation. Considering the grain sorghum production in Arkansas, this research will contribute to Arkansas's economy by generating premium waxes from grain sorghum and, in turn, increase the acreage of grain sorghum in Arkansas. Finally, the proposed project has great potential for patent application and licensing, which can increase the demand for grain sorghum and its profitability.

#### **Budget Justifications/Explanation of Travel and Direct Costs:**

Funds are requested to support a graduate student and cover tuition for the graduate student. Out-of-state travel is requested to present findings at professional conferences (e.g., IFT, AOCS) in the U.S., to increase the visibility of the University and attract high-quality people to the state. Also, funds are requested for direct expenses like materials and supplies (i.e., high-pressure tubing and valves, glassware, chemicals, digestive enzymes) in the lab, and service lab usage fees (GC, FTIR, SEM, XRD service fees).

Ubeyitogullari, Ali		Generatin	g a high-value	wax material	from sorghum bi	an using an ini	novative green	approach	
Year	2024/2025		Project Year	New				Version: 6.0	(11/01/2023)
Lead Investigator	Ubeyitogullari, Ali		Co-Pl #1						
Co-PI #2			Co-PI #3						
Department	FDSC Food Science								
Commodity Board	Corn and Grain Sorghu	um Board					-		
Project Title	Generating a high-valu	ie wax ma	terial from sor	ghum bran usi	ng an innovative	green approad	:h		
	Budgets are re	quested in	separate colu	ımns if separa	te Worktags for	AES and CES w	ill be needed.		
			В	udget for Pe	rsonnel				
			Ubeyitogullar i, Ali						
S	elect "AES" or "CES" fo	or each Pl	AES				Total Board Funding		
							Requested	AES Portion	CES Portion
				<b>Fulltime Pers</b>	onnel				
Position Title	Name (if position is filled)	% Time		Sa	laries		Total	AES	CES
							\$0	\$0	\$0
							\$0	\$0	\$0
							\$0	\$0	\$0
							\$0	\$0	\$0
							\$0	\$0	\$0
	Subtota	I: Salaries	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
				Gr	aduate Student				
Tuition to be	Name (if position is filled)	% Time		w	ages		Total	AES Portion	CES Portion
budgeted in the		100%	\$23,000				\$23,000	\$23,000	\$0
same ratio as GA							\$0	\$0	\$0
stipena time, e.g., full time CA							\$0	\$0	\$0
stinend full year's							\$0	\$0	\$0
tuition.							\$0	\$0	\$0
		Tuition	\$6,795				\$6,795	\$6,795	\$0
	Subtotal: Graduat	e Student	\$29,795	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$29,795	\$29,795	\$0
			Hourly						
				w	ages		Total	AES Portion	CES Portion
	Hourly-	Personnel					\$0	\$0	\$0
	Hourly	-Students					\$0	\$0	\$0
	Subtot	al: Hourly	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
					F	ringe Benefits			
				Be	nefits		Total	<b>AES Portion</b>	<b>CES Portion</b>
calculated when	Fulltime	Personnel	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
salary and wage	Graduate	Students	\$966	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$966	\$966	\$0
amounts are	Hourly	Personnel	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
entered above.	Hourly	-Students	<u></u> \$0	<u></u> \$0	<u></u> \$0	Ş0	Ş0	Ş0	Ş0
	Subtotal: Fringe	e Benefits	\$966	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$966	\$966	\$0
	Person	nel Total	\$30,761	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$30,761	\$30,761	\$0
						Travel			
Justify out-of-state				Tr	avel		Total	AES Portion	CES Portion
travel in proposal.	-	In-State	60.000				\$0	\$0	\$0
	Ou	t-ot-State	\$2,000				\$2,000	\$2,000	Ş0
	Trav	vel Total	\$2,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,000	\$2,000	\$0

## University of Arkansas System Division of Agriculture Promotion Board Budget

Ubeyitogullari, Ali

Generating a high-value wax material from sorghum bran using an innovative green approach

		Maintenance & Operations								
		IV.	1&0		Total	<b>AES Portion</b>	CES Portion			
Supplies	\$10,000				\$10,000	\$10,000	\$0			
Fertilizer/Chemicals					\$0	\$0	\$0			
Publication					\$0	\$0	\$0			
Statistical Consulting					\$0	\$0	\$0			
					\$0	\$0	\$0			
SAREC, Fayetteville	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
CTST, Marianna	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
Lonoke County Ext. Center	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
NERE, Keiser	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
NERREC, Jonesboro	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
Jackson County Ext. Center	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
PTST, Colt	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
RIRE, Stuttgart	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
Rosen Center	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
SEST, Rohwer	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
SWRE, Hope	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
VGSS, Kibler	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
FRSS, Clarksville	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
LFST, Batesville	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
CSES Greenhouse, Fayetteville	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
M & O Total	\$10,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$10,000	\$10,000	\$0			
Total for Proposal	\$42,761	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$42,761	\$42,761	\$0			
	Supplies Fertilizer/Chemicals Publication Statistical Consulting SAREC, Fayetteville CTST, Marianna Lonoke County Ext. Center NERE, Keiser NERREC, Jonesboro Jackson County Ext. Center PTST, Colt RIRE, Stuttgart Rosen Center SEST, Rohwer SEST, Rohwer SWRE, Hope VGSS, Kibler FRSS, Clarksville LFST, Batesville CSES Greenhouse, Fayetteville <b>M &amp; O Total Total for Proposal</b>	Supplies\$10,000Fertilizer/ChemicalsPublicationPublicationStatistical ConsultingSAREC, Fayetteville\$0CTST, Marianna\$0Lonoke County Ext. Center\$0NERE, Keiser\$0Jackson County Ext. Center\$0Jackson County Ext. Center\$0PTST, Colt\$0RiRE, Stuttgart\$0SEST, Rohwer\$0SWRE, Hope\$0VGSS, Kibler\$0FRSS, Clarksville\$0CSES Greenhouse, Fayetteville\$0Total for Proposal\$42,761	Supplies\$10,000Fertilizer/ChemicalsPublicationStatistical ConsultingSAREC, Fayetteville\$0SAREC, Fayetteville\$0CTST, Marianna\$0Lonoke County Ext. Center\$0NERE, Keiser\$0NEREC, Jonesboro\$0Jackson County Ext. Center\$0PTST, Colt\$0RIRE, Stuttgart\$0SEST, Rohwer\$0SURE, Hope\$0VGSS, Kibler\$0FRSS, Clarksville\$0SURE, Hope\$0SURE, Hope\$0<	MainterSupplies\$10,000Supplies\$10,000Fertilizer/ChemicalsPublicationPublicationStatistical ConsultingSAREC, Fayetteville\$0\$0\$0SAREC, Fayetteville\$0\$0\$0CTST, Marianna\$0\$0\$0Lonoke County Ext. Center\$0NERE, Keiser\$0\$0\$0NERE, Keiser\$0\$0\$0Jackson County Ext. Center\$0\$0\$0PTST, Colt\$0\$0\$0RIRE, Stuttgart\$0\$0\$0SUT, Rohwer\$0\$0\$0SURE, Hope\$0	Maintenance & OperM&OSupplies\$10,000M&OFertilizer/Chemicals000Publication0000Statistical Consulting0000SAREC, Fayetteville\$0\$0\$0\$0CTST, Marianna\$0\$0\$0\$0Lonoke County Ext. Center\$0\$0\$0\$0NERE, Keiser\$0\$0\$0\$0Jackson County Ext. Center\$0\$0\$0\$0PTST, Colt\$0\$0\$0\$0Backson County Ext. Center\$0\$0\$0\$0NERREC, Jonesboro\$0\$0\$0\$0NERRE, Keiser\$0\$0\$0\$0NERRE, Stuttgart\$0\$0\$0\$0Rosen Center\$0\$0\$0\$0SWRE, Hope\$0\$0\$0\$0SWRE, Hope\$0\$0\$0\$0VGSS, Kibler\$0\$0\$0\$0FRSS, Clarksville\$0\$0\$0\$0CSES Greenhouse, Fayetteville\$0\$0\$0\$0M & O Total\$10,000\$0\$0\$0\$0Total for Proposal\$42,761\$0\$0\$0\$0	Maintenance & Operations    M&O  Total    Supplies  \$10,000  \$10,000    Fertilizer/Chemicals  Publication  \$0  \$0    Publication  \$10,000  \$0  \$0  \$0    Statistical Consulting  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0    SAREC, Fayetteville  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0    CTST, Mariana  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0    Lonoke County Ext. Center  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0    NERE, Keiser  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0    Jackson County Ext. Center  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0    NERREC, Jonesboro  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0    Jackson County Ext. Center  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0    RIRE, Stuttgart  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0    SEST, Rohwer  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0    SEST, Ro	Maintenance & Operations    Supplies  Stophes  Stophes  AES Portion    Supplies  \$10,000  \$10,000  \$10,000  \$10,000    Fertilizer/Chemicals  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0    Publication  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0    Statistical Consulting  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0    CTST, Mariana  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0    Lonoke County Ext. Center  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0    NERRE, Keiser  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0    Jackson County Ext. Center  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0    PTST, Colt  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0    SEST, Rohwer  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0    SUB  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0    NERREC,			

	Fringe Benefit Rates (as of 7/1/2022)											
Campus	Fulltime	Temp/Hourly	Graduate	Student								
AES	31.60%	7.90%	4.20%	0.70%								
CES	31.60%	7.90%	4.20%	0.70%								

0	Complete the following section ONLY if the project will be considered for an Ecosystem.										
			Ubeyitogullari								
Ecosystems (Rice Only)		%	, Ali				Total				
	Grand Prairie	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0				
	Mississippi Delta		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0				
	White River		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0				
	Totals	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0				

#### Arkansas Corn and Grain Sorghum Board – 2024-2025 Proposal

**Title:** Development and verification of a stand counting application using drone imagery for research and production decision support.

Lead Investigators: Jason Davis, Extension Specialist in Remote Sensing and Pesticide Application

Co-Investigators: Jason Kelley, Extension Agronomist

Status: New, Year 1 of 3

Research Areas: Misc. Project (Precision Agriculture)

**Stated Goal:** Produce and validate a user-friendly stand counting program or web-tool that can be used in conjunction with drone imagery to inform research and production replant decisions.

#### **Specific Objectives:**

- (1) Collect drone imagery of plant population studies (small plots) and use it to train a custom corn plant counting object detection model.
- (2) Validate detection accuracy of model with considerations for research and production applications.
- (3) Develop and release a user-friendly software package that leverages the validated customized model.

#### **Methods:**

- Drone imagery will be collected on multiple dates of Dr. Jason Kelly's small and large plot Nitrogen population evaluation study at the Jackson County Extension Center and the Pine Tree Research Station. Established treatments in large and small plots will be imaged using an automated mission flying at low altitude (2 – 3 m) and at two relative view angles to corn emergence (directly overhead and approximately 35° off nadir). Imagery will be collected within a week of corn emergence and in conjunction with counting events by Dr. Kelly's team to be used as part of model validation.
- 2. Imagery will be converted into training data by annotating individual corn plants. Training data will be used to train a custom object detection model. Model accuracy will be validated using a combination of ground referenced results collected from Dr. Kelly's team and manual counting in raw imagery.
- 3. A user-friendly software package (downloadable executable program) or web-tool (hosted on UAEX website) will be developed that uses the custom trained corn counting model to produce field maps and reports with raw counts and acre population estimates.

#### **Planned Milestones:**

Year 1 – Plots established, imagery collected, annotated, and initial model trained. Model accuracy will be evaluated, and results will be presented at crop production meetings, blog postings, and other avenues of information. Initial software framework to be established using Year 1 model.

Year 2 – Plots established, additional imagery will be collected, annotated and model updated as needed to reach desirable accuracy. The updated model will be embedded in a further developed software framework. If software tool and model perform to a desirable level of accuracy, they will be released. If further development is needed, Year 3 funding may be requested.

Year 3 – Model and software framework will be finalized, and tool will be made available.

#### **Statement of Projected Value:**

Optimal plant populations heavily influence yield and profitability; however, establishing robust plant population estimates at scale to inform replant or other production decisions is cumbersome and time consuming. A user-friendly and robust counting tool that leverages the automated data collection capabilities of drones could significantly facilitate these estimates. Furthermore, if population data is incorporated into machine readable maps, population estimates could inform site-specific applications of nutrients with Variable Rate Technology (VRT). A prerequisite of this, however, would be the automation of population estimates across whole fields. This project will have the initial value of building a stand counting tool for traditional production decisions and potentially be used as the foundation for a variable rate map generator for site-specific nutrient applications.

#### **Budget Justifications/Explanation of Travel and Direct Costs:**

The budget reflects personnel, travel, and some miscellaneous supplies for fieldwork and data analysis. Specifically, partial support for a program technician to assist with field work, data collection and training imagery annotation is requested. Additionally, funding for travel to and from plot locations to collect imagery and ground reference data. Miscellaneous supplies related to plot work, drone maintenance, and data storage and analysis.

Davis, Jason		Developm	ent and verific	ation of a star	nd counting appli	cation using dr	one imagery fo	r research and	production	
Year	2024/2025		Project Year	New				Version: 6.0	(11/01/2023)	
Lead Investigator	Davis, Jason		Co-PI #1	Kelley, Jason						
Co-PI #2			Co-PI #3							
Department	CSES Crop, Soil, Enviro	onmental S	cience							
Commodity Board	Corn and Grain Sorghu	um Board								
Project Title	Development and veri	ification of	a stand count	ing applicatior	n using drone ima	agery for resea	rch and produc	tion decision s	upport.	
	Budgets are re	quested in	separate colu	ımns if separa	te Worktags for	AES and CES w	ill be needed.			
			В	udget for Pe	rsonnel					
			Davis Jason	Kelley Jason						
S	Select "AES" or "CES" fo	or each Pl	CES	CES			Total Board			
							Requested	AFS Portion	CFS Portion	
				Eulltime Bors	onnol		nequesteu			
	Name			Functime Fers	onner					
Position Title	(if position is filled)	% Time		Sa	laries		Total	AES	CES	
Technician		25%	\$10,000				\$10,000	\$0	\$10,000	
							\$0	\$0	\$0	
							\$0	\$0	\$0	
							\$0	\$0	\$0	
							\$0	\$0	\$0	
	Subtota	I: Salaries	\$10,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$10,000	\$0	\$10,000	
				Gr	aduate Student					
Tuition to be budgeted in the	Name (if position is filled)	% Time		w	ages		Total	AES Portion	<b>CES</b> Portion	
							\$0	\$0	\$0	
same ratio as GA							\$0	\$0	\$0	
stipena time, e.g.,							\$0	\$0	\$0	
Juli time GA							\$0	\$0	\$0	
supena, juii year s							\$0	\$0	\$0	
tuition.		Tuition					\$0	\$0	\$0	
	Subtotal: Graduat	e Student	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
						Hourly	<u> </u>			
				w	ages		Total	<b>AES Portion</b>	<b>CES</b> Portion	
	Hourly-	Personnel					\$0	\$0	\$0	
	Hourly	-Students					\$0	\$0	\$0	
	Subtot	al: Hourly	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
			, v v		F	ringe Benefits		÷.	<u>+-</u>	
				Be	nefits		Total	<b>AES Portion</b>	<b>CES</b> Portion	
Fringe benefits are	Fulltime	Personnel	\$3,160	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,160	\$0	\$3,160	
calculated when	Graduate	Students	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
salary and wage	Hourly	Personnel	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
amounts are	Hourly	-Students	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
entered above.	Subtotal: Fringe	e Benefits	\$3,160	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,160	\$0	\$3,160	
	Person	nel Total	\$13,160	0¢ ()	0¢ ()	0¢ ()	\$13,160	0¢ ()	\$13,160	
	i ci jolii		÷10,100	γŪ	J OC	Travel	Ŷ10,100	ŲÇ	÷10,100	
				Travel				AES Portion	<b>CES</b> Portion	
Justify out-of-state		In-State	\$3,500				\$3.500	\$0	\$3.500	
travel in proposal.	Ou	it-of-State	, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,				\$0	\$0	\$0	
	Tro	vol Total	¢2 τοο	ćo	ćo.	ćo	¢2 F00	ćo	¢2.500	
Travel Total			\$3,500	\$0	\$0	Ş0	\$3,500	Ş0	\$3,500	

## University of Arkansas System Division of Agriculture Promotion Board Budget

Davis, Jason

Development and verification of a stand counting application using drone imagery for research and production

		Maintenance & Operations								
				1&0		Total	<b>AES Portion</b>	CES Portion		
	Supplies	\$3,000				\$3,000	\$0	\$3,000		
	Fertilizer/Chemicals					\$0	\$0	\$0		
	Publication					\$0	\$0	\$0		
	Statistical Consulting					\$0	\$0	\$0		
Other Direct Costs						\$0	\$0	\$0		
	SAREC, Fayetteville	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
	CTST, Marianna	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
lance	Lonoke County Ext. Center	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
	NERE, Keiser	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
ter	NERREC, Jonesboro	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
ain	Jackson County Ext. Center	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
Σ̈́	PTST, Colt	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
6	RIRE, Stuttgart	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
atio	Rosen Center	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
St	SEST, Rohwer	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
	SWRE, Hope	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
	VGSS, Kibler	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
	FRSS, Clarksville	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
	LFST, Batesville	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
	CSES Greenhouse, Fayetteville	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
	M & O Total	\$3,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,000	\$0	\$3,000		
	Total for Proposal	\$19,660	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$19,660	\$0	\$19,660		

	Fringe Benefit Rates (as of 7/1/2022)											
Campus	Fulltime	Temp/Hourly	Graduate	Student								
AES	31.60%	7.90%	4.20%	0.70%								
CES	31.60%	7.90%	4.20%	0.70%								

Complete the following section ONLY if the project will be considered for an Ecosystem.										
Ecosystems (Rice Only)		%	Davis, Jason	Kelley, Jason			Total			
	Grand Prairie	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
	Mississippi Delta		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
	White River		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
	Totals	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			

#### Arkansas Corn and Grain Sorghum Promotion Board - 2024-2025 Proposal

Title:	Optimizing Plant Population and Nitrogen Rate in Corn
Lead Investigator:	Jason Kelley, Extension Agronomist
<b>Co-Investigators:</b>	Trent Roberts, Soil Fertility, Hunter Biram, Extension Economist
Status:	Year 2 of 3
Research Areas: A	gronomics, Fertility, Economics
Stated Goal: Evalu popul	ate corn yield response and resulting economic returns to varying plant ations and plant population x nitrogen rate combinations.

#### **Specific Proposed Objectives:**

(1) Evaluate the impact of corn plant populations (populations of approximately 20k-45k plants/acre) on producer fields to compare yields, lodging potential, and economic return across diverse sites with varying yield potential and various commonly planted hybrids. (Large plots)

(2) Evaluate yield response to varying plant populations of new and commonly grown corn hybrids in combination with varying nitrogen rates (Small Plots)

(3) Evaluate resulting economic returns from trials

#### **Methods and Procedures:**

- 1. Large plot trials will be established on cooperating producer fields with the assistance of county agents. An approximate range of plant populations will be 20k-45k plants/acre but will vary depending on the producer. The hybrid (s) evaluated will be based on what the producer is planting. Grain yield, lodging, and economic returns will be evaluated.
- 2. Replicated small plots evaluating corn plant population X nitrogen rate of 2 or more hybrids will be conducted at five locations including the Southeast Research and Extension Center at Rohwer, the Lon Mann Cotton Research Station near Marianna, the Pinetree Research Station, the Jackson County Extension Center, near Newport, and the Milo Shult Agriculture Research and Extension Center at Fayetteville. Anticipated plant populations to be evaluated include 22k, 27k, 32k, 37k, and 42k plants/acre. Nitrogen rates anticipated include 0, 150, 200, 250, and 300 lbs N/ac. Tissue sampling will be conducted to evaluate potential differences in nutrient concentrations among varying plant populations. Yield, lodging, and economic returns will be evaluated.
- **3.** Economic returns will be determined from Objectives 1 and 2 using resulting corn yields from treatments and actual input prices to determine the most economical production practices.

**Planned Milestones:** Results will be published in the Arkansas Corn and Grain Sorghum Research Studies publication. Relevant information obtained from these trials will be presented at crop production meetings, in newsletters, blog postings, and other avenues of information dissemination to assist Arkansas corn growers in making sound production decisions to increase the profitability of their farms.

**Statement of Project Value:** These trials will provide Arkansas corn growers with unbiased, local, and up-to-date information on how plant populations and nitrogen fertilizer rate combinations may impact corn yield and economic returns on their farms. Arkansas corn yields have increased dramatically over the last 30 years with an approximate 70+ bu/acre increase in the state average yield from 1992-2023 (110 to 180 bu/acre). Management practices such as increasing plant populations and more efficient nitrogen management has played a large role in increasing yields and will continue to be important in future years.

Many Arkansas corn growers can grow 200-240 bu/acre corn when proper management practices are implemented timely, followed by weather that is conducive for high yields. Although the state average yield has never been over 187 bu/acre, there is still room for improvement for much of our corn acreage. The National Corn Growers Yield Contest winner from Arkansas in 2022 had a yield of 308 bu/acre indicating extremely high yields are possible, but economic return is not a factor in achieving yield contest yields. Economic returns for inputs to "normal" corn fields are critical and will be highlighted in this research.

In past research, plant population and nitrogen fertilizer are two inputs that typically have large impacts on overall yield, and with seed and fertilizer being the two greatest input costs to grow corn, obtaining the optimum plant population and nitrogen rate combination is critical for profitability. As new higher-yielding hybrids become commercially available, determining the economic optimum plant population and nitrogen fertilizer rate of newer hybrids is needed to keep our producers up to date on management.

**Out-of-State Travel Justification:** \$1500 to partially cover travel costs to attend the American Society of Agronomy National meeting (Nov. 2024, San Antonio, TX) to present results from this project and gain new ideas from colleagues across the country.

Kelley, Jason		Optimizin	g Plant Popula	tion and Nitrog	gen Rate in Corn				
Year	2024/2025		Project Year	Year 2 of 3				Version: 6.0	(11/01/2023)
Lead Investigator	Kelley, Jason		Co-Pl #1	Roberts, Trent	on				
Co-PI #2	Biram, Hunter		Co-PI #3						
Department	CSES Crop, Soil, Enviro	nmental S	cience						
Commodity Board	Corn and Grain Sorghu	um Board							
Project Title	<b>Optimizing Plant Popu</b>	lation and	Nitrogen Rate	e in Corn					
	Budgets are re	quested ir	n separate colu	imns if separa	te Worktags for	AES and CES w	ill be needed.		
			B	udget for Pe	rsonnel				
				Roberts,					
			Kelley, Jason	Trenton	Biram, Hunter		Total Board		
S	Select "AES" or "CES" fo	or each Pl	CES	AES	CES		Funding		
							Requested	AES Portion	<b>CES</b> Portion
				Fulltime Pers	onnel				
Position Title	Name (if position is filled)	% Time		Sal	aries		Total	AES	CES
Progam Tech		15%		\$5,250			\$5,250	\$5,250	\$0
							\$0	\$0	\$0
							\$0	\$0	\$0
							\$0	\$0	\$0
							\$0	\$0	\$0
	Subtota	I: Salaries	\$0	\$5,250	\$0	\$0	\$5,250	\$5,250	\$0
				Gr	aduate Student				
Tuition to be	Name (if position is filled)	% Time		w	ages	Total	AES Portion	<b>CES</b> Portion	
same ratio as GA							\$0	\$0	\$0
stinend time e a							\$0	\$0	\$0
full time GA							\$0	\$0	\$0
stipend. full vear's							\$0	\$0	\$0
tuition.							\$0	\$0	\$0
		Tuition					Ş0	Ş0	\$0
	Subtotal: Graduat	e Student	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
						Hourly			
				W	ages		Total	AES Portion	CES Portion
	Hourly-	Personnel	\$3,476				\$3,476	\$0 \$0	\$3,476
	Hourly	-Students					Ş0	Ş0	Ş0
	Subtot	al: Hourly	\$3,476	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,476	\$0	\$3,476
					F	ringe Benefits			
Eringe henefits are				Bei	nefits		Total	AES Portion	CES Portion
calculated when	Fulltime	Personnel	\$0	\$1,659	\$0	\$0	\$1,659	\$1,659	\$0
salary and waae	Graduate	Students	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
amounts are	Hourly	Personnel	\$275	\$0 \$0	\$0 ¢0	\$0 \$0	\$275	<u>\$0</u>	\$275
entered above.	Houriy	-Students	Ş0	ŞU	<u>۵</u>	ŞU	ŞU	ŞU	Ş0
	Subtotal: Fringe	e Benefits	\$275	\$1,659	\$0	\$0	\$1,934	\$1,659	\$275
	Person	nel Total	\$3,751	\$6,909	\$0	\$0	\$10,660	\$6,909	\$3,751
				_	e vel	Travel			
Justify out-of-state		In Ct-t	65 000	Tr	avei		Total	ALS Portion	CES Portion
travel in proposal.	0	in-State	\$5,000	\$1,500			\$0,500 \$1,500	\$1,500 \$1	\$5,000 \$1,500
	-		,500				÷	<u>ار</u>	÷
	Trav	\$6,500	\$1,500	\$0	\$0	\$8,000	\$1,500	\$6,500	

#### **Promotion Board Budget**

Kelley, Jason

Optimizing Plant Population and Nitrogen Rate in Corn

	Maintenance & Operations								
			1&0		Total	<b>AES Portion</b>	CES Portion		
Supplies	\$1,000				\$1,000	\$0	\$1,000		
Fertilizer/Chemicals		\$965			\$3,465	\$965	\$2,500		
Publication					\$0	\$0	\$0		
Statistical Consulting					\$0	\$0	\$0		
Other Direct Costs tissue sampling		\$400			\$1,200	\$400	\$800		
SAREC, Fayetteville	\$0	\$1,935	\$0	\$0	\$1,935	\$1,935	\$0		
CTST, Marianna	\$1,935	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,935	\$0	\$1,935		
Lonoke County Ext. Center	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
NERE, Keiser	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
NERREC, Jonesboro	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
Jackson County Ext. Center	\$1,935	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,935	\$0	\$1,935		
PTST, Colt	\$0	\$1,935	\$0	\$0	\$1,935	\$1,935	\$0		
RIRE, Stuttgart	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
Rosen Center	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
SEST, Rohwer	\$1,935	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,935	\$0	\$1,935		
SWRE, Hope	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
VGSS, Kibler	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
FRSS, Clarksville	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
LFST, Batesville	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
CSES Greenhouse, Fayetteville	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
M & O Total		\$5,235	\$0	\$0	\$15,340	\$5,235	\$10,105		
Total for Proposal	\$20,356	\$13,644	\$0	\$0	\$34,000	\$13,644	\$20,356		
	Supplies Fertilizer/Chemicals Publication Statistical Consulting tissue sampling SAREC, Fayetteville CTST, Marianna Lonoke County Ext. Center NERE, Keiser NERREC, Jonesboro Jackson County Ext. Center PTST, Colt RIRE, Stuttgart Rosen Center SEST, Rohwer SEST, Rohwer SWRE, Hope VGSS, Kibler FRSS, Clarksville LFST, Batesville CSES Greenhouse, Fayetteville M & O Total Total for Proposal	Supplies\$1,000Fertilizer/Chemicals\$2,500PublicationStatistical Consultingtissue sampling\$800SAREC, Fayetteville\$0CTST, Marianna\$1,935Lonoke County Ext. Center\$0NERE, Keiser\$0Jackson County Ext. Center\$1,935PTST, Colt\$0Jackson County Ext. Center\$1,935SWRE, Stuttgart\$0RiRE, Stuttgart\$0RiRE, Stuttgart\$0SEST, Rohwer\$1,935SWRE, Hope\$0VGSS, Kibler\$0FRSS, Clarksville\$0LFST, Batesville\$0CSES Greenhouse, Fayetteville\$0M & O Total\$10,105Total for Proposal\$20,356	Supplies\$1,000Fertilizer/Chemicals\$2,500\$965Publication\$2,500\$965Publication\$1000\$1000Statistical Consulting\$800\$400SAREC, Fayetteville\$0\$1,935CTST, Marianna\$1,935\$00Lonoke County Ext. Center\$0\$00NERE, Keiser\$0\$00Jackson County Ext. Center\$1,935\$00Jackson County Ext. Center\$1,935\$00PTST, Colt\$0\$00SEST, Rohwer\$1,935\$00SURE, Hope\$0\$00SWRE, Hope\$0\$00VGSS, Kibler\$0\$00FRSS, Clarksville\$0\$00CSES Greenhouse, Fayetteville\$10,105\$5,235Total for Proposal\$20,356\$13,644	MainterSupplies\$1,000Supplies\$1,000Fertilizer/Chemicals\$2,500PublicationStatistical Consultingtissue sampling\$800\$AREC, Fayetteville\$0\$1,935\$0CTST, Marianna\$1,935Lonoke County Ext. Center\$0NERE, Keiser\$0NERE, Keiser\$0NERE, Keiser\$0Jackson County Ext. Center\$1,935Jackson County Ext. Center\$1,935SO\$0SO\$0SUBREC, Jonesboro\$0SUBREC, Sonesboro\$0SUBREE, Keiser\$0SO\$0SUBREE, Stuttgart\$0SUBRE, Stuttgart\$0SUBRE, Keiser\$0SUBRE, Keiser\$0SUBRE, Stuttgart\$0SUBRE, Stuttgart\$0SUBRE, Stuttgart\$0SUBRE, Hope\$0SUBRE, Hope\$0SUBRE, Kittler\$0SUBRE, Hope\$0SUBRE, Stuttgart\$0SUBRE, Hope\$0SUBRE, SUBRE, SUBRE, Hope\$0SUBRE, Hope\$0SUBRE, SUBRE, Hope\$0SUBRE, SUBRE,	Maintenance & Operation    Supplies  \$1,000  M&O    Supplies  \$2,500  \$965     Publication  \$2,500  \$965     Statistical Consulting       tissue sampling  \$800  \$400     SAREC, Fayetteville  \$0  \$1,935  \$0  \$0    CTST, Marianna  \$1,935  \$0  \$0  \$0    Lonoke County Ext. Center  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0    NERE, Keiser  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0    Jackson County Ext. Center  \$1,935  \$0  \$0    NEREC, Jonesboro  \$0  \$0  \$0    ARRE, Stuttgart  \$0  \$0  \$0    Rosen Center  \$1,935  \$0  \$0    SUBRE, Hope  \$0  \$0  \$0    SWRE, Hope  \$0  \$0  \$0    SWRE, Hope  \$0  \$0  \$0    CSEST Greenhouse, Fayetteville  \$0  \$0  \$0 </th <th>Maintenance &amp; Operations    M&amp;O  Total    Supplies  \$1,000  \$1,000    Fertilizer/Chemicals  \$2,500  \$965  \$3,465    Publication  \$1000  \$1000  \$1000    Statistical Consulting  \$800  \$400  \$1,000    tissue sampling  \$800  \$400  \$1,000    SAREC, Fayetteville  \$0  \$1,935  \$0  \$1,935    CTST, Mariana  \$1,935  \$0  \$0  \$1,935    Lonoke County Ext. Center  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0    NERRE, Jonesboro  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0    Jackson County Ext. Center  \$1,935  \$0</th> <th>Maintenance &amp; Operations    M&amp;O  Total  AES Portion    Supplies  \$1,000  \$  \$  AES Portion    Signal Signal  \$   \$  <th col<="" th=""></th></th>	Maintenance & Operations    M&O  Total    Supplies  \$1,000  \$1,000    Fertilizer/Chemicals  \$2,500  \$965  \$3,465    Publication  \$1000  \$1000  \$1000    Statistical Consulting  \$800  \$400  \$1,000    tissue sampling  \$800  \$400  \$1,000    SAREC, Fayetteville  \$0  \$1,935  \$0  \$1,935    CTST, Mariana  \$1,935  \$0  \$0  \$1,935    Lonoke County Ext. Center  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0    NERRE, Jonesboro  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0    Jackson County Ext. Center  \$1,935  \$0	Maintenance & Operations    M&O  Total  AES Portion    Supplies  \$1,000  \$  \$  AES Portion    Signal Signal  \$   \$ <th col<="" th=""></th>		

	Fringe Benefit Rates (as of 7/1/2022)											
Campus	Fulltime	Temp/Hourly	Graduate	Student								
AES	31.60%	7.90%	4.20%	0.70%								
CES	31.60%	7.90%	4.20%	0.70%								

C	Complete the following section ONLY if the project will be considered for an Ecosystem.										
				Roberts,							
		%	Kelley, Jason	Trenton	Biram, Hunter		Total				
Ecosystems	Grand Prairie	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0				
(Rice Only)	Mississippi Delta		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0				
	White River		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0				
	Totals	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0				

#### Arkansas Corn and Grain Sorghum Board – 2024-2025 Proposal

Title: Cover Crops in Corn Rotations- What Works and What Doesn't?

#### Lead Investigators: Trenton Roberts

Co-Investigators: Jason Kelley, Gerson Drescher, and New Soil Borne Plant Pathologist

Status: Year 2

Research Areas: General Agronomics

**Stated Goal:** To investigate the short-term and long-term benefits of cover crop implementation on corn yield, disease pressure, and soil health.

#### **Specific Objectives:**

- 1. Determine the best management practices for growing corn following common cover crops such as cereal rye and Austrian winter pea.
- 2. Determine how implementing winter cover crops into a corn-soybean rotation influences nutrient cycling, soil physical properties, soil chemical properties and soil health.
- 3. Determine how implementing winter cover crops into a corn-soybean rotation influence incidence and severity of soil-borne and foliar diseases.
- 4. Determine the changes of soil microbial seedbank and the microbes associated with roots of corn in response to the use cover crops as indicators of soil health.

#### Methods:

- 1. Field-scale strip trials will be developed to compare corn germination, establishment and yield following fallow and various cover crops including cereal rye and Austrian winter pea. To increase corn germination and establishment following cereal rye cover crops we will implement several strategies to look at the method and timing of cereal rye termination to determine how to best grow corn following cover crops. In addition to termination method and timing we will look at early season fertilization broadcast or in-furrow to boost early season corn growth Various rates of nitrogen (N) and phosphorus (P) fertilizer will be applied to determine if these early season applications can help overcome the early season vigor and grain yield lag of corn following cereal rye.
- 2. Field-scale, large-block trials will be implemented to look at the influence of cover crop species on soil health, soil physical and chemical characteristics specifically related to soil water holding capacity and irrigation. Implementation of cover crops and no-till production practices will influence the amount of soil organic matter and ultimately the crop performance and water use efficiency. Soil samples will be taken annually within the trial to gain baseline information of characteristics such as soil texture, organic C, organic N, soil organic matter via weight loss on ignition and basic soil fertility levels. During the initiation of the trial samples will be taken prior to cash crop planting each season to monitor the effects of the cover crop treatments within the corn and soybean rotations.
- 3. Within large blocks, transects will be established to determine plant stand and incidence and severity of economically important diseases. The number of points will be adjusted by field trial and based on block size. A subset of points will be chosen to collect soil samples at cover stage and corn seedling stage (V2-V6) for nematode counting and pathogen incidence. From these samples, populations of free-living and plant parasitic nematodes will be quantified by treatment. Free-living nematode populations offer an indication of soil health.

As describe in section 3 of methods, within the blocks, a subset of points will be selected to obtain soil subsamples for bioassays to establish pathogen incidence and DNA extraction from the soil samples and examining soil microbe populations, mainly bacteria and fungi. The characterization of the taxa will be used to determine the ratio of potential pathogenic organisms versus beneficial or saprophytic organisms present in the soil and how cover crops affect those shifts and ratios. Plants seedlings (V2-V6) will be sample to determine associated microbes with roots and potential presence of pathogens or beneficial microbes.

#### **Planned Milestones:**

Data will be collected annually and compiled over time to compare the short-term and long-term effects of winter cover crop species selection. It may take several years for these systems to reach equilibrium due to the changes of both cover cropping and no-till management practices. Our goal is to monitor these changes to help aid producers in N management for corn through the implementation of cover crops into a corn soybean rotation and explain performance of the overall field system by describing diseases and indicators of soil health such as free-living nematodes and soil microbial communities. Results will be reported each year at county and regional meetings and in the refereed scientific literature at the completion of the study.

**Statement of Projected Value:** Winter cover crops have been promoted based on the environmental benefits of reduced erosion and nutrient loss. Limited work has been done to date on species selection and cultural management practices for effective use of winter cover crops in Arkansas corn and soybean rotational systems. Identifying the correct species, planting date and fertilization needs are essential for effective cover crops will be easily offset by: 1) the potential decrease in fertilizer needs 2) improved soil conditions that lead to better growth or reduced irrigation needs and 3) reduction in environmental impacts that threaten the long-term sustainability of Arkansas corn production. Understanding how cover crop species selection and cultural management practices influence corn grain yield and influence soil health, is one of the most important steps in realizing the benefits of their effective use.

**Budget Justifications/Explanation of Travel and Direct Costs:** The out of state travel included in this proposal is to cover a portion of the cost for graduate students to attend professional scientific meetings which in this calendar year will include the international annual agronomy meetings in San Antonio, TX. The attendance at these meetings allows presentations to be made concerning the research work conducted and also for increased education by attending other scientific presentations and workshops. Instate travel and salary are budgeted for field data collections.

Roberts, Trenton		Cover Cro	os in Corn Rote	ations- What V	Vorks and What	Doesn't?			
Year	2024/2025		Project Year	Year 2 of 3				Version: 6.0	(11/01/2023)
Lead Investigator	Roberts, Trenton		Co-Pl #1			l .			
Co-PI #2	2		Co-PI #3			l .			
Department	CSES Crop, Soil, Enviro	onmental S	cience			l .			
Commodity Board	Corn and Grain Sorgh	um Board							
Project Title	Cover Crops in Corn R	otations- V	Vhat Works ar	d What Doesn	′t?				
	Budgets are re	quested in	separate colu	imns if separa	te Worktags for	AES and CES w	ill be needed.		
			В	udget for Pe	rsonnel				
			Roberts,						
	Select "AFS" or "CFS" fi	or each Pl	Trenton				Total Board		
			AES				Funding		
							Requested	AES Portion	CES Portion
	N			Fulltime Pers	onnel				
Position Title	(if position is filled)	% Time	Salaries			Total	AES	CES	
Program Associate	Joe Shafer	25%	\$15,000				\$15,000	\$15,000	\$0
							\$0	\$0	\$0
							\$0	\$0	\$0
							\$0	\$0	\$0
							\$0	\$0	\$0
	Subtota	I: Salaries	\$15,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$15,000	\$15,000	\$0
				Gr	aduate Student				
Tuition to be	Name (if position is filled)	% Time	Wages				Total	<b>AES</b> Portion	<b>CES</b> Portion
same ratio as GA							\$0	\$0	\$0
stinend time, e.a.							\$0	\$0	\$0
full time GA							\$0	\$0	\$0
stipend. full vear's							\$0	\$0	\$0
tuition.							\$0	\$0	\$0
		Tuition					Ş0	Ş0	Ş0
	Subtotal: Graduat	e Student	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
						Hourly			
				w	ages		Total	AES Portion	CES Portion
	Hourly-	Personnel	<u> </u>				\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	<u>\$0</u>
	Hourly	-Students	\$2,000				\$2,000	\$2,000	Ş0
	Subtot	al: Hourly	\$2,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,000	\$2,000	\$0
					F	ringe Benefits			
Fringe henefits are				Bei	nefits		Total	AES Portion	CES Portion
calculated when	Fulltime	Personnel	\$4,740	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$4,740	\$4,740	\$0
salary and waae	Graduate	Students	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
amounts are	Hourly	Personnel	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	\$0	<u>\$0</u>	\$0	\$0 \$1	<u>\$0</u>
entered above.	Hourly	/-Students	Ş14	Ş0	\$0	\$0	Ş14	Ş14	Ş0
	Subtotal: Fring	e Benefits	\$4,754	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$4,754	\$4,754	\$0
	Person	nel Total	\$21,754	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$21,754	\$21,754	\$0
						<b></b>			
Justify out-of-state		In Chat	to Foo	Tr	avei		Total	ALS Portion	CES Portion
travel in proposal.	0.	in-State	\$3,500 \$1,500				\$3,500	\$3,500	\$0 ¢0
	01	it-or-state	\$1,500				00C,±¢	\$1,500	<u>ې</u> ر
Travel Tota			\$5,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$5,000	\$5,000	\$0
## **Promotion Board Budget**

Roberts, Trenton Cover Crops in Co

Cover Crops in Corn Rotations- What Works and What Doesn't?

		Maintenance & Operations						
			IV	1&0		Total	<b>AES Portion</b>	CES Portion
	Supplies	\$1,500				\$1,500	\$1,500	\$0
	Fertilizer/Chemicals	\$1,000				\$1,000	\$1,000	\$0
	Publication					\$0	\$0	\$0
	Statistical Consulting					\$0	\$0	\$0
Other Direct Costs	Analysis	\$4,000				\$4,000	\$4,000	\$0
	SAREC, Fayetteville	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	CTST, Marianna	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Ce	Lonoke County Ext. Center	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Jar	NERE, Keiser	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ter	NERREC, Jonesboro	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ain	Jackson County Ext. Center	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Σ	PTST, Colt	\$6,475	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$6,475	\$6,475	\$0
u	RIRE, Stuttgart	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ati	Rosen Center	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
k j	SEST, Rohwer	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	SWRE, Hope	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	VGSS, Kibler	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	FRSS, Clarksville	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	LFST, Batesville	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	CSES Greenhouse, Fayetteville	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	M & O Total	\$12,975	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$12,975	\$12,975	\$0
	Total for Proposal	\$39,729	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$39,729	\$39,729	\$0

	Fringe Benefit Rates (as of 7/1/2022)										
Campus	Fulltime	Temp/Hourly	Graduate	Student							
AES	31.60%	7.90%	4.20%	0.70%							
CES	31.60%	7.90%	4.20%	0.70%							

Complete the following section ONLY if the project will be considered for an Ecosystem.									
			Roberts,						
		%	Trenton				Total		
Ecosystems	Grand Prairie	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
(Rice Only)	Mississippi Delta		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
	White River		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
	Totals	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		

**Title:** Towards a comprehensive aflatoxin solution: Creating and integrating novel aflatoxin control resources for an effective, sustainable management strategy

Lead Investigator: Dr. Burt Bluhm

Co-Investigators: Dr. Guri Johal, Purdue Univ.

Status (i.e., New, Year 2 of 3, Year 2 of 2, etc.): Year 2 of 3

Research Areas (Verification Program, General Agronomics, Diseases, Insects, Fertility, Irrigation, Weed Control, Misc. Projects): Disease, General Agronomics

**Stated Goal: To provide a practical solution to aflatoxin in corn as quickly as possible (years, not decades)**. To this end, we are creating new genetic resources to control aflatoxin in corn, ensuring that next-generation semi-dwarf corn hybrids incorporate aflatoxin resistance, and integrating all Arkansas aflatoxin research efforts into a 'moon shot' initiative to eliminate the threat of aflatoxin in corn

## **Specific Objectives:**

- 1. Identify genes in corn associated with protein misfolding during heat and drought stress
- 2. Create novel aflatoxin-resistance genes through transgenic approaches and genome editing
- 3. Incorporate aflatoxin resistance into new semi-dwarf maize hybrids
- 4. Acquire and organize resources to launch a comprehensive 'moon shot' among multiple institutions to control aflatoxin in corn

#### **Methods:**

Objective 1. With prior support from the Arkansas Corn and Grain Sorghum Promotion Board, we identified heat-shock response/repair pathways in modern corn hybrids as some of the most likely drivers of aflatoxin susceptibility. In the course of this project, we are identifing specific alleles of genes in this pathway that are associated with aflatoxin resistance. We will identify these alleles from tropical hybrids with known aflatoxin resistance, as well as the aflatoxin-resistant ancestor of modern maize (teosinte). It is very likely that resistance alleles are negatively associated (due to genetic linkage) with important production traits, such as yield. Thus, we will use novel approaches to introgress these alleles into modern hybrids and/or design novel transgenes based on these alleles to convey aflatoxin resistance.

Objective 2. We will utilize the information derived from Objective 1, in conjunction with information obtained in the previous project, to augment corn's response to heat stress, and thus aflatoxin resistance. We will utilize three approaches to create resistance-associated alleles. First, some alleles from tropical or ancestral germplasm are likely to be effective and can be introgressed transgenically into modern corn hybrid parental lines. Second, we anticipate that some polymorphisms will be well-suited for editing in modern hybrid parental lines, and can be directly converted into resistance alleles. Third, for some alleles, we may need to utilize a combination of genome editing and transgenic approaches to create 'custom' resistance alleles. With prior support from the Arkansas Corn and Grain Sorghum Promotion Board, we created a pipeline for genome editing in corn, and have extensive prior experience creating transgenes and transgenic corn; thus our program is well-equipped to perform the proposed work.

Objective 3. Dr. Guri Johal (Purdue University), an internationally recognized corn geneticist and longtime collaborator with our program, is pioneering the development of novel semi-dwarf corn hybrids. For decades, semi-dwarf hybrids have been pursued by corn breeding programs worldwide, due to their improved input-to-yield ratios, increased water use efficiency, and other important traits. Dr. Norman Borlaug developed dwarf wheat in the 1960s, launching the Green Revolution in agriculture; for this, he received the Nobel Peace Prize. Dr. Johal has identified a dominant, single-gene mutation in corn that conveys dwarfing without impairing production or yield. This trait will fundamentally change corn hybrids in the U.S. and beyond. At this early stage of hybrid, semi-dwarf lines, we are collaborating with Dr. Johal to screen hybrids and parental lines for aflatoxin susceptibility, identify lines with aflatoxin/stress tolerance as parental lines, and incorporate our aflatoxin resistance transgenes into dwarf hybrids. This will ensure that aflatoxin resistance is part of the genetic foundation of semi-dwarf corn hybrids, rather than trying to catch up years after semi-dwarf hybrids have overtaken the market.

Objective 4. In the past two years, a comprehensive strategy to mitigate aflatoxin in corn has come into sharper focus. I firmly believe a 'cure' for aflatoxin in corn is achievable in years rather than decades. Achieving a cure will come in stages. First, resources have recently been created that must be deployed efficiently and effectively. For example, our program recently created transgenes that strongly suppress aflatoxin production in corn and identified novel atoxigenic *A. flavus* strains from Arkansas that 'push' native pathogen populations towards atoxigenicity. Emerging technologies such as these must be commercialized as quickly as possible and integrated into existing aflatoxin mitigation strategies. Secondly, emerging technologies need to be accelerated through federal funding and/or industry partnerships. For example, our program is actively mapping the genetic regulation of aflatoxin biosynthesis in *A. flavus* to provide new targets for transgenes in corn, and we are racing to identify the molecular signal that atoxigenic strains use to suppress aflatoxin biosynthesis in other strains; we will develop this molecule into a novel fungicide/fumigant to suppress aflatoxin production. Dr. Griffiths Atungulu has promising results with novel grain storage/drying technologies that minimize post-harvest aflatoxin production.

To organize all of these resources into a 'moon shot' initiative, we are establishing a multi-state network of aflatoxin researchers to coordinate research efforts, share results, and cooperatively secure federal and industry support for aflatoxin mitigation research. We envision that this individually funded group will essentially serve the function originally intended for the Aflatoxin Mitigation Center of Excellence.

**Planned Milestones:** In Year 1, we made progress on Objective 1 and initiated the other Objectives by creating novel transgenes, screening dwarf hybrids and parentals in Arkansas production conditions and meeting with other aflatoxin researchers. In Year 2, we will develop 2-5 transgenes, work to introgress existing transgenes into parental lines for dwarf hybrids, continue evaluating dwarf hybrids for aflatoxin responses, and submit at least one proposal for multi-state aflatoxin funding. In Year 3, we will continue creating/evaluating transgenes and genome edited lines, as well as dwarf hybrids; we will establish a formal entity to coordinate aflatoxin research (ideally, an Arkansas-based entity to lead aflatoxin research, e.g., the Arkansas Center for Mycotoxin Research).

**Statement of Projected Value:** Aflatoxin is one of the most devastating disease issues facing corn producers in Arkansas. Aflatoxin reduces profits, and in extreme events, can make a given season a total loss. A cure for aflatoxin that relies heavily on genetic resistance will increase producers' profits by protecting yields and reducing management costs; it will also greatly reduce the risk of catastrophic losses associated with outbreak events.

**Budget Justifications/Explanation of Travel and Direct Costs:** Funds are requested for research personnel, laboratory consumables associated with transgene development, and for field trials of semidwarf hybrids. Funding for out-of-state travel will support collaborations with researchers at other Universities, and for personnel to present research results at international conferences within the US.

Bluhm, Burt		Towards o	a comprehensi	ve aflatoxin sol	lution: Creating a	ind integrating	novel aflatoxir	n control resour	ces
Year	2024/2025		Project Year	Year 2 of 3				Version: 6.0	(11/01/2023)
Lead Investigator	Bluhm, Burt		Co-Pl #1						
Co-PI #2			Co-PI #3						
Department	ENPL Entomology and	l Plant Patl	nology						
Commodity Board	Corn and Grain Sorgh	um Board							
Project Title	Towards a compreher	nsive aflato	oxin solution: C	creating and int	tegrating novel a	flatoxin contro	l resources		
	Budgets are re	equested i	n separate coli	umns if separa	te Worktags for	AES and CES w	ill be needed.		
			В	udget for Pe	rsonnel				
			Bluhm, Burt				Total Board		
5	elect "AES" or "CES" fo	or each Pl	AES				Funding		
							Requested	<b>AES Portion</b>	<b>CES</b> Portion
				Fulltime Pers	onnel				
Position Title	Name (if position is filled)	% Time		Sal	aries		Total	AES	CES
Postdoctoral associat	te	% Time	\$25,000				\$25,000	\$25,000	\$0
							\$0	\$0	\$0
							\$0	\$0	\$0
							\$0	\$0	\$0
							\$0	\$0	\$0
	Subtota	I: Salaries	\$25,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$25,000	\$25,000	\$0
				Gr	aduate Student				
Tuition to be	Name (if position is filled)	% Time		w	ages		Total	AES Portion	<b>CES</b> Portion
same ratio as GA							\$0	\$0	\$0
stipend time, e.a.,							\$0	\$0	\$0
full time GA							\$0	\$0	\$0
, stipend, full year's							\$0	\$0	\$0
tuition.							<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
		luition					Ş0	Ş0	Ş0
	Subtotal: Graduat	e Student	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
						Hourly			
				w	ages		Total	AES Portion	CES Portion
	Hourly-	Personnel	\$1,681				\$1,681	\$1,681	<u>\$0</u>
	Houriy	-Students					ŞU	ŞU	ŞU
	Subtot	al: Hourly	\$1,681	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,681	\$1,681	\$0
					F	ringe Benefits			
Eringo honofits are				Bei	nefits		Total	AES Portion	<b>CES</b> Portion
calculated when	Fulltime	Personnel	\$7,900	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$7,900	\$7,900	\$0
salary and waae	Graduate	e Students	<u>\$0</u>	\$0	\$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$1	\$0 \$1	<u>\$0</u>
amounts are	Hourly	Personnel	\$133	\$0	\$0	\$0 ¢0	\$133	\$133	\$0 ¢0
entered above.	Hourly	-students	\$0	Ş0	Ş0	ŞO	Ş0	Ş0	Ş0
	Subtotal: Fring	e Benefits	\$8,033	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$8,033	\$8,033	\$0
	Personi	nel Total	\$34,714	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$34,714	\$34,714	\$0
						Travel			
Justify out-of-state			4-0-	Tr	avel		Total	AES Portion	CES Portion
travel in proposal.	~	In-State	\$500				\$500	\$500	\$0
	Ou	n-or-state	\$3,000				\$3,000	\$3,000	<u>\$0</u>
	Trav	vel Total	\$3,500	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,500	\$3,500	\$0

Bluhm, Burt

Towards a comprehensive aflatoxin solution: Creating and integrating novel aflatoxin control resources

	Maintenance & Operations							
		N	1&0		Total	AES Portion	CES Portion	
Supplies	\$6,786				\$6,786	\$6,786	\$0	
Fertilizer/Chemicals					\$0	\$0	\$0	
Publication					\$0	\$0	\$0	
Statistical Consulting					\$0	\$0	\$0	
					\$0	\$0	\$0	
SAREC, Fayetteville	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
CTST, Marianna	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Lonoke County Ext. Center	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
NERE, Keiser	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
NERREC, Jonesboro	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Jackson County Ext. Center	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
PTST, Colt	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
RIRE, Stuttgart	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Rosen Center	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
SEST, Rohwer	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
SWRE, Hope	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
VGSS, Kibler	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
FRSS, Clarksville	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
LFST, Batesville	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
CSES Greenhouse, Fayetteville	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
M & O Total	\$6,786	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$6,786	\$6,786	\$0	
Total for Proposal	\$45,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$45,000	\$45,000	\$0	
	Supplies Fertilizer/Chemicals Publication Statistical Consulting SAREC, Fayetteville CTST, Marianna Lonoke County Ext. Center NERE, Keiser NEREC, Jonesboro Jackson County Ext. Center PTST, Colt RIRE, Stuttgart Rosen Center SEST, Rohwer SWRE, Hope VGSS, Kibler FRSS, Clarksville LFST, Batesville CSES Greenhouse, Fayetteville M & O Total Total for Proposal	Supplies\$6,786Fertilizer/ChemicalsPublicationPublicationStatistical ConsultingSAREC, Fayetteville\$0CTST, Marianna\$0Lonoke County Ext. Center\$0NERE, Keiser\$0Jackson County Ext. Center\$0Jackson County Ext. Center\$0PTST, Colt\$0RiRE, Stuttgart\$0SEST, Rohwer\$0SURE, Hope\$0VGSS, Kibler\$0FRSS, Clarksville\$0LFST, Batesville\$0CSES Greenhouse, Fayetteville\$0M & O Total\$6,786Total for Proposal\$45,000	Supplies\$6,786Fertilizer/ChemicalsPublicationStatistical ConsultingSAREC, Fayetteville\$0SAREC, Fayetteville\$0CTST, Marianna\$0Lonoke County Ext. Center\$0NERE, Keiser\$0NERREC, Jonesboro\$0Jackson County Ext. Center\$0PTST, Colt\$0PTST, Colt\$0SO\$0RiRE, Stuttgart\$0SURE, Keiser\$0SO\$0Jackson County Ext. Center\$0\$0\$0SURE, Hope\$0SURE, Hope\$0	MainterSupplies\$6,786Supplies\$6,786Fertilizer/ChemicalsPublicationStatistical ConsultingStatistical ConsultingSAREC, Fayetteville\$0SAREC, Fayetteville\$0CTST, Marianna\$0Lonoke County Ext. Center\$0NERE, Keiser\$0NERE, Keiser\$0NEREC, Jonesboro\$0Jackson County Ext. Center\$0Son County Ext. Center\$0Son Ses Center\$0SUBREC, Son Ses Center\$0SUBRE, Stuttgart\$0SUBRE, Keiser\$0SUBRE, Stuttgart\$0SUBRE, Keiser\$0SUBRE, Stuttgart\$0SUBRE, Stuttgart\$0SUBRE, Hope\$0SUBRE, Hope\$0SUBRE, Hope\$0SUBRE, Hope\$0SUBRE, Hope\$0SUBRE, Stuttgart\$0SUBRE, Stuttgart\$0SUBRE, Hope\$0SUBRE, Hope\$0SUBRE, Hope\$0SUBRE, Hope\$0SUBRE, Stuttgart\$0SUBRE, Stut	Maintenance & OperSupplies\$6,786M&OSupplies\$6,786Fertilizer/ChemicalsPublication </th <th>Maintenance &amp; Operations        M&amp;O      Total        Supplies      \$6,786       \$6,786        Fertilizer/Chemicals        \$0      \$0        Publication        \$0      \$0        Statistical Consulting        \$0      \$0        SAREC, Fayetteville      \$0      \$0      \$0      \$0        CTST, Marianna      \$0      \$0      \$0      \$0        Lonoke County Ext. Center      \$0      \$0      \$0      \$0        NERE, Keiser      \$0      \$0      \$0      \$0        NERREC, Jonesboro      \$0      \$0      \$0      \$0        Jackson County Ext. Center      \$0      \$0      \$0      \$0        PTST, Colt      \$0      \$0      \$0      \$0      \$0        RiRE, Stuttgart      \$0      \$0      \$0      \$0      \$0        SEST, Rohwer      \$0      \$0      \$0      \$0      \$0        SWRE, Hope      \$0      \$0      \$0      \$0      \$0   <tr< th=""><th>Maintenance &amp; Operations        Supplies      \$6,786      Total      AES Portion        Supplies      \$6,786      \$6,786      \$6,786      \$6,786        Fertilizer/Chemicals        \$0      \$0      \$0        Publication        \$0      \$0      \$0      \$0        Statistical Consulting        \$0</th></tr<></th>	Maintenance & Operations        M&O      Total        Supplies      \$6,786       \$6,786        Fertilizer/Chemicals        \$0      \$0        Publication        \$0      \$0        Statistical Consulting        \$0      \$0        SAREC, Fayetteville      \$0      \$0      \$0      \$0        CTST, Marianna      \$0      \$0      \$0      \$0        Lonoke County Ext. Center      \$0      \$0      \$0      \$0        NERE, Keiser      \$0      \$0      \$0      \$0        NERREC, Jonesboro      \$0      \$0      \$0      \$0        Jackson County Ext. Center      \$0      \$0      \$0      \$0        PTST, Colt      \$0      \$0      \$0      \$0      \$0        RiRE, Stuttgart      \$0      \$0      \$0      \$0      \$0        SEST, Rohwer      \$0      \$0      \$0      \$0      \$0        SWRE, Hope      \$0      \$0      \$0      \$0      \$0 <tr< th=""><th>Maintenance &amp; Operations        Supplies      \$6,786      Total      AES Portion        Supplies      \$6,786      \$6,786      \$6,786      \$6,786        Fertilizer/Chemicals        \$0      \$0      \$0        Publication        \$0      \$0      \$0      \$0        Statistical Consulting        \$0</th></tr<>	Maintenance & Operations        Supplies      \$6,786      Total      AES Portion        Supplies      \$6,786      \$6,786      \$6,786      \$6,786        Fertilizer/Chemicals        \$0      \$0      \$0        Publication        \$0      \$0      \$0      \$0        Statistical Consulting        \$0	

	Fringe Benefit Rates (as of 7/1/2022)											
Campus	Fulltime	Fulltime Temp/Hourly Graduate										
AES	31.60%	7.90%	4.20%	0.70%								
CES	31.60%	7.90%	4.20%	0.70%								

Complete the following section ONLY if the project will be considered for an Ecosystem.								
Ecosystems (Rice Only)		%	Bluhm, Burt				Total	
	Grand Prairie	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	Mississippi Delta		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	White River		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	Totals	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Title: Assess Management Options for Corn Nematodes in Arkansas

Lead Investigators: Travis Faske

Co-Investigators: Daniel Rivera, Amanda Greer, and Michael Emerson

Status: Year 3 of 3

## Research Area: Diseases

**Goal:** To determine the diversity and impact of corn nematodes in Arkansas. Gain a better understanding of the distribution and impact of corn nematodes in Arkansas corn production. Further, evaluate nematode management tactics to protect corn yield potential and encourage farmers to sample for corn nematodes by offering free soil nematode assays.

## **Objectives:**

- 1. Evaluate the vertical distribution and changes population dynamics of corn nematodes over the cropping season. (Faske)
- 2. Evaluate the efficacy of commercially available and experimental seed- and soil-applied nematicides for control of stubby-root and other corn nematodes. (Faske)
- 3. Promote the importance of sampling corn fields for corn nematodes and identify the most important species of corn nematode that affect corn production by offering "free" nematode soil assays sponsored by the GSPB. (Greer)
- 4. Extend and educate farmers and consultants on the distribution of important corn nematodes in the state and deliver strategies to manage nematodes developed by this project. (Faske)

## **Methods:**

*Objective 1*: The stubby-root nematode is one of several corn nematodes where there is little information on vertical distribution over time and how that might affect management strategies. Sites with a history of the pest will be monitored and sampled every 30 days for changes in nematode population densities. Information about rainfall, soil types, temperatures, and cropping systems will be used to investigate the practicality of cultural practices. For example, if stubby-root nematode has a greater impact when corn is planted in cool soil where it is slow to emerge, recommendation would be to plant those fields last when soils are warmer.

*Objective 2*: Evaluate seed- and soil-applied nematicides in a greenhouse and field. A greenhouse method provides initial efficacy information with uniform density of nematodes at the Lonoke Extension Center (LEC). Additionally, these nematicides will be evaluated in small plot on-farm field trials to measure the effect of nematicides to protect corn yield potential and the practical use of nematicides at various corn nematode densities and soil textures.

*Objective 3:* Early detection and monitoring corn nematodes is important to develop management guidelines. To encourage sampling and way to give back to the farmer, we will promote a "free" nematode soil sample assays conducted by the Nematode Diagnostic Lab (NDL) in Hope. This information will also help researchers determine what species of corn nematodes are the most problematic in the state.

*Objective 4*: Education platform will consist of but not limited to the traditional approach with fact sheets and production meetings, but also electronic delivery through webinars and blog articles.

**Planned Milestones:** Each year, corn nematodes densities and distribution will be sampled in fields with history of corn production. This will be done in conjunction with field trials to evaluate impact of nematicides to suppress corn nematodes and protect corn yield potential. A survey of corn nematodes will establish a baseline of what species are important and educate consultants and farmers on how to sample corn fields. A fact sheet on corn nematodes will be published at the end of this study on nematode management and survey data could be published in a survey in Plant Health Progress. A publication on the efficacy of nematicides to manage nematodes in corn will be published in Journal of Nematology.

**Statement of Projected Value:** Currently, there is little information on the biology of stubbyroot nematode, root-knot nematode, and lesion nematodes on corn in Arkansas. Therefore, any information on biology or impact of commercial nematicides would be helpful to manage these pests. We will also begin to develop an experience base as well as an experimental database on the use and impact of nematicides in managing corn nematodes, and we will look at novel chemicals and strategies for existing chemicals in more effective management.

**Budget Justification:** The budget supports full- and part-time personnel at the LEC that will be play an active role in sampling and establishing field trials across the state; and personnel at the NDL to process soil nematode assays. <u>Travel</u> budget would pay for mileage for on-farm field trials, sampling, and delivery of soil samples to NDL. Overnight travel is possible if necessary. Supplest budget will pay for field and greenhouse supplies such as plot stakes, hoses, bags, tags, pesticides... The <u>direct cost</u> will support soil nematode assays submitted to the NDL by Arkansas consultants and farmers.

## **Promotion Board Budget**

Faske, Travis		Assess ma	anagement op	tions for corn n	ematodes in Ark	ansas			
Year	2024/2025		Project Year	Year 3 of 3				Version: 6.0	(11/01/2023)
Lead Investigator	Faske, Travis		Co-Pl #1	Rivera, Daniel		l .			
Co-PI #2			Co-PI #3						
Department	<b>ENPL Entomology and</b>	Plant Path	nology						
Commodity Board	Corn and Grain Sorghu	um Board							
Project Title	Assess management o	ptions for	corn nematod	les in Arkansas					
	Budgets are re	quested ir	n separate colu	imns if separa	te Worktags for	AES and CES w	ill be needed.		
			B	udget for Pe	rsonnel				
S	elect "AES" or "CES" fo	or each Pl	Faske, Travis	Rivera, Daniel			Total Board		
			CES	ALS			Funding Requested	AES Portion	CES Portion
			<u> </u>	Fulltime Pers	onnel	<u> </u>	nequesteu		
Position Title	Name (if position is filled)	% Time		Sal	aries		Total	AES	CES
Program Assoc.			\$18,000				\$18,000	\$0	\$18,000
Program technician				\$9,000			\$9,000	\$9,000	\$0
							\$0	\$0	\$0
							\$0	\$0	\$0
							\$0	\$0	\$0
	Subtota	l: Salaries	\$18,000	\$9.000	\$0	\$0	\$27,000	\$9.000	\$18,000
			+,	Gr	aduate Student	, <u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	+/	+-/	+,
Tuition to be	Name (if position is filled)	% Time		w	ages		Total	AES Portion	CES Portion
same ratio as CA							\$0	\$0	\$0
stinend time e a							\$0	\$0	\$0
full time GA							\$0	\$0	\$0
stinend full year's							\$0	\$0	\$0
tuition.							\$0	\$0	\$0
		Tuition					\$0	\$0	\$0
	Subtotal: Graduat	e Student	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
						Hourly			
				W	ages		Total	<b>AES Portion</b>	<b>CES</b> Portion
	Hourly-	Personnel	\$8,000	\$3,000			\$11,000	\$3,000	\$8,000
	Hourly	-Students					\$0	\$0	\$0
	Subtot	al: Hourly	\$8,000	\$3,000	\$0	\$0	\$11,000	\$3,000	\$8,000
					F	ringe Benefits	· · · ·	· · · · ·	
				Bei	nefits		Total	<b>AES Portion</b>	<b>CES</b> Portion
Fringe benefits are	Fulltime	Personnel	\$5,688	\$2,844	\$0	\$0	\$8,532	\$2,844	\$5,688
calculated when	Graduate	Students	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
amounts are	Hourly	Personnel	\$632	\$237	\$0	\$0	\$869	\$237	\$632
entered above	Hourly	-Students	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Subtotal: Fringe Benefits		\$6,320	\$3,081	\$0	\$0	\$9,401	\$3,081	\$6,320	
	Personi	nel Total	\$32,320	\$15,081	\$0	\$0	\$47,401	\$15,081	\$32,320
				-		Travel	<b>-</b>		
Justify out-of-state				Tr	avel		Total	AES Portion	CES Portion
travel in proposal.		in-State	\$2,000				\$2,000	\$0 ¢0	\$2,000
	Ou	it-or-state					Ş0	Ş0	\$0
	Trav	vel Total	\$2,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,000	\$0	\$2,000

Faske, Travis

Assess management options for corn nematodes in Arkansas

		Maintenance & Operations							
			N	//&0		Total	AES Portion	CES Portion	
	Supplies	\$3,000	\$1,000			\$4,000	\$1,000	\$3,000	
	Fertilizer/Chemicals					\$0	\$0	\$0	
	Publication					\$0	\$0	\$0	
	Statistical Consulting					\$0	\$0	\$0	
Other Direct Costs nematode Assays			\$2,000			\$2,000	\$2,000	\$0	
	SAREC, Fayetteville	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	CTST, Marianna	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
lce	Lonoke County Ext. Center	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Jar	NERE, Keiser	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
ter	NERREC, Jonesboro	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
ain	Jackson County Ext. Center	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Ξ	PTST, Colt	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
uo	RIRE, Stuttgart	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
ati	Rosen Center	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
st	SEST, Rohwer	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	SWRE, Hope	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	VGSS, Kibler	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	FRSS, Clarksville	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	LFST, Batesville	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	CSES Greenhouse, Fayetteville	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	M & O Total	\$3,000	\$3,000	\$0	\$0	\$6,000	\$3,000	\$3,000	
	Total for Proposal	\$37,320	\$18,081	\$0	\$0	\$55,401	\$18,081	\$37,320	
Design of a second shall	and the stand of the second	A	and south the state of the state	In a second second second second	and a set of a set of the	and the second		and all and from	

	Fringe Benefit Rates (as of 7/1/2022)											
Campus	Fulltime	Temp/Hourly	Graduate	Studen								
AES	31.60%	7.90%	4.20%	0.70%								
CES	31.60%	7.90%	4.20%	0.70%								

Complete the following section ONLY if the project will be considered for an Ecosystem.									
_		%	Faske, Travis	Rivera, Daniel			Total		
	Grand Prairie	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
(Rice Only)	Mississippi Delta		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
(Rice only)	White River		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
	Totals	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		

Title: Corn and Grain Sorghum Enterprise Budgets and Production Economic Analysis

Lead Investigators: Breana Watkins, Instructor, Agriculture and Natural Resources

Co-Investigators: Dr. Victor Ford, Associate Director, Agriculture and Natural Resources

Status: Year 2 of 3

Research Areas: Economics and Conservation

**Stated Goal:** The goal of this project is to provide corn and grain sorghum enterprise budgets that are flexible for representing alternative production practices of Arkansas producers. Table CGS-1 provides a look at a stacked gene corn budget that is furrow irrigated. Aside from the stacked gene, furrow irrigated corn budget, there are conventional seed type corn budgets and grain sorghum budgets for each irrigation type (furrow, center pivot, and non-irrigated). With commodity prices retreating, remaining profitable will take due diligence in tracking all financial statements. Costs and returns analysis with the budgets are developed by economists to provide research results for a variety of projects. The research verification coordinators utilize production economics analysis to investigate factors impacting farm profitability. The crop enterprise budgets are designed to evaluate solvency of various field activities associated with crop production and provide comparison of various crop's profitability in order to make sound decisions on-farm.

## **Specific Objectives:**

- (1) Determine base representative production activities of the most common production practices of corn and grain sorghum in Arkansas.
- (2) Collect data for input prices and equipment costs associated with the base representative production activities.
- (3) Establish and maintain a computational budget calculator for base representative production practices. The budget calculator is interactive and flexible in order to represent alternative production methods. Crop enterprise budgets are developed with methods that are consistent over all field crops. A total of 9 corn and grain sorghum budgets are produced for fall release and are updated on an as needed basis.
- (4) Finalize the crop budget and irrigation costs applications for public availability.
- (5) Update farm budget program for financial management and public policy analysis. The farm budget program includes an interactive calculator for users to represent unique farm situations and determine breakeven prices and yields for each individual budget.
- (6) Create a cost estimate of various farm activities to show the expenses that are incurred for each field activity associated with production.
- (7) Investigate the economics of conservation practices in Arkansas, specifically in concern to irrigation, cover crops, and carbon sequestration.
- **Methods:** Crop enterprise budgets will be developed in collaboration with crop and soil science specialists, weed scientists, agronomists, pathologists, and entomologists. Production procedures for base budgets will represent University of Arkansas System Division of Agriculture recommendations. Unique budgets will be customized for individuals based on Extension recommendations and information from producers.
- **Planned Milestones:** Crop budgets based on Extension recommendations are standards for Arkansas crop production. Individual producers will utilize interactive computational capabilities for representing alternative production practices and determining profit potential with a range of commodity prices and yields. Producers and financial institutions will apply crop enterprise budgets in evaluating costs and returns for aspects of each production year. Public policies affecting producers are investigated by government organizations with crop enterprise budgets

that are representative of Arkansas production.

**Projected Value to the Corn and Grain Sorghum Industry:** The benefits provided by the economic analysis of alternative corn and grain sorghum production methods provide a significant reduction in financial risk inherent in agricultural production. Arkansas producers will benefit from economic analyses of their individual production activities. Unique crop enterprise budgets developed for individual farms are useful for determining credit needs when seeking financial assistance and planning crop rotations for the upcoming crop seasons. Flexible crop enterprise budgets are beneficial for planning that determines production methods with the greatest potential for financial success. Flexible budgets enable farm financial outlooks to be revised during the production season as inputs, input prices, yields, and commodity prices change. Thoroughness of computational methodology and straightforward application will facilitate use of the budget calculator by research and extension specialists conducting economic analysis of water use efficiency, weed control, insect management, cover crops, and many other aspects of production practices. The crop enterprise budget system is being utilized by economists currently working on the development of the upcoming Farm Bill.

	ACGSPB	CES				
	dollars					
Personnel Salaries						
Breana Watkins		7,599.00				
Other Personnel	7,599.00					
Benefits	2,401.00	2,401.00				
Total Personnel	10,000.00	10,000.00				
Total	10,000.00	10,000.00				

Table 4. 2023 Corn Enterprise Budget, Stacked	Gene, Furro	w Irrigati	on		
CROP VALUE	Grower %	Unit	<sup>1</sup> Yield	Price/Unit	Revenue
Crop Value, Enter Expected Farm Yield & Price	100%	Bu.	215.00	6.50	1,397.50
OPERATING EXPENSES		Unit	Quantity	<sup>2</sup> Price/Unit	Costs
Seed, per acre	100%	Thous	32.0	3.86	123.52
Nitrogen (Urea, 46-0-0)	100%	Lbs/ac	435.00	0.290	126.15
Phosphate (0-46-0)	100%	Lbs/ac	130.00	0.380	49.40
Potash (0-0-60)	100%	Lbs/ac	175.00	0.293	51.28
Ammonium Sulfate (21-0-0-24)	100%	Lbs/ac	100.00	0.263	26.30
Zinc Sulfate	100%	Lbs/ac	29.00	1.730	50.17
Other Nutrients, Including Poultry Litter	100%	Acre	1	0.00	0.00
Herbicide	100%	Acre	1	81.09	81.09
Insecticide	100%	Acre	1	0.00	0.00
Fungicide	100%	Acre	1	0.00	0.00
Custom Chemical & Fertlizer Applications					
Ground Application: Fertilizer & Chemical	100%	Acre	3	8.00	24.00
Air Application: Lbs	100%	Lbs/ac	100	0.080	8.00
Machinery and Equipment					
Diesel Fuel, Pre-Post Harvest	100%	Gallons	3.800	3.85	14.63
Repairs and Maintenance, Pre-Post Harvest	100%	Acre	1	7.99	7.99
Diesel Fuel, Harvest	100%	Gallons	2.027	3.85	7.81
Repairs and Maintenance, Harvest	100%	Acre	1	8.58	8.58
Irrigation Energy Cost	100%	Ac-In	14	4.55	63.66
Irrigation System Repairs & Maintenance		Ac-In	14	0.24	3.36
Supplies (ex. polypipe)	100%	Acre	1	3.88	3.88
Labor, Field Activities	100%	Hrs	0.800	12.45	9.96
Scouting/Consultant Fee	100%	Acre	1	6.00	6.00
Other Expenses	100%	Acre	1	0.00	0.00
Crop Insurance	100%	Acre	1	16.15	16.15
Interest, Annual Rate Applied for 6 Months	100%	Rate %	8.00	681.93	27.28
Post-Harvest Expense					
Drying	100%	Bu.	215.00	0.19	40.85
Hauling	100%	Bu.	215.00	0.25	53.75
Check Off, Boards	100%	Bu.	215.00	0.0100	2.15
Cash Land Rent		Acre	1	0.00	0.00
Total Operating Expenses					\$805.96
<b>Returns to Operating Expenses</b>					\$591.54
CAPITAL RECOVERY & FIXED COSTS					
Machinery and Equipment		Acre	1	80.79	80.79
Irrigation Equipment		Acre	1	27.01	27.01
Farm Overhead; see Note 3		Acre	1	4.04	4.04
Total Capital Recovery & Fixed Costs					\$111.84
TOTAL SPECIFIED EXPENSES					\$917.80
NET RETURNS					\$479.70

Note 1: Yield and inputs are based on Extension research data. Enter expected farm yield and inputs.

Note 2: All price estimates do not include rebates, bulk deals, or discounts available through suppliers.

Note 3: Estimate based on machinery and equipment.

# Promotion Board Budget

Watkins, Breana		Corn and C	Grain Sorghun	n Enterprise Bu	dgets and Produ	ction Economic	Analysis		
Year	2024/2025		<b>Project Year</b>	Year 2 of 3				Version: 6.0	(11/01/2023)
Lead Investigator	Watkins, Breana		Co-PI #1	Ford, Vic					
Co-PI #2			Co-PI #3						
Department	CES Associate Director	r of Agricul	ture & Natura	l Resources					
Commodity Board	Corn and Grain Sorghu	um Board							
Project Title	Corn and Grain Sorghu	um Enterpr	ise Budgets a	nd Production	Economic Analys	sis			
	Budgets are re	quested in	separate colu	ımns if separa	te Worktags for	AES and CES w	ill be needed.		
			B	udget for Pe	rsonnel				
			Watkins,						
			Breana	Ford, Vic			Tables		
S	elect "AES" or "CES" fo	or each PI	CES	CES			Total Board		
							Requested	AFS Portion	CFS Portion
		Į		Fulltime Pers	onnel		Requested	7120110111011	
Desition Title	Name	0/ <b>T</b> ime e		f untillite i ers	Invian		Tatal	4.50	050
	(if position is filled)	<i>7</i> 6 mme		Ja			TOTAL	ALJ	CES
Instructor	Breana Watkins	20%	\$7,599				\$7,599	\$0	\$7,599
							\$0	\$0	\$0
							\$0	\$0	\$0
							\$0	\$0	\$0
							Ş0	Ş0	Ş0
	Subtota	I: Salaries	\$7,599	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$7,599	\$0	\$7,599
				Gr	aduate Student				
Tuition to be	Name	% Time		w	ages		Total	<b>AES Portion</b>	<b>CES</b> Portion
budgeted in the	(If position is filled)						¢0	¢0	¢0
same ratio as GA							ېر د م	ېن د م	<u>ېن</u> ډې
stipend time, e.g.,							ې ډې د	<u>رې</u> ۱۵	ېر ۵۷
full time GA							<del>رې</del> ۱۵	0Ç 02	0Ç 02
stipend, full year's							\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	<u>\$0</u>
tuition.		Tuition					\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0
	Cubbabali Cuaduat		ćo	ćo	, co	ćo	¢0	¢0	÷-
	Subtotal: Graduat	e Student	ŞU	ŞU	<u>۵</u>		ŞU	ŞU	ŞU
		ľ		W	lages	поипу	Total	AFS Portion	CES Portion
	Hourly-	Personnel			ages				
	Hourly	-Students					\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	<u>\$0</u>
	Cubicity		ćo.	ćo		ć.	¢0	¢0	÷0
	Subtot	al: Hourly	ŞU	ŞU	\$0	<u></u> \$0	ŞU	ŞU	<u>ې</u> ۵
		ľ		Bo	h	Total	AES Dortion	CES Dortion	
Fringe benefits are	Fulltimo	Personnel	\$2.401	60	icitts د۵	¢n	¢2 //01		¢2 /01
calculated when	Graduate	Students	\$2,401 \$0	<u>نې</u> ۵۶	0 \$0	0Ç ()	\$2,401 \$0	0Ç 02	\$2,401 \$0
salary and wage	Hourly	Personnel	\$0 \$0	0 \$0 \$0	0Ç ()	\$0 \$0	50 \$0	50 \$0	ېن د د
amounts are	Hourly	-Students	\$0	\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0	\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0
entered above.	Cubiately Faire	Donofite	¢2.404	÷۰		¢0	¢2 404	¢0	62 404
	Subtotal: Fring		\$2,401	ŞU	\$0	\$U	\$2,401	Ş0	\$2,401
	Personi	ier rotal	\$10,000	\$0	Ş0	50 Travel	\$10,000	Ş0	\$10,000
				т	ravel	Traver	Total	AFS Portion	CES Portion
Justify out-of-state		In-State					i الما د		¢0
travel in proposal.	Ou	it-of-State					\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0 \$0
							֥	֥	- K
	\$0	\$0	\$0	Ş0	Ş0	Ş0	\$0		

Watkins, Breana

Corn and Grain Sorghum Enterprise Budgets and Production Economic Analysis

		Maintenance & Operations						
			IV	1&0		Total	<b>AES Portion</b>	<b>CES</b> Portion
	Supplies					\$0	\$0	\$0
	Fertilizer/Chemicals					\$0	\$0	\$0
	Publication					\$0	\$0	\$0
	Statistical Consulting					\$0	\$0	\$0
Other Direct Costs						\$0	\$0	\$0
	SAREC, Fayetteville	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	CTST, Marianna	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
lce	Lonoke County Ext. Center	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
tenan	NERE, Keiser	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	NERREC, Jonesboro	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ai l	Jackson County Ext. Center	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Σ	PTST, Colt	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
6	RIRE, Stuttgart	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ati	Rosen Center	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
St	SEST, Rohwer	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	SWRE, Hope	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	VGSS, Kibler	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	FRSS, Clarksville	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	LFST, Batesville	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	CSES Greenhouse, Fayetteville	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	M & O Total	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Total for Proposal	\$10,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$10,000	\$0	\$10,000

Fringe Benefit Rates (as of 7/1/2022)										
Campus	Fulltime	Temp/Hourly	Graduate	Student						
AES	31.60%	7.90%	4.20%	0.70%						
CES	31.60%	7.90%	4.20%	0.70%						

C	Complete the following section ONLY if the project will be considered for an Ecosystem.							
			Watkins,					
Ecosystems		%	Breana	Ford, Vic			Total	
	Grand Prairie	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
(Rice Only)	Mississippi Delta		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	White River		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	Totals	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	

**Title:** Fine-tuning Potassium Recommendations and Investigating Intensive Tissue Analysis for Sustainable Corn Production

Lead Investigators: Trenton Roberts

Co-Investigators: Jason Kelley and Gerson Drescher

Status: Year 3 of 3

Research Areas: Fertility and General Agronomics

**Stated Goal:** The goal of this proposal is to fine-tune current potassium fertilizer recommendations for corn and investigate the utility of intensive in-season tissue nutrient monitoring to aid in corn production.

## **Specific Objectives:**

- 1. Establish field experiments to evaluate corn yield response to varying rates of potassium fertilizer.
  - a. Correlate leaf tissue potassium concentration to corn grain yield.
  - b. Measure potassium removal in the grain
  - c. Fine-tune existing potassium rate recommendations for corn.
- 2. Validate the potash rate calculator developed in conjunction with Dr. Michael Popp
- 3. Determine the utility of intensive in-season tissue sampling and monitoring as a tool for highyielding corn production systems.

#### Methods:

- Potassium rate trials will be established on experiment station sites with varying levels of soil test K (STK). The K rates included in these trials will range from 0-200 lb K<sub>2</sub>O/acre and should encompass the yield maximizing K rate for all locations included. Starting at the V6 growth stage whole aboveground plant samples will be collected to determine tissue-K concentration. During the V10-VT growth stages the uppermost collared leaf will be sampled and from VT-R2 the earleaf (leaf subtending the ear) will be sampled and analyzed for tissue-K concentration. The whole plant or leaf tissue-K concentration will be correlated with corn grain yield to determine the critical tissue-K concentration from the V6-R2 growth stage. During harvest grain samples will be collected from selected K rates to determine the grain K concentration to determine the K content and nutrient removal which can be scaled for yield.
- 2. In the replicated K rate trials and in cooperation with selected corn producers across the state we will collect data to validate the potash rate calculator. The potash rate calculator uses corn grain price, fertilizer price, historical field yield, and soil test K level to predict the profitmaximizing K rate. Preplant soil samples will be collected as well as actual or projected producer fertilizer prices to determine the profit maximizing K fertilization rate. The profit maximizing K rate will be compared to an untreated control as well as the yield maximizing K rate will be compared to the calculated profit-maximizing K rate post hoc and statistically compared.
- 3. Corn verification fields and selected producer fields will be identified with the help of Dr. Kelley and Chuck Capps. These fields will be sampled at the V6, V10, V14 VT, R1 and R2 growth stages using the recommended sampling procedure as it relates to the specific corn growth stage. Samples will be submitted for rapid diagnostic analysis (48 hr turnaround time)

to mimic what a producer might implement for an intensive in-season sampling program. The resulting tissue analysis will be compared to currently published critical concentration values to determine if any nutrients are limiting corn grain yield. In producer fields where a potential nutrient deficiency is identified small-scale strips will be implemented to determine if the application of the deficient nutrient resulted in a significant yield increase. Concurrently, data will be collected to modify current critical concentrations for major corn nutrients across the growth stages sampled. A report will be provided to the producers regarding the nutrient sufficiency of their crop throughout the season.

**Planned Milestones:** Field trials will be established at varying locations in 2023-2024. Progress reports will provide updates on the status of the project and year-end findings will be published in the Arkansas Corn and Grain Sorghum Research Studies. The intensive tissue monitoring program will be modified as needed throughout the season and across years as more data is collected and we are able to refine how that needs to be implemented. At the end of the three-year study, data collected from these trials will strengthen potassium recommendations for corn and could change overall potassium fertilizer recommendations.

**Statement of Projected Value:** The Corn and Grain Sorghum Promotion Board has provided funding in past years to support the development and validation of existing potassium (K) recommendations. Results were recently summarized and found that there were areas across the range of soil test K values that would benefit from additional data. However, we know that the response to K fertilization progressively decreases as soil test K level increases until a point where no response to K fertilization is observed. Current Extension recommendations for K range from 160 lb  $K_20$  per acre for K levels under 61 ppm K to no K recommended for soil test levels above 175 ppm. There is a lack of data at very low soil test K and very high soil test K values limiting the robustness of the current recommendations. Adding data from soils testing "optimum" and "above optimum" and "very low" would allow us to properly define the soil test level at which no response is expected and modify recommendations as needed.

**Budget Justifications/Explanation of Travel and Direct Costs:** The out of state travel included in this proposal is to cover a portion of the cost for graduate students to attend professional scientific meetings which in this calendar year will include the international annual agronomy meetings in San Antonio, TX. The attendance at these meetings allows presentations to be made concerning the research work conducted and also for increased education by attending other scientific presentations and workshops. Instate travel and salary are budgeted for field data collections.

## **Promotion Board Budget**

Roberts, Trenton		Fine-tunin	g Potassium R	ecommendatio	ons and Investigo	ating Intensive	Tissue Analysis	for Sustainabl	e Corn
Year	2024/2025		Project Year	Year 3 of 3				Version: 6.0	(11/01/2023)
Lead Investigator	Roberts, Trenton		Co-PI #1	Drescher, Ger	son				
Co-PI #2			Co-PI #3						
Department	CSES Crop, Soil, Enviro	nmental S	cience						
Commodity Board	Corn and Grain Sorghu	um Board							
Project Title	Fine-tuning Potassium	Recomme	endations and	Investigating I	ntensive Tissue A	Analysis for Sus	tainable Corn F	roduction	
	Budgets are re	quested in	separate colu	ımns if separa	te Worktags for	AES and CES w	ill be needed.		
			B	udget for Pe	rsonnel				
			Roberts, Trenton	Drescher, Gerson					
ک	Select "AES" or "CES" fo	or each PI	AES	AES			Total Board		
							Requested	AES Portion	<b>CES</b> Portion
				Fulltime Pers	onnel				
Position Title	Name (if position is filled)	% Time		Sa	aries		Total	AES	CES
Program Associate	Dave Smith	15%	\$9,300				\$9,300	\$9,300	\$0
Program Associate	Stephanie Williamson	10%	\$7,000				\$7,000	\$7,000	\$0
							\$0	\$0	\$0
							\$0	\$0	\$0
							\$0	\$0	\$0
	Subtota	I: Salaries	\$16,300	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$16,300	\$16,300	\$0
				Gr	aduate Student				
Tuition to be budgeted in the	Name (if position is filled)	% Time		Wages			Total	<b>AES</b> Portion	<b>CES</b> Portion
							\$0	\$0	\$0
same ratio as GA							\$0	\$0	\$0
stipena time, e.g.,							\$0	\$0	\$0
stinend full year's							\$0	\$0	\$0
tuition.							\$0	\$0	\$0
		Tuition					\$0	\$0	\$0
	Subtotal: Graduat	e Student	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
						Hourly			
				W	ages		Total	AES Portion	CES Portion
	Hourly-	Personnel	64.500	<u> </u>			\$0 \$2.500	\$0 \$0	<u>\$0</u>
	Houriy	-Students	\$1,500	\$2,000			\$3,500	\$3,500	ŞU
	Subtot	al: Hourly	\$1,500	\$2,000	\$0	\$0	\$3,500	\$3,500	\$0
				De	F	ringe Benefits	<b>T</b> I		
Fringe benefits are	F	Dorconnel	ČE 454	Be	nerits	ćo	lotal	ALS Portion	CES Portion
calculated when	Fuiltime	Personnei	\$5,151 ¢0	\$U \$0	\$U \$0	ېر د ک	\$5,151 \$0	۲ <u>5,151</u> مې	<u>انې</u> د م
salary and wage	Hourly	Personnel	ې د کې	30 \$0	50 \$0	ېر د کې	30 \$0	ېر د کې	ېرې د کې
amounts are	Hourly	-Students	\$11	\$14	\$0	\$0	\$25	\$25	\$0
entered above.	Subtotal: Erina	Bonofite	¢E 161	¢14	÷0	¢0	¢E 17E	¢E 17E	¢0
	Subiolai: Fring		\$22,061	\$14 \$2.014	\$U	ېل د م	\$24.075	\$24.075	ېن د م
	Personi	iei i Utal	ŞZZ,901	\$2,014	<u>ې</u> (	50 Travel	۶۲4,812	۶۲4,875	<u>ې</u> 0
			Travel				Total	<b>AES Portion</b>	<b>CES</b> Portion
Justify out-of-state		In-State	\$2,500	\$3,000			\$5,500	\$5,500	\$0
travel in proposal.	Ou	t-of-State		\$2,000			\$2,000	\$2,000	\$0
	Trav	vel Total	\$2,500	\$5,000	\$0	\$0	\$7,500	\$7,500	\$0
			1 1-2-	1 - /	+ -		1 /- 2-	1 7- 2-	6.2

Roberts, Trenton

Fine-tuning Potassium Recommendations and Investigating Intensive Tissue Analysis for Sustainable Corn

		Maintenance & Operations						
			N	1&0		Total	AES Portion	CES Portion
	Supplies	\$2,000	\$2,000			\$4,000	\$4,000	\$0
	Fertilizer/Chemicals					\$0	\$0	\$0
	Publication					\$0	\$0	\$0
	Statistical Consulting					\$0	\$0	\$0
Other Direct Costs	Analysis and Publication	\$5,500	\$7,500			\$13,000	\$13,000	\$0
	SAREC, Fayetteville	\$1,780	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,780	\$1,780	\$0
	CTST, Marianna	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
lce	Lonoke County Ext. Center	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Jar	NERE, Keiser	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ter	NERREC, Jonesboro	\$1,780	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,780	\$1,780	\$0
in in	Jackson County Ext. Center	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Σ̈́	PTST, Colt	\$3,360	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,360	\$3,360	\$0
5	RIRE, Stuttgart	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ati	Rosen Center	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
St	SEST, Rohwer	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	SWRE, Hope	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	VGSS, Kibler	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	FRSS, Clarksville	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	LFST, Batesville	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	CSES Greenhouse, Fayetteville	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	M & O Total	\$14,420	\$9,500	\$0	\$0	\$23,920	\$23,920	\$0
	Total for Proposal	\$39,881	\$16,514	\$0	\$0	\$56,395	\$56,395	\$0

Fringe Benefit Rates (as of 7/1/2022)										
Campus	Fulltime	Temp/Hourly	Graduate	Student						
AES	31.60%	7.90%	4.20%	0.70%						
CES	31.60%	7.90%	4.20%	0.70%						

С	Complete the following section ONLY if the project will be considered for an Ecosystem.								
			Roberts,	Drescher,					
Ecosystems (Rice Only)		%	Trenton	Gerson			Total		
	Grand Prairie	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
	Mississippi Delta		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
	White River		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
	Totals	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		

Title: Assessing Susceptibility of Insect Pests of Corn in Storage to Selected Insecticides

#### Lead Investigators: Glenn Studebaker

Co-Investigators: Nick Bateman, Ben Thrash, Neelendra Joshi

Status (i.e., New, Year 2 of 3, Year 2 of 2, etc.): Year 3 of 3

# Research Areas (Verification Program, General Agronomics, Diseases, Insects, Fertility, Irrigation, Weed Control, Misc. Projects): Insect

**Stated Goal:** To develop cost-effective methods and recommendations for preventing losses to insect pests of corn in storage in Arkansas.

**Specific Objectives:** Several insect pests are known to attack corn in storage (Rees, 2004). Among them, internal feeders, such as different species of weevils (e.g., granary weevil, rice weevil and lesser grain borer), are economically most important Arbogast and Throne, 1997). If not managed effectively, these insect pests have potential to cause total loss in stored grain commodities. Numerous other pests such as the Indian meal moth larva, confused flour beetle, red flour beetle etc. are also known to infest stored-corn (Storey et al. 1983). Recent studies have indicated that the red flour beetle is the most common insect detected in stored corn grain in Arkansas. Confused flour beetle and Angoumais grain moth were also detected to a lesser extent. If found, other important stored pests such as rice weevil also have high potential to damage corn kernals. Red flour beetle has been shown to be resistant to some of the insecticides (spinosad, malathion and phosphine) commonly used to protect stored grains (Bajracharya et al. 2013; Zettler & Cuperus, 1990). Chlorpyrifos-methyl has been shown to still be an effective means of control of stored pests in stored corn and other grains. However, the EPA has recently revoked all tolerances for chlorpyrifos on food crops and this product will not likely be available to growers in the future. Therefore, it is important to determine what insecticides are most effective at preventing infestations of these insect pests in stored corn.

**Objective 1:** Preventative insecticide treatments are one option available to growers to protect corn in storage. These treatments are recommended to be applied to grain as it is loaded into storage bins to prevent stored grain insect pests. Efficacy data on recommended products is lacking for Arkansas. It is important to know what products are efficacious and how long one can expect these products to provide protection for corn in storage. Efficacy studies will be conducted to determine both the effectiveness and longevity of control of recommended insecticide treatments.

**Objective 2:** To determine relative susceptibility of stored grain insect pests to various insecticides and other chemicals. These bioassays will evaluate sensitivity of flour beetles and other stored pests to new chemicals as outlined under Obj. 1. Finding of these laboratory bioassays will provide information on susceptibility or resistance of stored pests that are found in Arkansas corn to new insecticide chemicals have, and the findings will be helpful in making insecticide recommendations for stored pest management.

#### Methods:

**Objective 1:** Newly harvested corn will be treated with insecticides currently labeled for stored grains and placed into 20 gallon barrels for storage to simulate the conditions of on-farm storage in bins. Barrels will be sampled monthly for the presence of stored grain insects throughout the year to determine the

length of residual protection against stored grain insects. Barrels will be maintained until all treatments become infested. Treatments are listed in table 1.

Insecticide	Rate	Method of Application
Actellic 5E	9.2 oz/1,071 bu	incorporated
Actellic 5 E	12.3 oz/1,071 bu	incorporated
Centynal 0.42 SC	9 oz/1,000 bu	incorporated
Centynal 0.42 SC	18 oz/1,000 bu	incorporated
Diacon Plus EC	9 oz/1,000 bu	incorporated
Diacon Plus EC	18 oz/1,000 bu	incorporated
Diacon 2.4 EC	3.5 oz/1,000 bu	incorporated
Diacon 2.4 EC	7 oz/1,000 bu	incorporated
Malathion 6% Dust	10 lbs/1,000 bu	incorporated
Silicon Dioxide Dust	1 lb/1,000 bu	incorporated
Silicon Dioxide Dust	2 lb/1,000 bu	incorporated
Untreated		

Table 1. Insecticides and rates for stored grain insecticides.

**Objective 2:** Multiple laboratory bioassays will be conducted to determine susceptibility of stored grain insect pests found in Arkansas corn to new chemicals as described under objective 1. Colonies of flour beetles and other pests will be maintained in the laboratory. These insects will be exposed to insecticides via two different methods in two set of bioassays. In the first set of bioassays, we will exposed adult stages of insect pests to different insecticide concentrations applied topically, and will measure acute toxicity up to 96 hrs after exposure. In the second set of bioassays, we will expose same stages of insect pests to treated corn kernels and containers, and will measure residual toxicity.

#### **Planned Milestones:**

- 1. Determine efficacy of currently labeled insecticides against major insect pests of stored corn.
- 2. Determine insecticide resistance development in major insect pests of stored corn.
- **3.** Update insecticide recommendations and control options.

**Statement of Projected Value:** This project will provide needed information to update current recommendations on preventative insecticides applications to corn in storage. This information will provide growers up-to-date information on the best practices to minimize grain losses to insect pests during storage.

#### **Budget Justifications/Explanation of Travel and Direct Costs:**

Salaries	\$22,372
Hourly wages	\$2,158
Graduate Student	\$14,775
Supplies (barrels, containers, products for testing)	\$2,000
Total	\$41,305

Literature Cited:

Bajracharya, N., G. Opit, J. Talley and C. Jones. 2013. Efficacies of Spinosad and a Combination of Chlorpyrifos-Methyl and Deltamethrin Against Phosphine-Resistant Rhyzopertha dominica (Coleoptera: Bostrichidae) and Tribolium castaneum (Coleoptera: Tenebrionidae) on Wheat. J. Econ. Entomology 106,

Zettler, L. and G. Cuperus. 1990. Pesticide Resistance in Tribolium castaneum (Coleoptera: Tenebrionidae) and Rhyzopertha dominica (Coleoptera: Bostrichidae) in Wheat. *J. Econ. Entomology* 83, pp. 1677-1681.

## **Promotion Board Budget**

Studebaker, Glenn		Assessing	Susceptibility	of Insect Pests	of Corn in Storag	e to Selected Ir	nsecticides		
Year	2024/2025		Project Year	Year 3 of 3				Version: 6.0	(11/01/2023)
Lead Investigator	Studebaker, Glenn		Co-PI #1	Bateman, Nick	:				
Co-PI #2	Joshi, Neelendra		Co-PI #3	Thrash, Ben					
Department	ENPL Entomology and	l Plant Path	ology						
Commodity Board	Corn and Grain Sorghu	um Board							
Project Title	Assessing Susceptibilit	ty of Insect	Pests of Corn	in Storage to S	Selected Insectici	des			
	Budgets are re	equested in	separate colu	imns if separa	te Worktags for	AES and CES w	ill be needed.		
			B	udget for Pe	rsonnel				
			Studebaker,	<b>.</b>					
S	Select "AFS" or "CFS" for each Pl			Bateman, Nick	Josni, Neelendra	Inrash, Ben	Total Board		
			CES	CES	AES	CES	Funding	AFC Doution	CEC Doution
				Eulltime Dore	onnol		Requested	AES Portion	CES Portion
	Name			runtime Pers					
Position Title	(if position is filled)	% Time		Sa	aries		Total	AES	CES
Program Associate	Matthew Mann	14%	\$5,000				\$5,000	\$0	\$5,000
Program Associate	Garrett Felts	10%		\$5,000			\$5,000	\$0	\$5,000
Program Associate	Andrew Plummer	10%				\$3,500	\$3,500	\$0	\$3,500
Program Associate	Lauren Amos	10%				\$3,500	\$3,500	\$0	\$3,500
							Ş0	Ş0	Ş0
	Subtota	I: Salaries	\$5,000	\$5,000	\$0	\$7,000	\$17,000	\$0	\$17,000
				Gr	aduate Student				
Tuition to be	Name	% Time	Wages				Total	AFS Portion	CES Portion
hudgeted in the	(if position is filled)	<i>/</i> • mile			aBes			ALSTORION	
same ratio as GA				\$500	\$10,000		\$10,500	\$10,000	\$500
stipend time, e.g.,							\$0	\$0	\$0
full time GA							<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
stipend, full year's							<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u> </u>
tuition.		Tuition			¢2 024		۷۶ ۵۹۹ دغ	۷۶ ۸ ده دغ	<u>ېل</u>
		Turtion			Ş3,834		\$3,834	\$5,654	
	Subtotal: Graduat	e Student	Ş0	\$500	\$13,834	\$0	\$14,334	\$13,834	\$500
				14/	2.905	Hourly	Tatal	AFC Dantian	CEC Doution
	Hourby	Dorconnol	¢2.000	vv	ages			AES Portion	CES Portion
	Hourb	-Students	\$2,000				\$2,000 \$0	<u>رې</u> ۱۵۶	\$2,000 \$0
	nourry	, students	4			4.5	<u>لې</u>	Ç.	
	Subtot	al: Hourly	Ş2,000	Ş0	Ş0	\$0	\$2,000	Ş0	\$2,000
					F	ringe Benefits			
Fringe benefits are	e. Ilu:	Damaaria	64.500	Bei	nerits	62.242	Total	ALS Portion	CES Portion
calculated when	Fulltime	Personnel	\$1,580	\$1,580	Ş0	\$2,212	\$5,372	\$U	\$5,372
salary and wage	Hourk	Personnel	ېU ¢1⊑0	\$21 ¢0	\$420 ¢0	ې د م	ې441 ¢150	ې420 د م	ې۲۲ ۲۵۵
amounts are	Hourly	-Studente	۵۵۲ <u></u> ۵۵۲	0¢ 02	ې د م	<u>لې</u> د ک	۵۵۲۶ ۵۵۲۶	ېن د	۵۵۲۶ ۵۵۲۶
entered above.			Ç.		Ç.	ÛÇ Ala ca			
	Subtotal: Fring	e Benefits	\$1,738	\$1,601	\$420	\$2,212	\$5,971	\$420	\$5,551
	Person	nei i otal	\$8,738	\$7,101	\$14,254	\$9,212 Travel	\$39,305	\$14,254	\$25,051
			Travel				Total	<b>AES</b> Portion	CES Portion
Justify out-of-state		In-State					\$0	\$0	\$0
travel in proposal.	Ou	it-of-State					\$0	\$0	\$0
	T		ćo	ćo	ćo	ćo	ćo	ćo	
<u> </u>	ŞO	\$0	Ş0	Ş0	Ş0	Ş0	Ş0		

Studebaker, Glenn

Assessing Susceptibility of Insect Pests of Corn in Storage to Selected Insecticides

				Mainte	enance & Oper	ations		
			N	//&0		Total	AES Portion	<b>CES</b> Portion
	Supplies	\$500	\$500	\$500	\$500	\$2,000	\$500	\$1,500
	Fertilizer/Chemicals					\$0	\$0	\$0
	Publication					\$0	\$0	\$0
	Statistical Consulting					\$0	\$0	\$0
Other Direct Costs						\$0	\$0	\$0
	SAREC, Fayetteville	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	CTST, Marianna	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
lce	Lonoke County Ext. Center	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
lan	NERE, Keiser	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ter	NERREC, Jonesboro	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
in in	Jackson County Ext. Center	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ΣĚ	PTST, Colt	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
u	RIRE, Stuttgart	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
atio	Rosen Center	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
St	SEST, Rohwer	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	SWRE, Hope	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	VGSS, Kibler	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	FRSS, Clarksville	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	LFST, Batesville	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	CSES Greenhouse, Fayetteville	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	M & O Total	\$500	\$500	\$500	\$500	\$2,000	\$500	\$1,500
	Total for Proposal	\$9,238	\$7,601	\$14,754	\$9,712	\$41,305	\$14,754	\$26,551
Budget errors dels	w submission of your proposal	Any proposal	submitted wit	h arrars in the h	udaat cannat k	o avarantood	accurato proco	ntation for

	Fringe Ber	nefit Rates (as of	7/1/2022)	
Campus	Fulltime	Temp/Hourly	Graduate	Student
AES	31.60%	7.90%	4.20%	0.70%
CES	31.60%	7.90%	4.20%	0.70%

C	omplete the following	section ON	ILY if the proj	e <mark>ct will be con</mark> s	sidered for an Ec	osystem.		
			Studebaker,					
		%	Glenn	Bateman, Nick	Joshi, Neelendra	Thrash, Ben	Total	
Ecosystems	Grand Prairie	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
(Rice Only)	Mississippi Delta		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	White River		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	Totals	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Must match the proposa

Title: The Arkansas Irrigation Yield Contest

Lead Investigators: Chris Henry, Professor, Water Management Engineer, RREC

## Status: Year 7

**Goal:** The University of Arkansas Division of Agriculture has been demonstrating irrigation Water Management Practices on cooperator farms for five years. Experience has shown that when applied effectively water use can be reduced by 24% on average with no yield penalty. Reductions in water use of around 40% have been documented. The adoption rate of Computerized Hole Selection is over 40% indicating that this is now a mainstream practice in Arkansas. However, a significant need still exists to secure the sustainability of irrigated agriculture in Arkansas. It is still unknown and not well documented how much irrigation water use is needed for crops in Arkansas. A critical need is the documentation of the irrigation water use that is possible with a combination of IWM practices and the ingenuity of Arkansas farmers.

**Approach:** An irrigation yield contest is proposed. No such contest exists that incorporates the competitive nature of maximizing yield with maximizing water use efficiency. Many growers are familiar with the state and National Corn Growers Contest, National Wheat Growers contest and Arkansas's own "Go for the Green" soybean yield contest. The contest would be operated using the existing proposal supporting irrigation water management projects previously and currently proposed to these boards. Current yield contests focus only on yield, where this contest would highlight Arkansas farmer's efforts to improve sustainability and profitability, which are paramount to the future of agriculture.

**Planned Milestones:** What is proposed is funding directly from each commodity board of \$10,000 to fund the first place award the corn irrigation contest. Essentially each commodity board would support the award for its commodity. The boards would provide the award directly to the first place winner, \$6,000, \$3,000 for second place, and \$1,000 for third place. The rules are similar to existing commodity yield contests, with the additional requirement of a propeller flow meter, sealed by the program and that the yield must exceed the county average irrigated yield for the county (to eliminate dryland or severe deficit entries). Contestants will be announced at the annual Arkansas Soil and Water Conservation Conference in Jonesboro, Arkansas in late January 2024.

**Request: \$10,000 in awards and credits payable directly to winners.** Support for the contest logistics, advertising, meter loaning, and operation of the contest will be done under the irrigation project.

-----No land use spreadsheet or routing form is used for this request as funds come directly from board to contest winners---

Title: Improving Irrigation Technology for Corn Production in Arkansas

Lead Investigators: Chris Henry, Professor, Water Management Engineer, RREC

Co-investigators:	Terry Spurlock, Extension Plant Pathologist Jason Kelley, Associate Professor, Extension Corn and Grain Sorghum Specialist, CES Chuck Capps, Corn and Grain Sorghum Research Verification Coordinator
-------------------	---

## Status: Year 2 of 3

**Research Area:** Irrigation

**Goal:** Provide irrigation information for corn growers on how to irrigate corn effectively and efficiently using soil moisture sensors and other schedulers. This project measures irrigation water-use in corn through the CGSRVP and the Arkansas Irrigation Yield Contest. The program works to improve furrow irrigation systems efficiency through evaluation of surge valves, computerized hole-selection for poly pipe (CSH), and investigation of innovative tail-water recovery solutions. This project is helping growers improve yields and reduce costs.

## **Specific Objectives:**

- 1. Measure sap flow in corn to develop and confirm water use in corn.
- 2. Measure irrigation water use, water use efficiency and efficacy of IWM tools through novel irrigation contest. Demonstrate Irrigation Water Management through the Irrigation Yield Contest. Pilot cloud meters in contest.
- 3. Finalize retention curves for the major soil Arkansas soil types, incorporate into mobile app and factsheets.
- 4. Conduct Irrigation schools for surge irrigation and soil moisture sensor education.
- 5. Develop prototypes for new methods and promote existing known methods to improve irrigation efficiency. Test cover crop crimper for furrow irrigation. Continue surge valve development, poly pipe printer, new soil moisture sensor and novel flowmeter and digital asset water trading system.
- 6. Pilot remote valve and pump timer technology on a working farm.

**Methods:** Currently there is some uncertainty about the crop coefficients, trigger levels, and soil moisture deficits for corn and conditions in Arkansas. Sap flow will be measured from corn by growth stage to develop water use by stage for use in irrigation recommendations within a weekly versus calendar irrigation study. A post dc student will finish work on classifying the irrigated soils in Arkansas and the difference in no-till, cover crop and tillage on water holding capacity in 2024. This data will be used to publish a publication on sensors and finalize another version of the mobile app for sensor interpretation.

A novel flowmeter is under development that will be pilot tested in the irrigation contest. It will allow for real-time feedback to the contestants. A novel cover crop crimper has been developed, in 2024 additional testing of design revisions will be conducted. The poly pipe printer will undergo testing using a new ink

system. Another prototype of the advanced surge system is under development. The simple pump timer is ready for pre-commercialization field testing in 2024 but will also include a remote valve.

On average we have shown a 25% reduction in water use while maintaining yields, in 2018 we implemented the Arkansas Irrigation Yield Contest. In the last four years we have had 8-12 contest participants, but in 2022 we expect over 20 to enter if pandemic allows winter meetings. The contest delivers a wealth of knowledge about what is possible when the focus on production is water management. Repeat corn participants in the contest are INCREASING their Water Use Efficiencies over time and adoption of IWM is high or improving. Many farmers are using IWM to win the contest and have great stories to share with others about how to manage water and achieve very high yields. The contest resulted in a good dataset of Water Use Efficiency, water use, total water and yield. To add to the contest, we plan to provide a second meter on some of the contest fields, to provide real time water use data to their mobile device. A mobile app will be developed and a three prototype meters will be constructed and testing with contest fields.

**Planned Milestones:** Data will be collected on plots, through the contest, and through field observations. Sap flow results and plot results will be used to validate current irrigation scheduling thresholds for soil moisture sensors. Retention curve soil samples are nearly completed, a post-doc should finish in 2024. The mobile app for soil moisture sensors will be further developed to include weather prediction support. Meters for real time monitoring will be deployed. Soil moisture sensors will be installed in contest and verification fields. Cover crop crimper will be tested and design modifications made to get to a finished product.

**Statement of Projected Value:** <u>Based on 2012 Corn Research Verification Water use data, corn used 25</u> inches of irrigation water, almost as much water as rice (30 inches) in Arkansas. Based on a three year average the contest participants are only using 9.3 ac-inches/ac with an average yield of 218 bpa. Long term crop water needs are estimated for corn in Arkansas is between 12-18ac-in/ac, assuming 70% irrigation efficiencies, so contestants are demonstrating how corn can be sustainably grown in the midsouth. There is a critical need to provide resources and improve the current knowledge for irrigating corn in the sub-humid region. This project will also work to improve and promote irrigation efficiency tools. With such tools and better irrigation recommendations for corn, this project will greatly increase profitability for corn growers in Arkansas and allow for reduced water and energy use.

**Budget Justification/ Explanation of Travel and Direct Costs:** Funds will be used for supplies of crimper parts (steel, bearings, tool bar, hitch, etc.), combine parts and labor, flowmeter and surge parts, actuators, valves, custom -made components, pcb board fabrication, contractors, components for poly pipe printer and meals for irrigation schools and meetings. Meters, fittings, surge valves, sensors deployment in contest fields, labor, travel, supplies.

## **Promotion Board Budget**

Henry, Christopher		Improving	lrrigation Tec	hnology for Co	orn Production in	Arkansas			
Year	2024/2025		Project Year					Version: 6.0	(11/01/2023)
Lead Investigator	Henry, Christopher		Co-Pl #1			l .			
Co-PI #2			Co-PI #3			Ĩ			
Department	BAEG Biological & Agr	icultural E	ngineering			l .			
Commodity Board	Corn and Grain Sorgh	um Board							
Project Title	Improving Irrigation T	echnology	for Corn Prod	uction in Arkar	nsas				
	Budgets are re	quested in	n separate colu	ımns if separa	te Worktags for	AES and CES w	ill be needed.		
			B	udget for Pe	rsonnel				
			Henry,						
			Christopher						
9	Select "AES" or "CES" fo	or each Pl	AES				Total Board		
							Funding	AES Dortion	CES Portion
					•		Requested	ALS FOILIOIT	CES POILION
				Fulltime Pers	onnel			1	
Position Title	Name	% Time		Sa	laries		Total	AES	CES
Due ano de Creacialist	(If position is filled)		¢20.000				¢20.000	¢20.000	<u> </u>
Program Specialist	Shruti Vaman		\$30,080				\$30,080	\$30,080	\$U
Program Associate	Russ Parker	1000/	\$5,000				\$5,000	\$5,000	\$0 \$0
Program Associate	IVI. ISManov	100%	\$47,250				\$47,250	\$47,250	<u>\$0</u>
Program Specialist	Nathan Biankenship		\$17,000				\$17,000	\$17,000	<u>\$0</u>
							ŞU	<u>کې</u>	ŞU
	Subtota	I: Salaries	\$99,330	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$99,330	\$99,330	\$0
				Gr	aduate Student				
Tuition to be	Name (if position is filled)	% Time		w	ages		Total	AES Portion	<b>CES</b> Portion
budgeted in the							\$0	\$0	\$0
same ratio as GA							\$0 \$0	\$0	<u>\$0</u>
stipend time, e.g.,							\$0	\$0	\$0
full time GA							\$0	\$0	\$0
stipend, full year's							\$0	\$0	\$0
tuition.		Tuition					\$0	\$0	\$0
	Subtotal: Graduat	o Studont	¢0	¢0	<u> </u>	¢0	¢0	¢0	ŚŊ
	Subiolai. Graduat	e student		<u>ې</u> ن	J 30	Hourly	ŞU	<u> </u>	ŞŬ
				W	ages	nouny	Total	AES Portion	CES Portion
	Hourly-	Personnel	\$3,000		4800		\$3,000	\$3,000	\$0
	Hourly	-Students	\$3,000				\$0,000 \$0	\$0	<u>\$0</u>
			40.000						
	Subtot	al: Hourly	\$3,000	Ş0	Ş0	ŞO	\$3,000	\$3,000	Ş0
					F	ringe Benefits			
Fringe henefits are				Be	netits		Total	AES Portion	CES Portion
calculated when	Fulltime	Personnel	\$31,388	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$31,388	\$31,388	\$0
salary and waae	Graduate	e Students	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
amounts are	Hourly	Personnel	\$237	<u>\$0</u>	\$0	<u>\$0</u>	\$237	\$237	\$0
entered above.	Hourly	-Students	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	<u></u> \$0	\$0
	Subtotal: Fring	e Benefits	\$31,625	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$31,625	\$31,625	\$0
	Person	nel Total	\$133,955	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$133,955	\$133,955	\$0
					·	Travel			
Justify out of state				TI	ravel		Total	<b>AES Portion</b>	<b>CES Portion</b>
travel in proposal		In-State	\$5,000				\$5,000	\$5,000	\$0
traver in proposul.	Οι	it-of-State	\$5,000				\$5,000	\$5,000	\$0
	Tra	vel Total	\$10,000	ŚŊ	<u>م</u> ¢	\$0	\$10,000	\$10,000	\$0
L	110		÷10,000	- V	ŲŲ	γU	÷10,000	÷10,000	γu

## **Promotion Board Budget**

Henry, Christopher Improving Irrigation Technology for Corn Production in Arkansas

		Maintenance & Operations								
	-		Μ	&0		Total	<b>AES Portion</b>	<b>CES</b> Portion		
	Supplies	\$27,500				\$27,500	\$27,500	\$0		
	Fertilizer/Chemicals					\$0	\$0	\$0		
	Publication					\$0	\$0	\$0		
	Statistical Consulting					\$0	\$0	\$0		
Other Direct Costs	toolbar, combine, meals	\$10,000				\$10,000	\$10,000	\$0		
	SAREC, Fayetteville	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
	CTST, Marianna	\$3,825	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,825	\$3,825	\$0		
Jce	Lonoke County Ext. Center	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
Jar	NERE, Keiser	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
tei	NERREC, Jonesboro	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
ain	Jackson County Ext. Center	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
Σ	PTST, Colt	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
uo	RIRE, Stuttgart	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
ati	Rosen Center	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
St	SEST, Rohwer	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
	SWRE, Hope	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
	VGSS, Kibler	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
	FRSS, Clarksville	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
	LFST, Batesville	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
	CSES Greenhouse, Fayetteville	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
	M & O Total	\$41,325	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$41,325	\$41,325	\$0		
	Total for Proposal	\$185,280	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$185,280	\$185,280	\$0		

	Fringe Ber	nefit Rates (as of	7/1/2022)	
Campus	Fulltime	Temp/Hourly	Graduate	Student
AES	31.60%	7.90%	4.20%	0.70%
CES	31.60%	7.90%	4.20%	0.70%

C	Complete the following section ONLY if the project will be considered for an Ecosystem.							
			Henry,					
		%	Christopher				Total	
Ecosystems	Grand Prairie	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
(Rice Only)	Mississippi Delta		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	White River		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	Totals	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Title: Arkansas Discovery Farms

## Lead Investigators: Mike Daniels

## Status (i.e., New, Year 2 of 3, Year 2 of 2, etc.): Year 3 of 3

**Research Areas (Verification Program, General Agronomics, Diseases, Insects, Fertility, Irrigation, Weed Control, Misc. Projects):** ): Miscellaneous Project to monitor runoff water quality on private farms to determine nutrient and sediment losses, evaluate conservation practices and verify sustainability of current production practices.

**Stated Goal:** The overall goal of the program is to document sustainable and viable farming systems that remain cost-effective in an environmentally sound manner.

**Specific Objectives:** Conduct on-farm demonstration and monitoring to assess the need for and effectiveness of conservation practices.

- 1. Provide on-farm verification and documentation of nutrient and sediment loss reductions and water conservation in support of nutrient management planning and sound environmental farm stewardship.
- Develop and deliver educational programs from on-farm data that will assist producers in achieving both production and environmental goals in support of sustainable farming.
  3.

**Methods:** The ARDF program, which presently consists of a network of 17 farms throughout Arkansas, is an effective stakeholder-driven conservation demonstration program, where extensive, state-of-the-art water quality monitoring systems are installed on private, working farms including both livestock and row-crop systems to document environmental impact and to demonstrate the potential of NRCS-approved conservation practices' on- and off-farm impacts with respect to documented water quality (Daniels et al., 2018; Daniels et al., 2019), water-use efficiency, and soil health improvements under different management practices.

**Planned Milestones:** Continue on-farm monitoring and data collection to document impact and sustainability as well as empower farmers to: 1) be a part of the solution on the froint-end and 2) educate other farmers using data collected on their farm at field days and tours and educational meetings.

**Statement of Projected Value:** The <u>Discovery Farm Program</u> (ARDF; Sharpley et al., 2015) has emerged as an important educational platform that has been successful in addressing water quality, water use efficiency, and improving soil health. **The n**on-agricultural sector of society including lawmakers, the agricultural supply chain from consumers to large retailers continues to raise questions of natural resource conservation and sustainability as little data exists that documents agriculture's impact on the environment and natural resources. The continuation of this program is critical to helping agriculture document ecosystem services and sustainable use of natural resources and to demonstrate that agricultural producers are voluntarily and proactively addressing sustainability concerns

## Budget Justifications/Explanation of Travel and Direct Costs: \$5000 for In-State Travel.

Daniels, Mike		Arkansas	Discovery Farn	ns					
Year	2024/2025		Project Year	Year 3 of 3				Version: 6.0	(11/01/2023)
Lead Investigator	Daniels, Mike		Co-PI #1						
Co-PI #2			Co-PI #3						
Department	CSES Crop, Soil, Enviro	nmental S	cience						
Commodity Board	Corn and Grain Sorghu	ım Board							
Project Title	Arkansas Discovery Fa	rms							
	Budgets are re	quested in	separate colu	mns if separa	te Worktags for	AES and CES w	ill be needed.		
			В	udget for Pe	rsonnel				
			Daniels, Mike				Total Board		
S	elect "AES" or "CES" fo	or each Pl	CES				Funding		
							Requested	AES Portion	<b>CES Portion</b>
				Fulltime Pers	onnel				
Position Title	Name (if position is filled)	% Time		Sal	aries		Total	AES	CES
							\$0	\$0	\$0
							\$0	\$0	\$0
							\$0	\$0	\$0
							\$0	\$0	\$0
							\$0	\$0	\$0
	Subtota	I: Salaries	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
				Gr	aduate Student		ı		
Tuition to be	Name	% Time		w	ages		Total	AES Portion	<b>CES</b> Portion
budgeted in the	(if position is filled)								
same ratio as GA							<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
stipend time, e.g.,							\$U	\$U	\$U
full time GA							<u>ې</u> ن ډې	<u>\$0</u>	<u>ېل</u>
stipend, full year's							<u>ں</u> د	ېن د م	ېن د م
tuition.		Tuition					ې ډې	ې د کې	<del>ر</del> ۵۷
		Turtion					ŞU		ŞU
	Subtotal: Graduat	e Student	Ş0	\$0	Ş0	Ş0	Ş0	Ş0	Ş0
						Hourly			050 5 11
	11			vv	ages		lotal	AES Portion	CES Portion
	Houriy-I	Studente					<u>ېل</u> ډې	\$U	<u>ېل</u> ډې
	поину	-students					ŞU		<u>ې</u> ن
	Subtot	al: Hourly	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
					F	ringe Benefits			
Fringe henefits are				Bei	nefits		Total	AES Portion	CES Portion
calculated when	Fulltime	Personnel	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
salary and waae	Graduate	Students	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	\$0 \$0	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
amounts are	Hourly	Personnel	\$0	<u> </u>	<u>\$0</u>	\$0 \$0	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
entered above.	Hourly	-students	\$0	Ş0	\$0	Ş0	Ş0	Ş0	Ş0
	Subtotal: Fringe	e Benefits	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Personr	nel Total	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
						Travel			
Justify out-of-state				Tr	avel		Total	AES Portion	CES Portion
travel in proposal.		In-State	\$5,000				\$5,000	\$0	\$5,000
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Ou	t-of-State					\$0	\$0	\$0
	Trav	vel Total	\$5,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$5 <i>,</i> 000	\$0	\$5,000

Daniels, Mike	Arkansas	Discovery Fari	ms					
				Mainte	nance & Opera	ations		
			IV	1&0		Total	<b>AES Portion</b>	<b>CES</b> Portior
	Supplies					\$0	\$0	\$C
	Fertilizer/Chemicals					\$0	\$0	\$0
	Publication					\$0	\$0	\$0
	Statistical Consulting					\$0	\$0	\$0
Other Direct Costs						\$0	\$0	\$0
	SAREC, Fayetteville	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	CTST, Marianna	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ce	Lonoke County Ext. Center	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
าลท	NERE, Keiser	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ter	NERREC, Jonesboro	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
in	Jackson County Ext. Center	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Σ̈́	PTST, Colt	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
5	RIRE, Stuttgart	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
atio	Rosen Center	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
St	SEST, Rohwer	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	SWRE, Hope	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	VGSS, Kibler	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	FRSS, Clarksville	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	LFST, Batesville	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	CSES Greenhouse, Fayetteville	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	M & O Total	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Total for Proposal	\$5,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$5,000	\$0	\$5,000
Budget errors dela	av submission of your proposal.	Any proposal	submitted with	h errors in the bi	udaet cannot b	e auaranteed	accurate prese	ntation for

	Fringe Ber	nefit Rates (as of	7/1/2022)	
Campus	Fulltime	Temp/Hourly	Graduate	Student
AES	31.60%	7.90%	4.20%	0.70%
CES	31.60%	7.90%	4.20%	0.70%

Ca	Complete the following section ONLY if the project will be considered for an Ecosystem.								
		%	Daniels, Mike				Total		
Factoria	Grand Prairie	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
(Rice Only)	Mississippi Delta		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
(Rice enily)	White River		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
	Totals	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		

# Title: Arkansas Corn & Grain Sorghum Research Studies Series, an annual report and archival system for all Board-funded research

Lead Investigators: Jason Kelley & Nathan McKinney

Co-Investigators: Vic Ford

Status: Year 1 - New project - Renewal

#### Research Areas: Miscellaneous Project

**Stated Goal:** Prior to publishing the 2020 Arkansas Corn & Grain Sorghum Research Studies Series, there was no mechanism of capturing and broadly distributing the knowledge gained from research projects funded by the Arkansas Corn & Grain Sorghum Board. The Arkansas Corn & Grain Sorghum Research Studies Series is available online and hard copies are distributed to County Extension offices in all corn producing counties. The Series is proposed as an annual publication of research results that provides details beyond the extent communicated in shorter formats and serves as a lasting and citable literature source documenting statistically analyzed results of the research funded by the Board. The Arkansas Corn & Grain Sorghum Research Studies Series was initiated in 2020 and is published online at https://arkansas-ag-news.uark.edu/research-series.aspx

#### **Specific Objectives:**

- 1. Publish all annual research reports and project final reports in an edited publication that will be posted online allowing access by all Arkansas farmers, County Extension Agents, consultants, industry representatives, and researchers on an annual basis.
- 2. Print a limited number of hard copies for distribution to the Arkansas Corn & Grain Sorghum Board, County Extension offices, Agricultural Experiment Stations and Research & Extension Centers in Arkansas.

#### **Methods and Milestones:**

- The Arkansas Agricultural Experiment Station has publication guidelines for literature classified as Research Series. The Arkansas Rice Checkoff Program, Soybean Checkoff Program, and Fertilizer Tonnage Fees all require researchers to published their annual research results in Research Series publications named the B.R. Wells Arkansas Rice Research Studies, Arkansas Soybean Research Studies, and Wayne E. Sabbe Arkansas Soil Fertility Studies, respectively. These documents are prepared by researchers, reviewed and edited by a technical editor, and formatted for online publication by the Division of Agriculture Communications Services (https://arkansas-ag-news.uark.edu/research-series.aspx).
- 2. The process for publication begins with a call for Annual Progress or End-of-Project Reports issued to all lead investigators along with instructions for preparing Research Series reports. Each funded project would be required to submit at least one report that typically consists of 4 to 6 double-spaced pages with tables and figures showing statistically analyzed research results. The final section of these Research Series summarizes the results in practical language.
- 3. A tentative timeline for the reports is:
  - a. Call for Reports in mid-January
  - b. Report submission date of March 1

- c. Online publication available by mid-July of each year
- 4. Dr. Jason Kelley, Dr. Nathan McKinney and Dr. Vic Ford will serve as technical editors for reports with assistance sought from other investigators as needed. The reports will be submitted online using the system recently established for the B.R. Wells Arkansas Rice Research Studies (https://aaesbusinessoffice.uark.edu/commodity-board-grants/rice/).
- 5. The publication is intended to be accessed online but a limited number of hard copies will be printed and distributed to all County Extension offices in corn producing counties, all research stations, and Research and Extension Centers, and members of the Arkansas Corn & Grain Sorghum Board. Additional copies may be printed upon request from the Board.

**Statement of Projected Value:** The data and conclusions arising from each project will be readily available for all interested parties. The Arkansas Corn & Grain Sorghum Research Studies Series will be organized by subject/year, edited for uniform format and style, thus making the reports easy to find and to read. The intended audience will include:

- Farmers and their advisors. They may access the Research Series in a timely manner, to aid in decision making and planning.
- Current investigators. They may use the Research Series to inform their programs and to plan 'next steps'.
- Future researchers. The archival value can provide background of prior funded research to help future researchers avoid 'reinventing the wheel' and provide insight for developing next-generation research ideas.

The Research Series also will serve as a vehicle for publishing applied research that is of high interest to Arkansas farmers, but not always publishable in refereed journals with more rigorous requirements.

**Budget Justifications/Explanation of Travel and Direct Costs:** \$4,326 annually. A format and style editor is required to make the publication uniform and readable to a broad audience. The budget is requested to pay a style editor on an hourly basis and to print a limited number of hard copies.

## **Promotion Board Budget**

Year    2024/2025    Project Year    New    Version: 6.0 (11/01/20      Lead Investigator    Kelley, Jason    Co-PI #1    McKinney, Nathan    Version: 6.0 (11/01/20      Co-PI #2    Co-PI #3    Co-PI #3    Version: 6.0 (11/01/20      Department    CSES Crop, Soil, Environmental Science    Version: 6.0 (11/01/20      Commodity Board    Co-PI #3    Version: 6.0 (11/01/20      Project Title    Arkansas Corn and Grain Sorghum Board    Version: 6.0 (11/01/20      Project Title    Arkansas Corn and Grain Sorghum Research Studies Series    Version: 6.0 (11/01/20      Budgets are requested in separate columns if separate Worktags for AES and CES will be needed.    Version: 6.0 (11/01/20      Select "AES" or "CES" for each PI    McKinney, Nathan    Total Board Funding Requested    AES Portion      CES Protein    Eulltime Personnel    Version: 6.0 (11/01/20    CES Portion    CES Portion
Lead Investigator    Kelley, Jason    Co-PI #1    McKinney, Nathan      Co-PI #2    Co-PI #3      Department    CSES Crop, Soil, Environmental Science      Commodity Board    Corn and Grain Sorghum Board      Project Title    Arkansas Corn and Grain Sorghum Research Studies Series      Budgets are requested in separate columns if separate Worktags for AES and CES will be needed.      Select "AES" or "CES" for each PI      CES    AES      McKinney,    Total Board      Funding    Requested      AES Portion    CES Portio
Co-PI #2    Co-PI #3      Department    CSES Crop, Soil, Environmental Science      Commodity Board    Corn and Grain Sorghum Board    Commodity Second Corn and Grain Sorghum Beserch Studies Series      Budgets are requested in separate columns if separate Worktags for AES and CES will be needed.    Commodity Beard      Select "AES" or "CES" for each PI    CES    AES    Total Board Funding Requested    Total Board Funding Requested    AES Portion    CES Portion
Department    CSES Crop, Soil, Environmental Science      Commodity Board    Corn and Grain Sorghum Board    Corn and Grain Sorghum Board    Corn and Grain Sorghum Research Studies Series      Budgets are requested in separate columns if separate Worktags for AES and CES will be needed.    Ces vill be needed.    Ces vill be needed.      Select "AES" or "CES" for each PI    CES    AES    Total Board Funding Requested    AES Portion    CES Portion      Eulltime Personnel
Commodity Board Corn and Grain Sorghum Board      Project Title    Arkansas Corn and Grain Sorghum Research Studies Series      Budgets are requested in separate columns if separate Worktags for AES and CES will be needed.      Budgets are requested in separate columns if separate Worktags for AES and CES will be needed.      Select "AES" or "CES" for each PI    McKinney, Kelley, Jason    McKinney, Nathan    Total Board Funding Requested    Total Board AES Portion    CES Portion
Project Title Arkansas Corn and Grain Sorghum Research Studies Series      Budgets are requested in separate columns if separate Worktags for AES and CES will be needed.      Budget for Personnel      Select "AES" or "CES" for each PI    McKinney, Kelley, Jason    McKinney, Nathan    Total Board Funding Requested    Total Board AES Portion    CES Portion      Eulltime Personnel
Budgets are requested in separate columns if separate Worktags for AES and CES will be needed.      Budget for Personnel      Kelley, Jason    McKinney, Nathan    Total Board Funding Requested    Total Board AES Portion    CES Portion      Eulltime Personnel
Budget for Personnel      McKinney, Kelley, Jason    McKinney, Nathan    Total Board Funding    Total Board Funding    Total Board Funding    Total Board Funding    Total Board Funding    CES Portion    CES Portion      CES    AES    Image: Ces portion    Funding    Requested    AES Portion    CES Portion
McKinney, Kelley, Jason    McKinney, Nathan    Total Board    Funding      Select "AES" or "CES" for each PI    CES    AES    Total Board    Funding      Method    Requested    AES Portion    CES Portion    CES Portion
Kelley, Jason  Nathan  Total Board    Select "AES" or "CES" for each PI  CES  AES  Total Board    Image: Cest of the second sec
Funding  Funding    Funding  Requested    AES  AES
Eulitime Personnel
Fulltime Personnel
Nome
Position Title // fine filled % Time Salaries Total AES
\$0 \$0 \$0
<b>1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 </b>
<b>1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 </b>
Subtotal: Salaries \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Graduate Student
Tuition to be Name % Time Wages Total AES Portion CES Por
budgeted in the (if position is filled)
same ratio as GA
stipend time, e.g., 50 50
full time GA
stipend, full year's
tuition. Tuition So So
Subtatal: Graduate Student \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Wages Total AES Portion CES Por
Hourly-Personnel \$4,325 \$4,325 \$4,325
Hourly-Students \$0 \$0
Subtotal: Hourly \$0 \$4.325 \$0 \$0 \$4.325 \$4.325
Fringe Benefits
Benefits Total AES Portion CES Por
Fringe benefits are      Fulltime Personnel      \$0
calculated when Graduate Students \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
salary and wageHourly Personnel\$0\$342\$0\$342\$342
Hourly-Students \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Subtotal: Fringe Benefits      \$0      \$342      \$0      \$342      \$342
Personnel Total      \$0      \$4,667      \$0      \$4,667      \$4,667
Travel
Instify out-of-state Travel Total AES Portion CES Por
travel in proposal, \$0 \$0
Out-of-State
Travel Total      \$0

## **Promotion Board Budget**

Kelley, Jason

Arkansas Corn and Grain Sorghum Research Studies Series

				Mainte	enance & Oper	ations		
		M&O				Total	AES Portion	CES Portion
	Supplies					\$0	\$0	\$0
	Fertilizer/Chemicals					\$0	\$0	\$0
	Publication					\$0	\$0	\$0
	Statistical Consulting					\$0	\$0	\$0
Other Direct Costs						\$0	\$0	\$0
	SAREC, Fayetteville	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	CTST, Marianna	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Ice	Lonoke County Ext. Center	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
aintenan	NERE, Keiser	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	NERREC, Jonesboro	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Jackson County Ext. Center	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Σ̈́	PTST, Colt	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
uo	RIRE, Stuttgart	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ati	Rosen Center	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
St	SEST, Rohwer	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	SWRE, Hope	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	VGSS, Kibler	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	FRSS, Clarksville	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	LFST, Batesville	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	CSES Greenhouse, Fayetteville	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	M & O Total	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Total for Proposal	\$0	\$4,667	\$0	\$0	\$4,667	\$4,667	\$0

	Fringe Ber	nefit Rates (as of	7/1/2022)	
Campus	Fulltime	Temp/Hourly	Graduate	Student
AES	31.60%	7.90%	4.20%	0.70%
CES	31.60%	7.90%	4.20%	0.70%

Complete the following section ONLY if the project will be considered for an Ecosystem.							
				McKinney,			
		%	Kelley, Jason	Nathan			Total
Ecosystems	Grand Prairie	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
(Rice Only)	Mississippi Delta		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	White River		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Totals	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

Title: Use of gossypol to inhibit reproduction in domestic hogs as a model for feral hog control

Lead Investigators: B. P. Littlejohn

Co-Investigators: C. V. Maxwell, T. Tsai, M. A. Snider

Status: Year 2 of 3

Research Areas: Misc. Projects

**Stated Goal:** To evaluate the use of gossypol to inhibit reproduction in domestic hogs for development of a bait for feral hog control

#### **Specific Objectives:**

- 1. Using domestic hogs as a model for feral hogs, conduct a series of experiments to evaluate the use of feed containing gossypol to inhibit reproductive potential
- 2. Obtain input from 1) state and federal agencies and 2) collaborators in wildlife biology and population management to prepare for potential future phases of the project

Methods: Objective 1. Gossypol from cottonseed has been found to impede reproductive function in various species, including swine. Gossypol consumption has been associated with decreased sperm production and quality in males, suppressed fertility in females, and disruption of pregnancy leading to increased rates of abortion. Our *long-term goal* is to assess the potential for gossypol administration in the form of baiting to reduce hog populations in the state of Arkansas. As the first phase to accomplish this goal, our lab group proposes a series of trials to evaluate the use of gossypol to suppress fertility in sexually mature boars and gilts and to disrupt established pregnancies in sows. These trials will be sequential and conditional on each previous trial. Projects will be repeated and adjusted as necessary prior to starting subsequent trials to improve the effectiveness of methods. Our lab previously evaluated the use of cottonseed containing gossypol to inhibit reproductive function in domestic hogs. Based on findings from the previous studies, methods will be adjusted in the proposed study. Proposed trials will evaluate the use of purified gossypol rather than cottonseed meal. This will 1) minimize nutritional variation in research diets due to varying amounts of cottonseed and 2) minimize cottonseed batch variation, providing a more consistent product that can be used to develop a concentrated commercial product. All diets will be developed and evaluated for palatability. A pilot study will evaluate optimal gossypol concentrations and maximum tolerance. Sexually mature boars (n = 45) will be fed diets containing varying concentrations of gossypol or no gossypol for 30 days. Semen will be collected on a weekly basis during and following the feeding period to determine how quickly and how long gossypol might elicit effects. Libido will be simultaneously evaluated. Pending the need for study repetition and results from the boar trial, adjustments will be made to the experimental design and sexually mature gilts (n = 45) will be fed diets containing gossypol or no gossypol for 30 days (or as appropriately adjusted). Gilts will subsequently be bred to assess reproductive potential. Estrus expression, pregnancy rates, number of cycles to conceive, litter size, offspring survival, and postnatal offspring growth and viability will be assessed. Pending the need for study repetition and the effectiveness of gossypol to inhibit fertility in sexually mature boars and gilts, a third trial will be conducted as the project timeline allows to evaluate the use of gossypol to disrupt gestation. Pregnant females (n = 15) will be fed gossypol or no gossypol for 30 days (or as appropriately adjusted) during early gestation. Abortion rate, litter size, offspring survival, and postnatal offspring growth and viability will be assessed.

<u>Objective 2.</u> Historically, toxicants as methods of feral swine control have not been well accepted by the public and regulatory agencies of the state of Arkansas. In the event gossypol proves to be a viable method to reduce populations of feral hogs, it is essential to have support from state and federal
regulatory agencies. Our lab group will seek constructive input from these entities throughout the research process. It is important to note that *Objective 1*, seeks to establish the *proof-of-concept phase* of this project. In the event, *Objective 1* supports the use of gossypol as a viable method of reproductive control in domestic hogs, future phases will be conducted in the field with established collaborators in wildlife biology and population management. Our lab group has recently established working relationships with these collaborators and with the Arkansas Feral Hog Eradication Task Force, all of whom have agreed to be involved and provide input moving forward. Early input from collaborators in wildlife biology and population management will increase the potential for our methods to translate to field conditions, and early input from the Arkansas Feral Hog Eradication Task Force will help us ensure there is a place for such a product in the state of Arkansas.

**Planned Milestones:** It is anticipated that the proposed project will take up to 3 years to complete. In line with *Objective 1*, a postdoctoral associate has been hired (to be partially funded by this grant). This postdoctoral associate was selected rather than a graduate student due to current availability and needs of the project. Collaborators have met and initiated plans for development of treatment rations and an initial pilot study. A total of 20 boars have been secured as test subjects for the pilot study. Additionally, working relationships for *Objective 2* with state and federal agencies and collaborators in wildlife biology and population management have been established and continue to be maintained. Year 2 will primarily focus on conducting the aforementioned pilot study to determine appropriate concentrations of gossypol related to both animal tolerance and effectiveness. The pilot study will evaluate the influence of gossypol on health, libido, and semen quality. If semen quality is negatively impacted, sampling will continue past the conclusion of the treatment period to determine the duration of treatment effectiveness and long-term impacts on fertility. As stated above, trials conducted in years 2 and 3 will be sequential and conditional on each previous trial. Trials will be repeated and adjusted as necessary prior to starting subsequent trials to improve the effectiveness of methods. Ongoing results will be reported to the Arkansas Corn and Grain Sorghum Board on a regular basis.

**Statement of Projected Value:** Feral swine are an invasive species reported in at least 35 states in the U.S., a range that has continuously expanded over the past decades. Total estimated damages to crops, habitat, and private property are valued at over 1.5 billion dollars per year in the U.S., and total estimated damage and loss of crops in the state of Arkansas is valued at over 20 million dollars per year. There is an estimated feral hog population of 200,000 head in Arkansas, and the state would need to eliminate around 70% of the population (140,000 head) each year to halt population growth. Hunting, trapping, and shooting are common control practices, but are not effective enough to control the population of feral hogs. It is also important to note that currently, Arkansas law only allows poison bait for rodent control. Furthermore, it is imperative that control measures not cause harm to humans, other wildlife, or scavengers. Gossypol is an orally active polyphenolic compound naturally found in cottonseed that has been found to inhibit male reproduction in various species including humans.

**Budget Justifications/Explanation of Travel and Direct Costs:** The budget for year 2 of the proposed 3-year study includes funds to support salary and benefits for a postdoctoral associate to assist in conducting the proposed research. In-state and out-of-state travel will be necessary for *Objective 2* to maintain communication with state and federal agencies and collaborators in wildlife biology and population management. Out-of-state travel will also include travel to professional meetings to disseminate research results. Maintenance and operations costs will include the cost of animals, feed, sampling supplies, laboratory supplies, feed analyses, semen analyses, and laboratory analyses. Pending the need for study replication, the 3-year study is estimated to require up to 250 hogs at an estimated cost of \$220 per animal in feed and maintenance costs.

## University of Arkansas System Division of Agriculture

### **Promotion Board Budget**

Brittni P. Littlejohn		Use of gos	ssypol to inhib	it reproduction	in domestic hog	s as a model fo	r feral hog con	trol	
Year	2024/2025		<b>Project Year</b>	Year 2 of 3				Version: 6.0	(11/01/2023)
Lead Investigator	Brittni P. Littlejohn		Co-PI #1	Charles V. Ma	xwell				
Co-PI #2	Tsung C. Tsai		Co-PI #3	Miriam A. Snic	ler				
Department	Department of Anima	l Science							
Commodity Board	Corn and Grain Sorghi	um Board							
Project Title	Use of gossypol to inh	ibit reproc	luction in dom	estic hogs as a	model for feral	hog control			
	Budgets are re	equested in	n separate col	umns if separa	te Worktags for	AES and CES w	ill be needed.		
			В	udget for Pe	rsonnel				
			Brittni P. Littlejohn	Charles V. Maxwell	Tsung C. Tsai	Miriam A. Snider			
S	elect "AES" or "CES" fo	or each Pl	AES	AES	AES	AES	Total Board Funding		
							Requested	<b>AES</b> Portion	<b>CES</b> Portion
				Fulltime Pers	onnel				
Position Title	Name	% Time		Sal	laries		Total	AES	CES
Destale stored Associa	(If position is filled)	1000/	¢26.000				¢26.000	¢26.000	<u>ćo</u>
Postdoctoral Associa	winnam Snider	100%	\$26,000				\$25,000	\$26,000	\$0 ¢0
							ېل د م	\$U	\$0 ¢0
							\$U \$0	\$U \$0	ېل د م
							<u>رې</u>	ېږ دې	ېږ ده
								ŞU	
	Subtota	I: Salaries	\$26,000	Ş0	Ş0	Ş0	\$26,000	\$26,000	Ş0
				Gr	aduate Student				
Tuition to be	Name (if position is filled)	% Time		w	ages		Total	AES Portion	<b>CES</b> Portion
buagetea in the							\$0	\$0	\$0
same ratio as GA							\$0	\$0	\$0
stipena time, e.g.,							\$0	\$0	\$0
Juli time GA							\$0	\$0	\$0
tuition							\$0	\$0	\$0
cuición.		Tuition					\$0	\$0	\$0
	Subtotal: Graduat	e Student	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
						Hourly		÷-	
				w	ages		Total	<b>AES</b> Portion	<b>CES</b> Portion
	Hourly-	Personnel					\$0	\$0	\$0
	, Hourly	-Students					\$0	\$0	\$0
	Subtot	al· Hourly	ŚŊ	ŚŊ	ŚŊ	ŚŊ	ŚŊ	ŚŊ	ŚŊ
	545101	an nouny	γŲ	γU	June 1990 F	Fringe Benefits	ŶŬ	Ψ	<del>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </del>
				Be	nefits	_	Total	<b>AES Portion</b>	<b>CES</b> Portion
Fringe benefits are	Fulltime	Personnel	\$8,216	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$8,216	\$8,216	\$0
calculated when	Graduate	Students	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
salary and wage	Hourly	Personnel	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
amounts are	Hourly	-Students	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
enterea above.	Subtotal: Fring	e Benefits	\$8,216	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$8,216	\$8,216	\$0
	Personi	nel Total	\$34 216	<del>، ب</del> ۵۷	\$0	<del>کر</del> در	\$34,216	\$34,216	<del>۵</del> ې د (
	1 013011		γ <b>3</b> 7,210	ΟÇ	ŬÇ.	Travel	¥37,210	Ç37,210	ŲŲ
				Tr	avel		Total	<b>AES Portion</b>	<b>CES</b> Portion
Justify out-of-state		In-State	\$400				\$400	\$400	\$0
travel in proposal.	Ou	t-of-State	\$400				\$400	\$400	\$0
	<b>T</b>	ol Total	ćaca	ćo.	ćo.	<u> </u>	<u>.</u>	¢000	ćo
1	Ira	veriotal	5800	50	Ş0	Ş0	\$800	5800	Ş0

### University of Arkansas System Division of Agriculture Promotion Board Budget

Brittni P. Littlejohn

Use of gossypol to inhibit reproduction in domestic hogs as a model for feral hog control

			Maintenance & Operations										
			N	1&0		Total	<b>AES Portion</b>	CES Portion					
	Supplies	\$8,000				\$8,000	\$8,000	\$0					
	Fertilizer/Chemicals					\$0	\$0	\$0					
	Publication					\$0	\$0	\$0					
	Statistical Consulting					\$0	\$0	\$0					
Other Direct Costs	Animal Use and Lab Analyses	\$17,000				\$17,000	\$17,000	\$0					
	SAREC, Fayetteville	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0					
	CTST, Marianna	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0					
Jce	Lonoke County Ext. Center	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0					
Jar	NERE, Keiser	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0					
ter	NERREC, Jonesboro	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0					
ain	Jackson County Ext. Center	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0					
Σ	PTST, Colt	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0					
u	RIRE, Stuttgart	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0					
ati	Rosen Center	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0					
St	SEST, Rohwer	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0					
	SWRE, Hope	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0					
	VGSS, Kibler	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0					
	FRSS, Clarksville	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0					
	LFST, Batesville	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0					
	CSES Greenhouse, Fayetteville	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0					
	M & O Total	\$25,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$25,000	\$25,000	\$0					
	Total for Proposal	\$60,016	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$60,016	\$60,016	\$0					
Dudwat awaya dala	we are hundred and a farmer warman and	A	and so the advecte	he anno na tra Ala a la				adaption for					

Budget errors delay submission of your proposal. Any proposal submitted with errors in the budget cannot be guaranteed accurate presentation for funding. Please check budgets for accuracy.

	Fringe Ber	efit Rates (as of	7/1/2022)	
Campus	Fulltime	Temp/Hourly	Graduate	Studen
AES	31.60%	7.90%	4.20%	0.70%
CES	31.60%	7.90%	4.20%	0.70%

Complete the following section ONLY if the project will be considered for an Ecosystem.							
	Brittni P.	Charles V.		Miriam A.			
%	Littlejohn	Maxwell	Tsung C. Tsai	Snider	Total		
Ecosystems Grand Prairie 0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
(Rice Only) Mississippi Delta	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
White River	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
Totals 0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		

### Arkansas Corn and Grain Sorghum Board – 2024-2025 Proposal

Title: Arkansas Future Ag Leaders Tour

Lead Investigators: Julie Robinson

Status (i.e., New, Year 2 of 3, Year 2 of 2, etc.): Year 3

Research Areas: Misc. Project

### **Stated Goal:**

A five-day professional development opportunity for undergraduate juniors and seniors enrolled in Colleges of Agriculture or are pursuing agriculture related majors across the state of Arkansas. Agriculture and agriculture related professions are the number one employer in the state. This one-week experience will enhance students' leadership and employability skills, provide firsthand networking opportunities with potential employers, and highlight the vast resources, services, and careers available through Arkansas' agriculture industry. Participants will get to interact with farmers, producers, vendors, and many others that support the agricultural industry in Arkansas. The goal of this tour is to better prepare and inform new graduates. The tour helps participants discover jobs across the state, keeping homegrown talent in-state, and local.

### **Specific Objectives:**

- Increase participant's employability in agricultural careers.
- Acquaint participants with the vast resources, market segments, and services available through Arkansas' number one industry.
- Provide participants with a "bird's eye view" of current employment opportunities in the Arkansas agriculture industry.
- Increase student's options and opportunities by networking with future employers.

### Methods:

The third annual Arkansas Future Ag Leaders Tour was hosted in May 2023. Fifteen students from seven universities across the state participated. The tour was successful in connecting students with employers. To continue to provide this same professional development for future agricultural professionals, project coordinators are planning a five-day state-wide tour for undergraduate students who are in their junior and senior year of college. The call for applications will go out to all colleges with agriculture-related academic departments, including Arkansas State University, Arkansas Tech University, Southern Arkansas University, University of Arkansas – Fayetteville, University of Arkansas – Little Rock, University of Arkansas – Monticello, and University of Arkansas – Pine Bluff. The tour will begin at the Arkansas 4-H Center in Little Rock, on Monday, May 13, 2024. Participants will engage in leadership and team building activities to get to know each other and the coordinators. Participants will also participate in professional development activities related to networking, key tips for snagging the job of their dreams, and career advancement strategies. Each day, participants will travel across the state to pre-arranged tour sites to visit facilities and network with professionals. This will allow students to experience first-hand the diversity of opportunities within Arkansas'

agriculture industry. Growers, producers, processors, manufacturers, educators, and research facilities will host students across Arkansas.

### **Planned Milestones:**

- 1. Formalization of a detailed project management and evaluation plan: February
- 2. Creation and dissemination of marketing resources: February.
- 3. Applications open: March
- Coordination of the tour, scheduled for May 13 17, including arrangement and confirmation of tour stops including North East Arkansas, North West Arkansas, South East Arkansas, Southwest Arkansas, and Central Arkansas.
- 5. Communication with participants leading up to the tour: April
- 6. Conduct the Arkansas Future Ag Leaders Tour: May 13 17
- 7. Collaboration with news media and community partners to leverage resources. Management and utilization of program evaluation data to improve participation experience and outcomes.

### **Statement of Projected Value:**

The Arkansas Future Ag Leaders Tour helps create a more prepared and informed workforce that better understands the needs and dynamics of the farmers and producers that they will serve in their agricultural related careers across the state. This professional development program addresses some job readiness skills that have been identified as deficient by employers. Other states, such as Georgia and South Carolina, offer similar opportunities for undergraduates for a significant cost. By providing this opportunity for free, many students who would not be able to otherwise afford to pay their way can participate, in addition to missing a week of work in order participate. The greatest value to the corn and grain sorghum industry is that this provides an opportunity to inform and educate future agriculture industry professionals and leaders about challenges facing farmers and producers. In addition, touring across the state makes students aware of what jobs are available in the state and in local communities all across Arkansas.

### **Budget Justifications/Explanation of Travel and Direct Costs:**

Coordination will be provided by the University of Arkansas System Division of Agriculture project team, led by Community, Professional, and Economic Development faculty and staff. Monetary support for this project totals in the amount of \$20,000. Program coordinators are requesting \$5,000 from the Corn and Grain Sorghum Board and seeking additional contributions from other commodity and industry groups to fund the total. The greatest expenses for this program are lodging, meals, and bus rental. Funds will support meals for participants and guest speakers, vehicle usage and mileage, lodging, and materials and supplies. Program coordinators will utilize a coach bus to transport participants across the state. While several meals are usually donated by some of the companies visited, not all meals are covered and there are still mileage and fuel expenses, lodging, and supplies that need to be budgeted.

### University of Arkansas System Division of Agriculture Promotion Board Budget

Robinson, Julie		Arkansas	Future Ag Lead	ders Tour					
Year	2024/2025		Project Year	Year 3 of 3				Version: 6.0	(11/01/2023)
Lead Investigator	Robinson, Julie		Co-PI #1						
Co-PI #2			Co-PI #3						
Department	CES Community, Profe	essional, &	Economical De	evelopment					
Commodity Board	Corn and Grain Sorghu	um Board							
Project Title	Arkansas Future Ag Le	aders Tou	r						
	Budgets are re	quested in	separate colu	imns if separa	te Worktags for	AES and CES w	ill be needed.		
			В	udget for Pe	rsonnel				
			Robinson, Julie						
S	elect "AES" or "CES" fo	or each Pl	CES				Total Board Funding		
							Requested	<b>AES Portion</b>	<b>CES</b> Portion
				Fulltime Pers	onnel				
Position Title	Name (if position is filled)	% Time		Sal	laries		Total	AES	CES
							\$0	\$0	\$0
							\$0	\$0	\$0
							\$0	\$0	\$0
							\$0	\$0	\$0
							\$0	\$0	\$0
	Subtota	I: Salaries	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
				Gr	aduate Student				
Tuition to be	Name	% Time		w	ages		Total	<b>AES</b> Portion	<b>CES</b> Portion
budgeted in the	(If position is filled)						¢0	¢0	¢0
same ratio as GA							ېن د کې	ې ډې	<u>ې کې</u> ډ۵
stipend time, e.g.,							\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
full time GA							\$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
stipend, full year's							\$0	\$0	\$0
tuition.		Tuition					\$0	\$0	\$0
	Subtotal: Graduat	e Student	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
				÷÷	Ψ.	Hourly		Ψ	
				W	ages		Total	<b>AES Portion</b>	<b>CES</b> Portion
	Hourly-	Personnel					\$0	\$0	\$0
	Hourly	-Students					\$0	\$0	\$0
	Subtot	al: Hourly	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
		-			F	ringe Benefits			
				Bei	nefits		Total	<b>AES Portion</b>	<b>CES</b> Portion
Fringe benefits are	Fulltime	Personnel	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
calculatea when	Graduate	e Students	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
amounts are	Hourly	Personnel	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
entered above.	Hourly	-Students	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Subtotal: Fring	e Benefits	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Personi	nel Total	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
						Travel			
Justify out-of-state			4	Tr	avel		Total	AES Portion	CES Portion
travel in proposal.	~	In-State	\$5,000				\$5,000	\$0 ¢0	\$5,000
	Ou	it-of-State					Ş0	ŞO	Ş0
	Trav	vel Total	\$5,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$5,000	\$0	\$5,000

### University of Arkansas System Division of Agriculture Promotion Board Budget

			Maintenance & Operations								
			N	1&0		Total	<b>AES Portion</b>	<b>CES</b> Portion			
	Supplies					\$0	\$0	\$0			
	Fertilizer/Chemicals					\$0	\$0	\$0			
	Publication					\$0	\$0	\$0			
	Statistical Consulting					\$0	\$0	\$0			
Other Direct Costs						\$0	\$0	\$0			
	SAREC, Fayetteville	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
	CTST, Marianna	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
ICe	Lonoke County Ext. Center	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
าลท	NERE, Keiser	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
ter	NERREC, Jonesboro	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
ain	Jackson County Ext. Center	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
Σ̈́	PTST, Colt	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
N	RIRE, Stuttgart	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
ati	Rosen Center	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
St	SEST, Rohwer	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
	SWRE, Hope	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
	VGSS, Kibler	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
	FRSS, Clarksville	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
	LFST, Batesville	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
	CSES Greenhouse, Fayetteville	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
	M & O Total	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
	Total for Proposal	\$5,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$5,000	\$0	\$5,000			

Robinson, Julie Arkansas Future Ag Leaders Tour

Budget errors delay submission of your proposal. Any proposal submitted with errors in the budget cannot be guaranteed accurate presentation for funding. Please check budgets for accuracy.

	Fringe Ber	nefit Rates (as of	7/1/2022)	
Campus	Fulltime	Temp/Hourly	Graduate	Student
AES	31.60%	7.90%	4.20%	0.70%
CES	31.60%	7.90%	4.20%	0.70%

С	omplete the following	section ON	ILY if the proje	e <mark>ct will be con</mark> s	sidered for an Ec	osystem.	
			Robinson,				
		%	Julie				Total
Ecosystems	Grand Prairie	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
(Rice Only)	Mississippi Delta		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	White River		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Totals	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

### Arkansas Corn and Grain Sorghum Board – 2024-2025 Proposal

**Title:** Performance of Crop Insurance as a Risk Management Tool for Corn and Grain Sorghum Producers in Arkansas

Lead Investigators: Lawson Connor

### **Co-Investigators:**

Status (i.e., New, Year 2 of 3, Year 2 of 2, etc.): Year 3 of 3

# Research Areas (Verification Program, General Agronomics, Diseases, Insects, Fertility, Irrigation, Weed Control, Misc. Projects): Misc. Projects

**Stated Goal:** Determining how use of crop insurance and changes to crop insurance rating methods can affect affordability crop insurance premium rates for corn producers in the state of Arkansas.

#### **Previous Objectives and Findings**

- 1. Determine the contribution of prevented planting to total crop insurance premium rates in Delta states.
- 2. Compare size of crop insurance rates net of Prevented planting with net rates in similar counties in other states.
- 3. Determine use, costs, and returns to Prevented Planting statewide, and differential returns and effects on premiums across the state.
- 4. Continue methods from round one to identify other potential contributors to rate discrepancies.

#### Findings:

Our work to date has revealed that 66% of all crop insurance indemnities for corn in the state are due to prevented planting. We also find that loss ratios (the ratio of payments made by crop insurance compared to premium paid into the program) is 1.5, meaning the program pays \$1.50 in indemnities for every \$1 paid in premiums. By this metric alone, the rates of the program appear to be appropriately priced, if not underpriced. The loss ratio falls to 0.53 when prevented planting claims are removed. Meaning that crop insurance pays \$0.53 in indemnities for every \$1 paid into the program in premiums.

More importantly, our work finds that prevented planting is higher on our lower/lowest quality soils and growing areas. While we are still not certain how prevented planting claims factor into the RMA rating system, it is certain that prevented planting claims are treated differently in the rating compared to harvest claims where harvest claims are aggregated at the county level while prevented planting claims are aggregated across all fields growing a crop (corn in this case) at the state level. So, it's possible that high prevented planting claims on our least productive acres are affecting crop insurance rates on our most productive lands.

Furthermore, suspect that our more productive lands are less likely to be insured than other lands. This would imply that the RMA record of the loss history for the state may over represent the areas with higher risk of claims which can also increase premium rates for land with a lower risk of claims as well. However, this is the subject of future exploration.

### **Specific Objectives:**

1. Determine patterns of crop insurance demand in the state.

- 2. Determine how insurance demand patterns affect rating at the county/state levels.
- 3. Determine whether sub-state level aggregations are being used by the RMA for prevented planting and determine how such aggregations may change rates on more productive lands.

### Methods:

- 1. Investigate RMA documentation to determine RMA Weather Districts boundaries within the state.<sup>1</sup>
- 2. Investigate how weather district boundaries are determined.
- 3. Aggregate previously collected RMA summary of business data and crop insurance actuarial data master (ADM) data, to the weather district level.
- 4. Using a means analysis approach, determine relative liabilities, indemnities, premiums, and loss ratios within each weather district in the state.
- 5. Using a crop insurance demand model, determine factors that affect crop insurance demand in the state.
- 6. Determine whether prevented planting losses are aggregated at the state vs weather district level, using RMA documentation and interactions with RMA actuarial scientists.
- 7. Perform simulation analysis to find how crop insurance rates may change across the state with different ways of aggregating prevented planting losses.

### **Planned Milestones:**

- 1. Publish findings of each year's study
- 2. Produce final report on factors affecting crop insurance rates in the state of Arkansas and potential improvements addressing rating and use factors.

### **Statement of Projected Value:**

Farming, like most businesses, involves risks. However weather and environmental risks play a significantly greater role in farming than many other production areas today from year to year. The use of insurance to cover these risks is a useful way to stabilize farm incomes from year to year which enhances the abilities to plan, increases lending opportunities and frees up capital for other types of investments. However, crop insurance is only valuable if it can provide those benefits without itself being a significant cost burden which precludes its general use and affects the accuracy of its rates for the typical producer in the state. This study aims to provide information to our grain sorghum and corn producers in Arkansas as well as the RMA that can help improve the performance and value of the crop insurance program for the RMA and our producers in the state.

### **Budget Justifications/Explanation of Travel and Direct Costs:**

Funds outlined in the budget will be used for costs associated with a graduate assistant who will help to gather and analyze data and write reports.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>As a result of concerns raised from research on crop insurance by economists, and the RMA's own analysis of its rating methods, the RMA has introduced the Weather District approach to aggregating catastrophic losses. Before the introduction of Weather Districts, all catastrophic losses were grouped at the state level and spread across all insured acres in the state for a given crop. However, this meant that areas prone to e.g. flood or high wind risks, were contributing to premium rate calculations in growing areas that do not face similar risks, because they were in the same state. As a result, Weather Districts, where catastrophic losses are aggregated and spread only across areas determined to have similar weather risk patterns, were introduced to minimize this problem.

## University of Arkansas System Division of Agriculture

# Promotion Board Budget

Lawson Connor		Performar	nce of Crop Ins	urance as a Ris	sk Management	Tool for Corn a	nd Grain Sorgh	um	
Year	2024/2025		Project Year	Year 3 of 3				Version: 6.0	(11/01/2023)
Lead Investigator	Lawson Connor		Co-PI #1						
Co-PI #2			Co-PI #3						
Department	AEAB Agricultural Eco	nomics & A	gribusiness						
Commodity Board	Corn and Grain Sorghu	um Board							
Project Title	Performance of Crop I	nsurance a	is a Risk Mana	gement Tool f	or Corn and Grai	n Sorghum			
	Budgets are re	quested in	separate colu	mns if separa	te Worktags for	AES and CES w	ill be needed.		
			В	udget for Pe	rsonnel				
			Lawson						
S	elect "AES" or "CES" fo	or each PI					Total Board		
			ALJ				Funding Requested	AES Portion	CES Portion
		Į		Fulltime Pers	onnel				
Position Title	Name	% Time		Sa	aries		Total	AES	CES
	(If position is filled)						¢0	¢0	¢0
							0¢ ¢0	ېل د م	ېل د م
				<u></u>			ې ډې د	<del>رې</del> د ک	<u>نې</u> ۱۵
							<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u> </u>
							\$0	\$0	\$0
	Subtoto	lı Calariac	¢0	¢0	¢0	¢0	÷ •	¢0	¢0
	Subtota	i. Salaries	Şυ	,⊃0 Gr	Uç aduate Student	Şυ	ŞΟ	ŞU	ŞU
	Name			61	audale Student				
Tuition to be	(if position is filled)	% Time		W	ages		Total	AES Portion	CES Portion
same ratio as GA		50%	\$11,936				\$11,936	\$11,936	\$0
stinend time e a							\$0	\$0	\$0
full time GA							\$0	\$0	\$0
stipend. full vear's							\$0	\$0	\$0
tuition.			<b>.</b>				\$0	\$0 \$1	\$0
		Tuition	\$17,550				\$17,550	\$17,550	Ş0
	Subtotal: Graduat	e Student	\$29,486	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$29,486	\$29 <i>,</i> 486	\$0
						Hourly			
				w	ages		Total	AES Portion	CES Portion
	Hourly-	Personnel					\$0 \$0	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
	Houriy	-students					ŞU	ŞU	<u>ې</u>
	Subtot	al: Hourly	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
				D.	F	ringe Benefits			050 0
Frinae benefits are	e 11.1			Bei	nefits	<u> </u>	Total	AES Portion	CES Portion
calculated when	Fulltime	Personnei	<u>ېل</u> ۵	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>ېل</u>	<u>ېل</u> ۵	<u>ېل</u> ۶0	<u>ېل</u>
salary and wage	Hourly	Personnel	10CÇ 02	<u> </u>	\$0 \$0	ېن د کې	10CÇ 02	10CÇ 02	<u>ې پې</u>
amounts are	Hourly	-Students	ېږ دې	<u> </u>	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	50 \$0	\$0 \$0
entered above.	Cubtotal: Esta	- Don-ft-	¢504			ç.	÷504	¢5.04	
	Subtotal: Fring	e Benefits	\$501	\$0 ¢2	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$501	\$501	\$0 \$0
	Personi	nel lotal	\$29,987	Ş0	Ş0	Ş0 Travel	\$29,987	\$29,987	\$0
		ľ		Tr	avel	110401	Total	AES Portion	CES Portion
Justify out-of-state		In-State					\$0	\$0	\$0
travel in proposal.	Ou	it-of-State					\$0	\$0	\$0
	Tro		ćo	ćo	ćo	ćo	ćo	ćn	ćn
<u> </u>	Ira	verifuldi	ŞU	ŞU	ŞU	٦Ç	ŞU	ŞŪ	ŞŪ

### University of Arkansas System Division of Agriculture Promotion Board Budget

Lawson Connor

Performance of Crop Insurance as a Risk Management Tool for Corn and Grain Sorghum

				Mainte	enance & Oper	ations		
			N	1&0		Total	AES Portion	CES Portion
	Supplies					\$0	\$0	\$0
	Fertilizer/Chemicals					\$0	\$0	\$0
	Publication					\$0	\$0	\$0
	Statistical Consulting					\$0	\$0	\$0
Other Direct Costs						\$0	\$0	\$0
	SAREC, Fayetteville	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	CTST, Marianna	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Ice	Lonoke County Ext. Center	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Jan	NERE, Keiser	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ter	NERREC, Jonesboro	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ain	Jackson County Ext. Center	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Σ	PTST, Colt	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
u no	RIRE, Stuttgart	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ati	Rosen Center	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
st	SEST, Rohwer	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	SWRE, Hope	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	VGSS, Kibler	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	FRSS, Clarksville	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	LFST, Batesville	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	CSES Greenhouse, Fayetteville	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	M & O Total	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Total for Proposal	\$29,987	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$29,987	\$29,987	\$0
Deduct successful	and the stand of the second	A	and south the south south	be a second to the state of the	and a set of a set of the	and the second second second		and a star of Card

Budget errors delay submission of your proposal. Any proposal submitted with errors in the budget cannot be guaranteed accurate presentation for funding. Please check budgets for accuracy.

	Fringe Ber	efit Rates (as of	7/1/2022)	
Campus	Fulltime	Temp/Hourly	Graduate	Studen
AES	31.60%	7.90%	4.20%	0.70%
CES	31.60%	7.90%	4.20%	0.70%

C	omplete the following	section Ol	NLY if the proje	e <mark>ct will be con</mark> s	sidered for an Ec	osystem.	
		%	Connor				Total
Factoria	Grand Prairie	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
(Rice Only)	Mississippi Delta		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
(Rice only)	White River		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Totals	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

### Arkansas Corn and Grain Sorghum Promotion Board – 2024-2025 Proposal

Title:	Corn and Grain Sorghum Research Verification Program
Investigators:	Dr. Jason Kelley, Wheat and Feed Grains Extension Agronomist Mr. Chuck Capps, Program Associate
Cooperators:	Dr. Travis Faske, Extension Plant Pathologist Dr. Terry Spurlock, Extension Plant Pathologist Dr. Trent Roberts, Soil Fertility Dr. Tom Barber, Extension Weed Scientist Dr. Glenn Studebaker, Extension Entomologist Breana Watkins, Extension Economist Program Associate Dr. Chris Henry, Irrigation Specialist
Crop:	Corn and Grain Sorghum
Status:	Year 1 of 3
<b>Research Area:</b>	Verification

### **Stated Goal:**

Conduct an on-farm educational demonstration program that serves as a hands-on program to help producers, county agents, and crop consultants learn proper agronomic, pest management, and production practices that produce high-yielding and economical corn and grain sorghum grown under Arkansas conditions.

### **Specific Objectives:**

(1) Verify research-based recommendations for profitable corn and grain sorghum production in Arkansas

(2) Develop a database for economic analysis of all aspects of corn and grain sorghum production

(3) Demonstrate that consistently high yields of corn and grain sorghum can be produced economically with the use of available technology and inputs

(4) Identify specific problems and opportunities in Arkansas corn and grain sorghum production that may require further investigation

(5) Provide hands-on training for county extension agents, consultants, and producers through weekly field visits by coordinator

### Methods:

Producers enroll (or re-enroll) a field in the Corn and Grain Sorghum Research Verification Program. These producers will receive advice on all aspects of corn and grain sorghum production. The Wheat and Feed Grains Extension Agronomist and verification program coordinator will work with an Extension/Research Advisory Committee to make plans for each field. Weather data, plant populations, growth stages, and management practices will be evaluated weekly with county extension agents' assistance to help make management decisions. In addition, cooperating producers may be asked to dedicate a portion of the field for on-farm investigations of ongoing funded corn and grain sorghum promotion board projects such as evaluating plant populations, fertility recommendations, seed treatments, pest management, irrigation type/frequency/decision making, and other production issues that may arise during the growing season that needs more research.

At the end of the growing season, results will be compiled into a report that includes economic analysis, production practices implemented, and performance of each field in the program.

### **Statement of Projected Value:**

Many Arkansas corn and grain sorghum producers can improve yields and profit by implementing research-based practices in a timely manner. Likewise, extension recommendations are designed to improve yields and profits based on unbiased research results. The research verification program integrates all available information and technology into a comprehensive demonstration of profitable corn and grain sorghum production in Arkansas.

Additionally, the verification program provides advanced training for county extension agents and cooperating producers. The information gained by county extension agents can then be applied to corn and grain sorghum producers statewide. Cooperators participate in the verification program for two successive years to obtain multi-year data and increase the county agents and cooperators' confidence in the program.

**Planned Milestones:** Each year an annual report, which will include inputs, yields, and economic analysis will be prepared and published in the Arkansas Corn and Grain Sorghum Research Reports. Results from the program will also be presented at county production meetings, in newsletters, blog postings, and other avenues of information dissemination.

**Budget Justification of Direct Costs and Out of State Travel:** \$3,750 for upgrading aging weather stations, irrigation water flow meter, and soil moisture sensors and telemetry units. The \$1,000 out-of-state travel requested would cover the costs of attending a professional meeting, such as the National Conservation Tillage Conference or the American Society of Agronomy meetings. Attending a professional meeting would serve as an opportunity to present results from the verification program to a larger audience and gain new ideas for the program.

## University of Arkansas System Division of Agriculture

### **Promotion Board Budget**

Kelley, Jason		Arkansas	Corn and Grai	n Sorghum Res	earch Verificatio	n Program			
Year	2024/2025		Project Year	New				Version: 6.0	(11/01/2023)
Lead Investigator	Kelley, Jason		Co-Pl #1						
Co-PI #2			Co-PI #3						
Department	CSES Crop, Soil, Enviro	onmental S	cience						
Commodity Board	Corn and Grain Sorgh	um Board							
Project Title	Arkansas Corn and Gr	ain Sorghu	m Research Ve	erification Prog	gram				
	Budgets are re	quested in	i separate colu	umns if separa	te Worktags for	AES and CES w	ill be needed.		
			В	udget for Pe	rsonnel				
			Kallow Jacon						
	Select "AES" or "CES" fo	or each Pl	CES				Total Board		
			CES				Funding	AFS Portion	CES Portion
				Eulltime Dere	onnol		Requested	ALSFORTION	CL3 FOI tION
	Name			Funtime Pers	onnei				
Position Title	(if position is filled)	% Time		Sa	laries		Total	AES	CES
Associate	Chuck Capps	100%	\$76,000				\$76,000	\$0	\$76,000
							\$0	\$0	\$0
							\$0	\$0	\$0
							\$0	\$0	\$0
							\$0	\$0	\$0
	Subtota	I: Salaries	\$76,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$76,000	\$0	\$76,000
				Gr	aduate Student				
Tuition to be	Name (if position is filled)	% Time		w	ages		Total	AES Portion	<b>CES</b> Portion
buagetea in the							\$0	\$0	\$0
stinend time e a							\$0	\$0	\$0
full time GA							\$0	\$0	\$0
stipend, full year's							\$0	\$0	\$0
tuition.							\$0	\$0	\$0
		Tuition					Ş0	<u></u> \$0	\$0
	Subtotal: Graduat	e Student	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
						Hourly			
				w	ages		Total	AES Portion	CES Portion
	Hourly-	Personnel					<u>\$0</u>	\$0	\$0
	Hourly	-Students					Ş0	Ş0	Ş0
	Subtot	al: Hourly	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
					F	ringe Benefits			
Fringe henefits are				Be	nefits		Total	AES Portion	CES Portion
calculated when	Fulltime	Personnel	\$24,016	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$24,016	\$0	\$24,016
salary and waae	Graduate	e Students	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
amounts are	Houriy	Personnel	\$0 \$0	\$U	\$U	\$U	\$U	\$U	<u> </u>
entered above.	Houriy	-students	Ş0	\$0	Ş0	<u>۶</u> 0	Ş0	ŞU	Ş0
	Subtotal: Fring	e Benefits	\$24,016	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$24,016	\$0	\$24,016
	Person	nel Total	\$100,016	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$100,016	\$0	\$100,016
				-		Travel	<b>-</b>		
Justify out-of-state			624.224		avei		l otal	ALS Portion	CES Portion
travel in proposal.	01	93632-m	\$21,234				۶21,234 ¢1 000	<u>ې</u> د ا	ې21,234 ¢1 ۵۵۵
	UL UL		\$1,000				Ş1,000	٦¢	Ş1,000
	Tra	vel Total	\$22,234	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$22,234	\$0	\$22,234

### University of Arkansas System Division of Agriculture Promotion Board Budget

Kelley, Jason

Arkansas Corn and Grain Sorghum Research Verification Program

		Maintenance & Operations						
			IV.	1&0		Total	AES Portion	CES Portion
	Supplies	\$3,800				\$3,800	\$0	\$3,800
	Fertilizer/Chemicals					\$0	\$0	\$0
	Publication	\$200				\$200	\$0	\$200
	Statistical Consulting					\$0	\$0	\$0
Other Direct Costs	weather stations/telemetry	\$3,750				\$3,750	\$0	\$3,750
	SAREC, Fayetteville	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	CTST, Marianna	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
JCe	Lonoke County Ext. Center	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Jar	NERE, Keiser	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
tei	NERREC, Jonesboro	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ain	Jackson County Ext. Center	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Σ	PTST, Colt	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ы	RIRE, Stuttgart	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ati	Rosen Center	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
St	SEST, Rohwer	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	SWRE, Hope	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	VGSS, Kibler	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	FRSS, Clarksville	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	LFST, Batesville	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	CSES Greenhouse, Fayetteville	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	M & O Total	\$7,750	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$7,750	\$0	\$7,750
	Total for Proposal	\$130,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$130,000	\$0	\$130,000
Developed a second second second		A	and the second sec	be a superior the till of the	and some the second second of the	and the second sec	and a second	and and the set for a

Budget errors delay submission of your proposal. Any proposal submitted with errors in the budget cannot be guaranteed accurate presentation for funding. Please check budgets for accuracy.

Fringe Benefit Rates (as of 7/1/2022)									
Campus	Fulltime	Temp/Hourly	Graduate	Student					
AES	31.60%	7.90%	4.20%	0.70%					
CES	31.60%	7.90%	4.20%	0.70%					

Complete the following section ONLY if the project will be considered for an Ecosystem.								
		%	Kelley, Jason				Total	
Feeevoterre	Grand Prairie	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
(Rice Only)	Mississippi Delta		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
(Rice only)	White River		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	Totals	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	

### Arkansas Corn and Grain Sorghum Board – 2024-2025 Proposal

**Title:** Evaluation of new herbicides, premixes, programs, and application methods for improved control of problematic weeds in corn

 Investigators: Dr. Tom Barber, Extension Weed Scientist, Lonoke;
 Co-Instigator: Dr. Jason Norsworthy, Crop, Soil, and Environmental Sciences Dept., Fayetteville
 Status: Year 2 of 3

Status: Year 2 of 3

Research Area: Weed Control

**Stated Goal:** To optimize season-long corn weed control through evaluation of various herbicide, technology, and cultural practice combinations.

### **Specific Objectives:**

- 1. Continue to evaluate the weed control spectrum of new herbicide uses in corn such as how they might fit into a complete program.
- **2.** Evaluate the effectiveness of weed control programs with proposed EPA guidelines on atrazine.
  - a. Corn response and evaluation of weed control with various atrazine rates and mixtures at spiking
  - b. Corn response to metribuzin and amicarbazone rates and mixtures PRE on various soil types
- **3.** Determine off-target effects from drift rates of paraquat plus soybean and cotton residuals at various corn growth stages.
- **4.** Determine off-target effects with Reviton and Sharpen spring burndown applications on corn.
- 5. Evaluate herbicide options for control of Texas panicum.
- **6.** Evaluate the utility of the See & Spray Ultimate and See & Spray Premium in corn.
- 7. Determine the effectiveness of hairy vetch as an early season living mulch for improved weed control in corn.
- **8.** To rapidly transfer weed control information to growers through multiple methods such as MP44 and other extension publications, blog posts, Weeds AR Wild podcasts, videos, text messages, and others.

**Methods:** Field experiments will be established at Fayetteville (silt loam), Keiser (clay), Marianna (silt loam), Newport, and on-farm to evaluate weed control efficacy as well as

corn cultivar tolerance to potential new herbicides such as Trivolt, Reviton, Maverick, and SC617. In addition, comparisons of new premixes of old herbicides will be evaluated for consistency in hybrid tolerance and weed control. Barnyardgrass has been found to be resistant to glyphosate in Mississippi and Tennessee. Studies will be conducted to evaluate barnyardgrass and other grass control alternatives in preparation for the onset of glyphosate resistance in barnyardgrass populations. Texas panicum has recently become a problem in many Northeast Arkansas counties. On-farm trials will be established to evaluate herbicide programs where this problem weed exists. Recently the EPA has released new potential guidelines for atrazine use in Arkansas which include lowering the annual maximum use rate. In most Arkansas counties, the EPA will require specific management practices to allow atrazine use on the acre. These options should be evaluated for effectiveness. All experiments will be analyzed for statistical significance and will be presented at annual county and state-wide producer meetings. Annual publications Will be developed based on results of proposed research in the Extension Publication MP-44 and MP-519.

### Value to the Corn Industry

Herbicide resistance is continuing to spread through the US. Palmer amaranth (pigweed) populations in Arkansas have been found to be resistant to 6 known herbicide modes of action, most of which have been used in corn production in the past. Populations of barnyardgrass have also been identified as resistant to 5 herbicide modes of action. Some populations of barnyardgrass and junglerice in Mississippi and Tennessee have already been identified as resistant to glyphosate (Roundup). The costs associated with weed control in corn will likely increase in the future due to increased resistance and the limitations placed on atrazine by the EPA. Best management practices (BMP's) for corn weed control must be determined to economically control weeds while minimizing further resistance. New technology, potential herbicide use, and cultural methods should be evaluated to determine these BMP's. Furthermore, this research will provide a highly inclusive dataset to submit as potential justification to continue atrazine registration in the state of Arkansas and if atrazine is denied further registration, these data will provide potential alternatives for weed control in Arkansas corn production systems.

### Justification

New herbicide modes of action are not currently available in corn production systems. Therefore, we must evaluate the potential of new technology, new uses of registered products and cultural methods for corn weed control until new herbicide modes of action are discovered. Herbicide resistance in pigweed and barnyardgrass populations continue to increase because similar herbicide modes of action are used in current cropping systems regardless of crop planted. If glyphosate resistance is discovered in Arkansas barnyardgrass, few options will be available for control in corn production. These options should be evaluated to remain proactive with extension recommendations. New EPA regulations may limit the use of atrazine in certain situations. EPA rates and guidelines for atrazine should be evaluated for value and effectiveness in Arkansas corn production systems.

### University of Arkansas System Division of Agriculture Promotion Board Budget

Evaluation of new herbicides, premixes, programs, and application methods for improved control of problematic Barber, Tom Year 2024/2025 Project Year Year 2 of 3 Version: 6.0 (11/01/2023) Lead Investigator Barber, Tom Co-PI #1 Norsworthy, Jason Co-PI #2 Co-PI #3 Department CSES Crop, Soil, Environmental Science Commodity Board Corn and Grain Sorghum Board Project Title Evaluation of new herbicides, premixes, programs, and application methods for improved control of problematic weeds in corn Budgets are requested in separate columns if separate Worktags for AES and CES will be needed. **Budget for Personnel** Norsworthy, Barber, Tom Jason **Total Board** Select "AES" or "CES" for each PI CES AES Funding Requested **AES** Portion **CES** Portion **Fulltime Personnel** Name **Position Title** Salaries AES CES % Time Total (if position is filled) Leah Collie \$16,000 \$0 \$16,000 Program Associate \$16,000 \$7,000 \$7,000 **\$**0 \$7.000 **Program Associate** Zach Hill Program Associate Rodrigo Botelho \$18,000 \$18,000 \$18,000 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 **\$**0 Subtotal: Salaries \$23.000 \$18,000 \$0 **\$**0 \$41.000 \$18,000 \$23,000 **Graduate Student** Name Tuition to be % Time Wages **AES Portion CES Portion** Total (if position is filled) budgeted in the \$0 **\$**0 **\$**0 same ratio as GA \$0 stipend time, e.g., **\$**0 \$0 full time GA \$0 \$0 \$0 stipend, full year's \$0 \$0 \$0 tuition. Tuition \$0 **\$**0 \$0 Subtotal: Graduate Student \$0 **\$0 \$**0 **\$**0 \$0 **\$**0 **\$**0 Hourly Wages Total **AES Portion CES** Portion Hourly-Personnel \$2,000 \$6,000 \$8,000 \$6,000 \$2,000 Hourly-Students \$0 \$0 Subtotal: Hourly \$2,000 \$6,000 \$0 \$0 \$8,000 \$6,000 \$2,000 **Fringe Benefits** Benefits Total **AES Portion CES Portion** Fringe benefits are **Fulltime Personnel** \$7,268 \$5,688 \$0 \$12.956 \$5,688 \$7,268 calculated when Graduate Students \$0 \$0 salary and wage Hourly Personnel \$158 \$474 \$0 \$0 \$632 \$474 \$158 amounts are \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 Hourly-Students entered above. Subtotal: Fringe Benefits \$0 \$7,426 \$6,162 \$0 \$13,588 \$6,162 \$7,426 **Personnel Total** \$32,426 \$30,162 \$0 \$0 \$62,588 \$30,162 \$32,426 Travel Travel Total **AES Portion CES Portion** Justify out-of-state \$6,000 \$8.000 \$2,000 In-State \$2,000 \$6,000 travel in proposal. Out-of-State \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 **Travel Total** \$2,000 \$6,000 \$8,000 \$6,000 \$2,000

### University of Arkansas System Division of Agriculture Promotion Board Budget

Barber, Tom

Evaluation of new herbicides, premixes, programs, and application methods for improved control of problematic

		Maintenance & Operations						
			IV	1&0		Total	<b>AES Portion</b>	<b>CES</b> Portion
	Supplies					\$0	\$0	\$0
	Fertilizer/Chemicals					\$0	\$0	\$0
	Publication					\$0	\$0	\$0
	Statistical Consulting					\$0	\$0	\$0
Other Direct Costs						\$0	\$0	\$0
	SAREC, Fayetteville	\$0	\$3,075	\$0	\$0	\$3,075	\$3,075	\$0
	CTST, Marianna	\$4,655	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$4,655	\$0	\$4,655
lce	Lonoke County Ext. Center	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Jar	NERE, Keiser	\$0	\$2,825	\$0	\$0	\$2,825	\$2,825	\$0
ter	NERREC, Jonesboro	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ain	Jackson County Ext. Center	\$3,325	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,325	\$0	\$3,325
Σ	PTST, Colt	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
6	RIRE, Stuttgart	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ati	Rosen Center	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
St	SEST, Rohwer	\$2,825	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,825	\$0	\$2,825
	SWRE, Hope	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	VGSS, Kibler	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	FRSS, Clarksville	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	LFST, Batesville	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	CSES Greenhouse, Fayetteville	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	M & O Total	\$10,805	\$5,900	\$0	\$0	\$16,705	\$5,900	\$10,805
	Total for Proposal	\$45,231	\$42,062	\$0	\$0	\$87,293	\$42,062	\$45,231

Budget errors delay submission of your proposal. Any proposal submitted with errors in the budget cannot be guaranteed accurate presentation for funding. Please check budgets for accuracy.

Fringe Benefit Rates (as of 7/1/2022)								
Campus	Fulltime	Temp/Hourly	Graduate	Student				
AES	31.60%	7.90%	4.20%	0.70%				
CES	31.60%	7.90%	4.20%	0.70%				

Complete the following section ONLY if the project will be considered for an Ecosystem.								
				Norsworthy,				
		%	Barber, Tom	Jason			Total	
Ecosystems	Grand Prairie	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
(Rice Only)	Mississippi Delta		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	White River		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	Totals	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	

### Proposal Presentations for Funding Corn and Grain Sorghum Research 2024-2025

February 22, 2024

#### Submitted to the Arkansas Corn and Grain Sorghum Board



Arkansas

#### Exploring Plasma Activated Water for Enhanced Biocontrol of Aflatoxins in Corn Plants

- Investigators: G. Atungulu, M. Rahman & B. Bluhm
- · Status: (New)
- Budget Request: \$63,1950
- Objective:
  - Generate various plasma-activated water types using a recently acquired plasma generator and assess their efficacy against fungal pathogens of corn.
- Evaluate plasma-activated water's ability to suppress pathogenic activity and prevent toxin accumulation when applied to corn plants.
- 3) Analyze traits of harvested corn treated with plasma-activated water versus untreated samples.



### Background

> Traditional biocontrol methods show promise in managing aflatoxin contamination in field plants, but face limitations influenced by environmental factors.

> Integrating biocontrol with innovative approaches could enhance efficacy and sustainability in disease management, impacting grain quality positively.

> This research aims to evaluate the efficacy of plasma activated water on corn plants as a novel biocontrol method for aflatoxins and other plant diseases affecting grain quality.





 Plasma, the fourth state of matter, generates charged particles, altering atmospheric gases to create biologically active plasma activated water.
 This project exploits plasma properties to combat pathogenic fungi and microbes affecting corn.

Arkansas Arkansas Corn & Grain Sorghum Board

# Laboratory assembled Plasma Activated water- Bubble reactor





Dielectric barrier cold plasma discharge into water (direct and multiphase plasma discharge)

#### Approaches

Phase 1: Generate four plasmaactivated water types, testing effectiveness and dosages against a wide range of corn pathogens, with emphasis on aflatoxigenic strains of A. flavus  
 Phase 2: Inoculate healthy corn plants with different pathogens, assessing treatment efficacy
 Phase impli treatment will so phase

 based on dosage and application timing.
 phase

Phase 3: Study treatment implications on corn traits posttreatment. Non-treated samples will serve as controls in each phase.

#### Value

Plasma technology offers scalability and cost-effectiveness. Leveraging existing infrastructure, like irrigation, and potential aerial application via planes or drones, could significantly reduce aflatoxin loads and combat a wide range of corn diseases.

The technology also could potentially be used in sanitation (e.g., trucks, bins) to reduce post-harvest infection with *A. flavus* and other storage fungi.



#### Economic Analysis of Corn and Grain Sorghum Production and Marketing Practices

#### **Brian Deaton**

#### Status: New Budget Request: \$5,777 Objectives:

- Conduct an economic analysis of production practices used in the Arkansas Corn and Grain Sorghum Research Verification Program.
- Verify Extension recommendations. (J. Kelley and C. Capps)
- Provide Arkansas corn market summaries for publication on the "Row Crops Blog" online newsletter.

#### Economic Analysis of Corn and Grain Sorghum Production and Marketing Practices

#### Methods:

- Analyze the economic feasibility of production management decisions in the Corn and Grain Sorghum Research Verification Program using enterprise budgets.
- Determine production practices that offer producers the highest expected net returns for their corn and grain sorghum enterprises.







### What is a UADA Feed Kit?

### UADA Feed Kit Project Management Plan



### What is the impact of a UADA Feed Kit?

- Available to every county
- Arkansas sourced
- Wide variety of educational applications
  - Feed identification in 4-H Animal Science contests
  - Educating producer groups
    Educational displays at County Fair



Use of Corn Protein Concentrate in Plant-Based Diets for Largemouth Bass to Optimize Production Sustainability and Profitability

- Rebecca Lochmann, University of Arkansas at Pine Bluff
- Status: (New)
- Budget Request: \$32,741
- Objectives:1) Determine growth, survival, feed conversion, native immune function, and body composition of LMB fed diets with increasing Corn Protein Concentrate (CPC) in place of fish meal in a feeding trial
- 2) Conduct a partial budget analysis to determine the relative profitability of using the different diets



Arkansas Corn & Grain Sorghum Board Arkansas Arken & Transas Arken & Transas Corn & Grain Sorghum Board

#### Introduction



- · Largemouth bass (LMB) is a popular sportfish and foodfish
- Carnivorous species likes high protein diets (fish meal, other animal sources)
- Monterrey Bay Aquarium ranks LMB production as sustainable, with the exception of the diet (too high in fish meal)
- Big push toward plant-based diets across the board for aquaculture species
- Problem Carnivores have no interest in becoming vegan!



### Arkansas

#### Potential Solution



- Plant ingredients must be palatable, with balanced protein/amino acid profiles
- Plant protein concentrates are quickly gaining ground as sustainable alternatives to animal ingredients in aquafeeds
- Corn protein concentrates contain 60-75% protein and contain few anti-nutritional factors
- Good candidate for LMB diets performance data is needed

## Corn & Grain Sorghum Board

#### Methods



- We will conduct a 12-wk feeding trial with LMB in aquaria
- 5 practical diets will be made with increasing CPC in place of fish meal and soybean meal
- Supplemental amino acids will be used to ensure that all diets meet the essential amino acid requirements of LMB
- Growth, survival, feed conversion efficiency, body composition and health assays will be measured to assess diets
- Economic analysis will be conducted



#### Value to the Corn Industry

• Recent LMB sales totaled \$27,500,000. Approximately 3,778,000 lbs of foodsize fish were produced, requiring 5,667,000 lbs of feed (conversion of 1.5)



 Potential application to other carnivorous species would further increase demand



## Remote sensing-based mid-season corn nitrogen fertilizer rate recommendations and web-tool extension.

Investigators: Aurelie Poncet (PI), Trenton Roberts, Jason Kelley Status: NEW Budget Request: \$56,000

#### **Objectives:**

- To create calibration curves that determine the amount of nitrogen (N) fertilizer required to recover 90% to 95% yield potential from field and high-N reference DGCI values when mid-season yield-limiting N deficiencies are identified.
- To develop a new web-tool functionality that predicts the agronomically and economically optimum mid-season corn N fertilizer rate recommendation from raw drone images.
- To verify that the new remote sensing-based mid-season corn N fertilizer rate recommendations can accurately predict how much N fertilizer is needed to maintain or increase yields.











#### **Research Impacts and Projected Value**

Arkansas

- Increased scouting efficiency
- Decision-support for optimized mid-season N fertilizer management
- Increased profitability from the use of inexpensive drone systems
- Relevant to both uniform and variable-rate N fertilizer applications
- Benefits achieved independently from operation size and technological capabilities
- Questions and feedback on tool functionalities / research objectives welcome!

### Thank you for your support!

#### Utilizing Plasma Technology to Inhibit Tannin Effects in Grain Sorghum for Enhanced digestibility and Protein Quality

- · Investigators: Mahfuzur Rahman and Griffiths Atungulu
- · Status: New
- Budget Request: \$43,101
- · Objectives:
- Optimize the cold plasma system based on inactivated tannin activity in grain sorghum.
- Determine the impact of plasma treatment on the quality of grain.
- Fractionate protein from grains and analyze the quality of protein to develop alternative protein-based food products.



#### **Plasma Technology**

- Fourth state of matter
- Sustainable technology
- Does not produce heat
- No chemical residue





#### Methods





- Pass Grain Sorghum through a conveyor belt and
- apply plasmaMeasure tannin content
- Grain quality factors, such as protein content, protein digestibility, kernel hardness, kernel weight and diameter, and phenolic content
- Fractionate protein from grains using plasmaactivated water
- Analyze tannin content and protein quality
- Develop sorghum protein-based food products.



Arkansas

### Statement of Projected Value

- Tannins in train-sorghum sorghum can adversely impact their quality and utility.
- The bitter taste associated with tannins reduces the palatability of products from the grain-sorghum for both humans and animals.
- Additionally, high tannin levels hinder nutrient absorption, particularly affecting proteins, thereby lowering the overall nutritional value of the grain.
- These factors, combined with potential antinutritional effects, make tannins a significant concern for the grain-sorghum industry, affecting its market appeal and suitability for various uses, including livestock feed and food processing.

USA ISION OF AGRICULTURE		Arkansas
EARCH & EXTENSION	VY	Com & Crain Cornhum Board
Interview of Arbaman System		corn & Grain Sorghuin Board

### Statement of Projected Value

- In 2022, the plant-based protein market was valued at \$8 billion in the U.S., experiencing a 7% increase in dollar sales compared to 2021.
- The major plant-based categories are plant-based meat and dairy alternatives.
- Soybean, pea, corn, and wheat proteins dominate the plant protein market.
- Although sorghum has a great protein to make alternative dairy products, tannin is the only hindrance to getting into the market.
- Successful results from this project would help to create a new market for sorghum protein in the alternative protein market.

## Corn & Grain Sorghum Board

Improving Nitrogen Management for Arkansas Corn Production

- Investigators: Trenton Roberts, Gerson Drescher, Jason Kelley
- · Status: New
- Budget Request: \$78,547
  - **Objectives:**
  - Validate the current nitrogen management strategies for irrigated Arkansas corn production in small plot and large block field trials.
  - 2. Quantify the potential nitrogen losses, primarily ammonia volatilization loss
  - 3. Evaluate the impacts of nitrogen rate and timing on corn yield components







### Ammonia Volatilization Losses: Rate x Source







Determining disease resistance and susceptibility of corn and grain sorghum hybrids

- Dr. Terry Spurlock, Dr. Jason Kelley, Dr. Camila Nicolli, and John Carlin, University of Arkansas System Division of Agriculture
- Status: New
- Budget Request: \$40,000
- Objectives: To extend disease resistance and susceptibility data of commercial corn and grain sorghum hybrids to growers annually



# Determining disease resistance and susceptibility of corn and grain sorghum hybrids

Differences in severity of stalk rot on two different corn hybrids



## Determining disease resistance and susceptibility of corn and grain sorghum hybrids

Differences in severity of stalk rot on two different corn hybrids



Developing a satellite-based field scouting tool for corn

- Dr. Terry Spurlock and Dr. Jason Kelley
   University of Arkansas System Division of Agriculture
- · Status: New
- Budget Request: \$15,000
- Objectives: To develop a tool that uses publicly available satellite imagery to increase scouting efficiency by locating areas in fields that should be scouted



### Developing a satellite-based field scouting tool for corn



#### Generating a high-value wax material from sorghum bran using an innovative green approach

Investigator: Ali Ubeyitogullari

Status: New, Year 1 of 3 Budget Request: \$42,761

#### Objectives:

- Extract high-value waxes from sorghum bran using supercritical carbon dioxide (SC-CO<sub>2</sub>), optimize the extraction conditions, and create a model to describe the extraction process - value added products.
- Separate and fractionate waxes from a recovered oil process stream of a bioethanol facility that uses grain sorghum in their fermenter - create new markets
- Coat fresh blackberries with the generated wax fractions to enhance their shelf life - create new markets + increase the utilization of grain sorghum.

UA DN OF AGRICULTURE		Arkansas
RCH & EXTENSION	VY	Corn & Grain Sorghum Board

#### **Grain Sorghum**

- Inexpensive to grow & drought-tolerant crop.
- Has high-melting point waxes in its bran.
- However, the potential of grain sorghum is underestimated as its use in food and bioethanol production is still minimal.







#### Proposed Approach and Projected Value

Fresh blackberries

shelf life

Wax-rich

oil

Waxes

Oils



- Maximize the value of grain sorghum.
- Produce sustainable wax materials.
- Contribute to Arkansas's economy by creating new markets for grain sorghum byproducts: (1) High-value wax materials,
  - (2) Bioethanol production.

#### Development and verification of a stand counting application using drone imagery for research and production decision support

- · Dr. Jason Davis PI, Dr. Jason Kelly Co-PI
- . Status: New
- Budget Request: \$19,600
- Objectives:

(1) Collect drone imagery of plant population studies (small plots) and use it to train a custom corn plant counting object detection model.

(2) Validate detection accuracy of model with considerations for research and production applications.

(3) Develop and release a user-friendly software package that leverages the validated customized model.



Uses sorghum in their BATTELLE

Sorgh bran

Bioethano

side stream

Western Plains Energy LLC

fermenters



### Statement of Projected Value:

- Optimal plant populations heavily influence yield and profitability.
- However; establishing robust plant population estimates at scale to inform replant or other production decisions is cumbersome and time consuming.
- A user-friendly and robust counting tool that leverages the automated data collection capabilities of drones could significantly facilitate these estimates.

UA DIVISION OF AGRICULTURE		Arkansas
RESEARCH & EXTENSION	VY	<b>Corn &amp; Grain Sorghum Board</b>

Prototype program already developed in cotton:



### Example Outputs for Production and Research:





### Budget Justification:

- A corn specific algorithm requires data collection time, processing, and annotation.
- Project funds will primarily be spent on:
  - Travel
  - Technician time
  - Time collecting, annotating, and processing data.
- Reduced cost due to collaboration with already established plots.



#### **Optimizing Plant Population and Nitrogen Rate in Corn**

- Jason Kelley, Trent Roberts, Hunter Biram
- Status: (Year 2 of 3 )
- Budget Request: \$34,000
- Objectives:

(1) Evaluate the impact of corn plant populations (populations of approximately 20k-45k plants/acre) on producer fields to compare yields, lodging potential, and economic return across diverse sites with varying yield potential and various commonly planted hybrids. (Large plots)

(2) Evaluate yield response to varying plant populations of new and commonly grown corn hybrids in combination with varying nitrogen rates (150, 200, 250, 300 lbs N) (Small Plots) Locations: Rohwer, Marianna, Newport, Pine Tree, Fayetteville

(3) Evaluate resulting economic returns from trials (Hunter Biram)



### Impact of Plant Population and Nitrogen Rate on Corn Yield, 2023, Marianna





38 Inch row spacing, silt loam soil, DKC 66-06 and Progeny 2118



#### Impact of Plant Population and Nitrogen Rate on Economic Returns, 2023, Marianna



#### 2024 Plans: Optimizing Plant Population and Nitrogen Rate in Corn

- Summarize 2023 results in the Corn and Grain Sorghum Research Series publication
   More details, plant heights, greensnap, lodging, yield components (seeds/ear, seed weight), and economic returns for all trials.
- Five Hybrid X Nitrogen Rate Trials are planned: Rohwer, Marianna, Newport, Pine Tree, and Fayetteville
  - Evaluate commonly grown hybrids and new hybrids
- Pioneer 1718, Pioneer 1847, DKC 66-06, DKC 68-35, DKC 65-99, Progeny 2118
- End of the year 9 trials over 2 years
- Evaluate the need for year 3?
- Would like to evaluate short-stature hybrids as they become commercially available
   New project or continuation of the current project?
  - Arkansas

#### Cover Crops in Corn Rotations- What Works and What Doesn't

- Investigators: Trenton Roberts, Gerson Drescher, Jason Kelley
- Status: 2
- Budget Request: \$39,729
- · Objectives:
  - 1. Determine the best management practices for growing corn following common cover crops
  - 2. Determine how implementing winter cover crops into a cornsoybean rotation influences nutrient cycling, soil physical properties, soil chemical properties and soil health.



### Major Changes in Soil Health Indices

- Soil Organic Matter- +0.5%
- Total N- Increased in Legume treatment
- POX-C +60 ppm
- Wet Aggregate Stability- greater macroaggregate stability



#### Arkansas Corn & Grain Sorghum Board

### Adding More Nutrients?





Towards a comprehensive aflatoxin solution: Creating and integrating novel aflatoxin control resources for an effective, sustainable management strategy

- · Investigator: Burt Bluhm
- Status: Year 2
- Budget Request: \$45,000
- · Objectives:
- 1. Identify genes in corn associated with protein misfolding during heat and drought stress
- 2. Create novel aflatoxin-resistance genes through transgenic approaches and genome editing 3. Incorporate aflatoxin resistance into new semi-dwarf maize hybrids
- Acquire and organize resources to launch a comprehensive 'moon shot' among multiple institutions to control aflatoxin in corn

UA SION OF AGRICULTURE		Arkansas
ARCH & EXTENSION	VY	Corn & Grain Sorghum Board

#### **Progress and Future Plans**

- Identified promising candidate genes associated with drought stress will construct a small hoop house (rainout shelter) for this year's field experiments
- Developed an approach to evaluate protein misfolding will apply to field experiments this season
- Currently evaluating novel transgenic corn lines that specifically target aflatoxin biosynthesis in the pathogen
- Met with collaborators at Purdue who are developing semi-dwarf hybrids, will evaluate aflatoxin resistance in parental lines this season
- Attended a short-course organized by the University of Florida regarding the incorporation of AI in breeding for plant stress resistance – will incorporate this into our aflatoxin research

**Management Options for Corn Nematodes** 



#### **Management Options for Corn Nematodes**



#### **Management Options for Corn Nematodes**

#### **Objectives:**

3. Nematicides efficacy:



• Velum Rate (3 vs 5 oz) and GPA (norm and high) test on 5 hybrids





#### KINOY OF ARRIELTURE LARCH & LARCHARLENTER LARCHARLENTERNING Corn & Grain Sorghum Board

### **Management Options for Corn Nematodes**

### **Objectives:**

3. Nematicides efficacy:

- New Nematicides: Lesion Pf >350/100 cm<sup>3</sup>
  - Bayer, Acceleron N-314 (2023): "ILEVO" + Votivo • 6 bu/ac over NTC in one exp.



- Syngenta, Tymirium (2025): New SDHI
- 6 bu/ac over NTC in one exp.

Arkansas



#### **Crop Enterprise Budgets**

- · Investigators: Breana Watkins
- Status: Year 2 or 3
- Budget Request: \$10,000
- · Objectives:
- The goal of this project is to provide crop enterprise budgets that are flexible in presentation allowing for analysis of numerous production practices and cropping systems utilized across Arkansas.

Arkansas



							Land	lord			Tenant
ITEM	UNIT	PF	RICE	QUANTITY	Tot	al Amount	Share %	S	hare		Share
INCOME											
Corn	bu	\$	4.80	215	\$	1,032.00	0.0%	\$		\$	1,032.0
TOTAL INCOME					\$	1,032.00		\$	-	\$	1,032.0
DIRECT EXPENSES											
LAND EXPENSE											
Cash Land Rent	acre				\$					\$	
SEED/PLANTS											
Corn Seed	thous	\$	3.75	32	\$	120.00	0.0%	\$	-	\$	120.0
CUSTOM SPRAY AND	ERTILIZER										
Ground App <sup>1,2,3,4,5</sup>	appl	\$	8.00	5	\$	40.00	0.0%	\$		\$	40.0
Aerial App Chem <sup>6</sup>	appl	\$	8.50	0	\$		0.0%	\$	-	\$	-
Aerial App Fert <sup>7</sup>	lbs	s	0.085	100	\$	8.50	0.0%	\$	-	\$	8.5
FERTILIZERS											
Phosphate (0-46-0) <sup>2</sup>	Ibs	\$	0.35	130	\$	45.50	0.0%	\$		\$	45.5
Potash (0-0-60)2	lbs	\$	0.25	175	\$	43.75	0.0%	\$		\$	43.7
Urea (46-0-0) <sup>2,4,7</sup>	Ibs	s	0.25	435	\$	108.75	0.0%	s	-	\$	108.7
Ammonium Sulfate <sup>2</sup>	lbs	s	0.20	100	ŝ	20.25	0.0%	s		s	20.2
Zinc Sulfate <sup>2</sup>	lbs	ŝ	1.80	29	ŝ	52.20	0.0%	s		s	52.2
HERBICIDES											
Glyphosate <sup>1</sup>	pt	s	5.38	2	s	10.76	0.0%	s		s	10.7
2.4-D <sup>1</sup>	nt	s	3.33	2	s	6.66	0.0%	s		s	6.0

### **Fine-tuning Potassium Recommendations**

- · Investigators: Trenton Roberts, Gerson Drescher, Jason Kelley
- Status: Year 2
  - Budget Request: \$56,395
- **Objectives:** •

•

UA

UA

- Establish field experiments to evaluate corn yield response to varying rates of potassium fertilizer.
- a. Correlate leaf tissue potassium concentration to corn grain yield.
- b. Measure potassium removal in the grain
- c. Fine-tune existing potassium rate recommendations for corn. 2. Validate the potash rate calculator developed in conjunction with Dr. Michael Popp
- 3. Determine the utility of intensive in-season tissue sampling and monitoring as a tool for high-yielding corn production systems.



### Tissue K Data-V6

CULTURE



Arkansas Corn & Grain Sorghum Board

### **Tissue K Data-VT**

Arkansas Corn & Grain Sorghum Board









Assessing Susceptibility of Insect Pests of Corn in Storage to **Selected Insecticides** 

- · Glenn Studebaker, Ben Thrash, Nick Bateman, N. Joshi
- · Status: Year 3
- Budget Request: \$40,891
  - **Objectives:**

•

1. Efficacy studies will be conducted to determine both the effectiveness and longevity of control of recommended insecticide treatments.



To determine relative susceptibility of stored grain insect pests to various insecticides and other chemicals. These bioassays will evaluate sensitivity of flour beetles and other stored pests 1. to new chemicals as outlined under Obj. 1.



#### Assessing Susceptibility of Insect Pests of Corn in Storage to Selected Insecticides



#### Assessing Susceptibility of Insect Pests of Corn in Storage to Selected Insecticides

- Actellic, Malathion and high rate of Centynal showing longest residual control. (currently up to 9 months with Centynal starting to break)
- Diacon IGR alone breaks down by 2 months
- · Low rate of Centynal begins to break at 4 months
- Silicone Dioxide and Diacon IGR Plus break down at 5-6 months

#### Improving Irrigation Technology for Corn Production in Arkansas

- C.G. Henry
- Status: Year 2 of 3
- Budget Request: \$185,280
- Objectives: .
- Measure sap flow in corn to develop and confirm water use in corn.
- Measure irrigation water use, water use efficiency and efficacy of IWM tools through novel irrigation contest. Demonstrate Irrigation Water Management through the Irrigation Yield Contest. Pilot cloud meters in contest.
- Finalize retention curves for the major soil Arkansas soil types, incorporate into mobile app and factsheets.
- Conduct Irrigation schools for surge irrigation and soil moisture sensor education. •
- Develop prototypes for new methods and promote existing known methods to improve irrigation efficiency. Test cover crop crimper for furrow irrigation. Continue surge valve development, poly pipe printer, new soil moisture sensor and novel flowmeter and digital asset water trading system.
- · Pilot remote valve and pump timer technology on a working farm.



Arkansas



#### Sap flow/water use of corn planted on different dates and according to the UofA App by growth stages

Growth stage	V1	V2	V3	V4	V5	V6	V7	V8	V9	V10	V11
Early planted	0	0	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.05	0.07	0.1	0.12	0.15
Viddle date planted	0	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.06	0.09	0.12	0.15	0.2	0.23
ate planted	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.05	0.07	0.1	0.14	0.19	0.23	0.26
urrent Recommendatio	ons									0.24	0.25

Growth stage	V12	V13	VT	R1	R2	R3	R4	R5	R5.25	R5.5	R5.75
Early planted	0.18	0.22	0.26	0.29	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.29	0.26	0.22	0.15
Middle date planted	0.26	0.28	0.3	0.3	0.31	0.31	0.3	0.27	0.25	0.23	0.14
Late planted	0.28	0.29	0.29	0.3	0.29	0.27	0.21	0.16	0.12	0.09	0.05
Current Recs	0.26	0.27	0.28	0.3	0.26	0.24	0.22	0.2	0.19	0.18	0.17





Mark Ahrent 1st Place Corn





\$128,552 in prizes for 2023. 58 entries, 13 in corn Mark achieved highest WUE to date in contest. Average yield 226 BPA, average WUE 9.4 bu/in and average water use is 9.4 inches of irrigation.



Used Delta Plastics Pipeplanner, Valley Aquatrac, P&R Surge Valve, Watermark Sensors, & UA Arkansas Watermark mobile app

Thank You

upport!



John Allen McGraw 2<sup>nd</sup> Place Corn 255 BPA 12.4 Bushels Per Inch WUE Star City, Arkansas (Lincoln County)

ALSO placed in #1 row rice and #2 soybeans

Jeremy Wiedeman 3<sup>rd</sup> Place Corn 222 BPA 11.95 Bushels Per Inch WUE

Arkansas

Corning, Arkansas

(Clay, County)



### **Arkansas Discovery Farms**

- · Mike Daniels and Discovery Farm Team
- Status: (Year 3)
- Budget Request: \$5,000
- · Objectives:
- 1. Provide on-farm verification and documentation of water quality, water use, soil health and climate change services
- 2. Provide education from on-farm data that will assist producers in achieving both production and environmental goals in support of sustainable farming.







### Important Findings / Accomplishments

- N and P losses are small relative to application
- K losses are of significant economic value
- · Cereal Rye Cover Crops are allowing cash crop to extract water from 18 inches compared to 6 inches for non cover situations
- Soil Health in terms of physical and biological parameters need improvement
- · Continue to generate much interest from Decision makers, agencies and others that may influence agricultural production



#### **Corn & Grain Sorghum Research Studies**

- · Kelley, J, et.al.
- Status: Ongoing
- Budget Request: \$4,500
- Objectives: 1. Capture and broadly distribute results of projects funded by this board. 2. Serve as citable archive.
- Audience: Corn growers, consultants, county agents, future researchers.



• Published online and available at: https://uada.edu/communications/publications

- · County Extension offices and research stations/centers receive hard copies
- · Hard copies may be printed upon request

Arkansas

### Use of gossypol to inhibit reproduction in domestic hogs as a model for feral hog control

- Investigators: B. P. Littlejohn, C. V. Maxwell, T. C. Tsai, M. A. Snider
  - Status: Year 2
- Budget Request: \$60,016/year

Objectives:

- 1. Using domestic hogs as a model for feral hogs, conduct a series of experiments to evaluate the use of feed containing gossypol to inhibit reproductive potential.
- Obtain input from 1) state and federal agencies and 2) collaborators in wildlife biology and population management to prepare for potential future phases of the project.







## **Objective 1**

UЯА

- Our lab group proposes a series of trials to evaluate the use of gossypol to: Suppress fertility in sexually mature males (Pilot Study and Experiment 1)
- Suppress fertility in sexually mature females (Experiment 2)
- Disrupt established pregnancies (Experiment 3)
- Trials for each experiment will be <u>sequential</u> and conditional upon each previous trial.
- Trials will be repeated and adjusted as necessary prior to starting subsequent trials to improve the effectiveness of methods.

Arkansas



## Year 1 Progress

- · Postdoctoral Associate hired (partially funded by grant)
- · Gossypol treatments developed (cottonseed oil)
- 24 domestic boars secured as test subjects
   Boars are currently being trained for semen collection Sperm concentration is being assessed on a weekly basis to determine sexual maturity
- The pilot study will start after 20 boars are determined to be sexually mature:
   Pilot study will evaluate the influence of gossypol from cottonseed oil consumed by domestic boars on health and reproductive function
   Comerce untits
  - - Semen quality Desire to breed





### **Study Timeline**

- The proposed project is anticipated to take up to 3 years to complete. Objective 1
- jective 1 Year 1: Accomplished Milestones: Postdoctoral associate hired (partial funding) Treatments developed (cottonseed oil) Research subjects secured Pilot study is in early stages Years 2-3: A series of trials will be conducted to evaluate the use of gossypol to suppress reproductive potential of feral hogs.

#### Objective 2

AR

Working relationships for Objective 2 have already been established and will be maintained throughout the duration of the study.

#### UA Arkansas



#### Arkansas Future Ag Leaders Tour

- Investigators: Julie Robinson
- Status: Year 3
- Budget Request: \$5,000
- Objectives:
  - · Increase participant's employability in agricultural careers.
  - · Acquaint participants with the vast resources, market segments, and services available through Arkansas' number one industry.
  - · Provide participants with a "bird's eye view" of current employment opportunities in the Arkansas agriculture industry.
  - Increase student's options and opportunities by networking with future employers.



# Arkansas Future Ag Leaders Tour Significant Findings for Previous Year for Current Studies or Value to Rice Industry:

- The Arkansas Future Ag Leaders Tour helps create a more prepared and informed workforce that better understands the needs and dynamics of the farmers and producers that they will serve in their agricultural related careers across the state. 1.
- 2. Addresses some job readiness skills that have been identified as deficient by employers. Touring across the state makes students aware of what jobs are available in the state and in local communities all across Arkansas. 3.
- 4. 15 Participants / 7 Universities / 6 Majors

Quotes:

- "I learned that there are way more ag jobs in AR than I thought."
- "I will use this experience to lead others and grow or carry this knowledge to others."
- "I will use the knowledge I have received from the many speakers on the job."
- "I learned that Ag careers are about passion for agriculture and helping people."



## **Missing Slides**

### Connor Lawson

Performance Crop Insurance



#### Corn and Grain Sorghum Research Verification Program

Jason Kelley, Chuck Capps, Brian Deaton, Chris Henry, and numerous others

. Status: (Year 1)

Budget Request: \$130,000 .

Objectives:

1. Verify U of A research-based recommendations

2. Develop a database for economic analysis of corn and grain sorghum production.

3. Demonstrate that consistently high vields of corn and grain sorghum can be produced economically

4. Identify specific problems and opportunities

5. Provide training and assistance to producers and county agents



#### 2023 Corn and Grain Sorghum Research Verification Program

County	Hybrid	Row Space(in)	Previous Crop	Plants per acre	Plant Date	Harvest Date	Yield (bu/ac)
	DeKalb						(
Clark	DKC 67-44	36	soybean	25,500	4/25	9/15	168.4
	Pioneer						
Clay	1718VYHR	30	soybean	31,500	4/1	9/28	214.4
	DeKalb						
Drew	DKC 65-99	38	soybean	32,500	3/23	8/19	245.2
Faulkner	Stine 9818-12 RR/LL	30	soybean	30,625	4/15	8/28	197.1
Indonondonoo	Dekaib	20	anuhaan	24 800	4/4.2	0/5	176.0
independence	Progeny	30	soybean	34,000	4/13	9/5	170.0
Jefferson	2118VT2P	38	soybean	33,250	4/12	8/21	215.9
	DeKalb						
Lonoke	DKC 65-99	30	soybean	35,000	4/18	8/25	225.0
	Becks						
Mississippi	6774	38	soybean	34,000	3/29	8/27	234.1
	Progeny					0.000	
White	2118V12P	30	soybean	31,900	4/14	9/30	201.9
Mean				32,199	4/10	9/5	208.7





Investigators: Tom Barber and Jason Norsworthy Status: Year 1 Budget Request: \$80,616

#### Objectives:

- 1. To evaluate current, new and potential herbicide options for weed control in corn and provide rapid transfer of weed control information & recommendations to stakeholders through multiple outreach methods.
- 2. Evaluate effectiveness of weed control programs with proposed EPA guidelines on atrazine
- 3. Determine off-target effects from drift rates of paraquat plus soybean and cotton residuals at various corn growth stages.
- 4. Determine off-target effects with Reviton and Sharpen spring burndown applications on corn.
- 5. Evaluate herbicide options for control of Texas panicum
- 6. Evaluate the utility of the See and Spray Ultimate in corn
- Arkansas

Evaluation of new herbicides, premixes, programs, and application methods for improved control of problematic weeds in corn

Investigators: Tom Barber and Jason Norsworthy Status: Year 1 Budget Request: \$\$80,616 Objectives: 1. To evaluate current, new and potential herbicide options for weed control in corn.

Surtain (Sharpen + Zidua Encapsulation) Storan (pyroxasulfone + S-metolachlor + mesotrione + bicyclopyrone) Maverick (pyroxasulfone + mesotrione + clopyralid) Trivolt (isoxaflutole + TCM + flufenacet) Kyro (acetochlor + mesotrione + clopyralid) Zalo (glufosinate + quizalofop) Diflufenican- experimental MOA for corn Amicarbazone - experimental for atrazine replacement



Evaluation of new herbicides, premixes, programs, and application methods for improved control of problematic weeds in corn

Investigators: Tom Barber and Jason Norsworthy Status: Year 1 Budget Request: \$\$80,616

**Objectives:** 2. Evaluate effectiveness of weed control programs with proposed EPA guidelines on atrazine.

- Amicarbazone alone and with metribuzin was evaluated as a potential replacement for atrazine. Corn had good tolerance to amicarbazone over a range of rates on a clay soil, but injury was observed under cool, wet conditions on a silt loam soil. Efforts are underway to determine if injury is more likely with high soil moisture or cool conditions shortly after planting.
- Corn hybrids differed in sensitivity to amicarbazone in a greenhouse trial. A subsequent trial under field conditions will be conducted this spring
- Sovbean could not be immediately planted in a failed stand of corn treated with amicarbazone + metribuzin without some injury to the later crop. Amicarbazone was often more effective than atrazine on several annual grasses and yellow nutsedge
- .





3. Determine off-target effects from drift rates of paraquat plus soybean and cotton residuals at various corn growth stages.






## Evaluation of new herbicides, premixes, programs, and application methods for improved control of problematic weeds in corn

Investigators: Tom Barber and Jason Norsworthy Status: Year 1 Budget Request: \$\$80,616

## Objectives:

Determine off-target effects with Reviton and Sharpen spring burndown applications on corn. Multi-state project – no significant injury observed across 3 locations

5. Evaluate herbicide options for control of Texas panicum – on-farm projects planned but fell through in 2023. In-field research will continue in 2024

6. Evaluate the utility of the See and Spray Ultimate in corn. The occurrence of morningglories in the absence of atrazine resulted in minimal reduction of postemergence herbicides. Atrazine was critical for morningglory control.



## **ATTACHMENT 7**

(All)

Request Type

		Sum of 2024-
Row Labels	Approved Board Amount	2025
Promotion	\$ 182,666.00	124.766.00
Decision Innovations Solutions (Proposal # 1) Feed Flow Analysis for Arkansas' Critical Livestock and Poultry Industries	\$ -	-
Decision Innovations Solutions (Proposal # 2) Historical Livestock and Poultry Feed Demand in Arkansas: Econnomic Impact Study	\$ -	-
Decision Innovations Solutions (Proposal # 3) Carbon Intensity Implications for Arkansas Commodities	<b>\$</b> -	-
Export Exchange (Sponsorship)	\$ 15.000.00	15.000.00
National Corr Growers Association	\$ 28,500,00	28,500.00
Sign (Jackson County Ext. Center, AR Grain Building)	\$ 49,900,00	
The Arkansas Irrigation Vield Contest (Vear 7)	\$ 10,000,00	10,000,00
The Communications Group	\$ 8,000,00	-
	\$ 71,266,00	71 266 00
Research	\$ 1,053,801,00	1,214,490,00
Arkansas Corn & Grain Sorohum Research Studies Series, an annual report and archival system for all Board-funded research (Year 3 of 3)	\$ 4,667,00	4,667,00
Arkansas Discovery Farms (Year 3 of 3).	\$ 5.000.00	5.000.00
Arkansas Future Ag Leaders Tour (Year 3 of 3)	\$ 5.000.00	5,000,00
Assess Management Options for Corn Nematodes in Arkansas (Year 3 of 3)	\$ 55,401,00	55,401,00
Assessing Susceptibility of Insect Pests of Corn in Storage to Selected Insecticides (Year 3 of 3).	\$ 41,305.00	41,305,00
Corn and grain sorphum enterprise budgets and production economic analysis (Ongoing)	\$ 10,000,00	10,000,00
Corn and Grain Sorohum Research Verification Program (Year 1 of 3).	\$ 130,000,00	130,000,00
Corn Yield Forecast System for the US Mid-South (New, Year 1 of 3).	\$ -	56,652,00
Cover Crops in Corn Rotations- What Works and What Doesn't? (Year 2 of 3).	\$ 39,729.00	39,729.00
Determining disease resistance and susceptibility of corn and grain sorghum hybrids (New, Year 1 of 3).	\$ 40,000,00	40.000.00
Developing a satellite-based field scouting tool for corn (New, Year 1 of 3).	\$ -	15.000.00
Development and verification of a stand counting application using drone imagery for research and production decision support.	\$ 19.660.00	19.660.00
Economic Analysis of Corn and Grain Sorohum Production and Marketing Practices (New, Year 1 of 1)	\$ 5,777.00	5.777.00
Evaluation of new herbicides, premixes, programs, and application methods for improved control of problematic weeds in corn (Year 2 of 3).	\$ 87,293.00	87.293.00
Exploring Plasma-Activated Water for Enhanced Biocontrol of Aflatoxins and Diseases in Corn Plants (New, Year 1 of 3).	\$ -	63,195.00
Fine-tuning Potassium Recommendations and Investigating Intensive Tissue Analysis for Sustainable Corn Production (Year 3 of 3)	\$ 56,395.00	56,395.00
Generating a high-value wax material from sorghum bran using an innovative green approach (New, Year 1 of 3).	\$ 42,761.00	42,761.00
Improving Irrigation Technology for Corn Production in Arkansas (Year 2 of 3).	\$ 185,280.00	185,280.00
Improving Nitrogen Management for Arkansas Corn Production (New, Year 1 of 3).	\$ 78,547.00	78,547.00
Optimizing Plant Population and Nitrogen Rate in Corn (Year 3 of 3).	\$ 34,000.00	34,000.00
Performance Crop Insurance as a risk management tool for corn and grain sorghum producers in Arkansas (Year 3 of 3).	\$ 29,486.00	29,486.00
Remote sensing-based mid-season corn nitrogen fertilizer rate recommendations and web-tool extension (New, Year 1 of 3).	\$ 56,000.00	56,000.00
Towards a comprehensive aflatoxin solution: Creating and integrating novel aflatoxin control resources for an effective, sustainable management strategy (Year 2 of 3).	\$ 45,000.00	45,000.00
University of Arkansas System Division of Agriculture Feed Kits (New, Year 1 of 3).	\$ 2,500.00	2,500.00
Use of Corn Protein Concentrate in Plant-Based Diets for Largemouth Bass to Optimize Production Sustainability and Profitability (New. Year 1 of 1).	\$ 50,000.00	32,741.00
Use of gossypol to inhibit reproduction in domestic hogs as a model for feral hog control (Year 2 of 3).	\$ 30,000.00	30,000.00
Utilizing Plasma Technology to Inhibit Tannin Effects in Grain Sorghum for Enhanced digestibility and Protein Quality (New, Year 1 of 3).	\$-	43,101.00
Grand Total	\$ 1,236,467.00	1,339,256.00

Request T	vr Project Title	201				A	2024-2025 pproved Board								
New	National Corn Growers Association	202	23-2024	~ ~	2024-2025		Amount	Sequenc	e Budget Categor	y Page Num	Sec1	Sec2		Variance	Text
New	US Grain Council	9	28,000	5 5	28,500	\$	28,500	0.5	Promotion	0.1	1		\$ \$	500.00	\$ 28,500
New	Export Exchange (Sponsorship) Decision Innovations Solutions (Proposal # 1) Each Flow Applying for	\$	- 00,52	\$	15,000	э \$	71,266	0.75	Promotion Promotion	0.2	2 3		∲\$	2,741.00	\$ 71,266 Add-on
New	Arkansas' Critical Livestock and Oouling Industries	\$		\$	Class?	\$		0.95	Promotion	0.3	4		n s		\$ 32 850
New	Poultry Feed Demand in Arkanas; Economic Impact Study	\$		\$-		\$		0.96	Promotion	0.4	5		۵ e		\$ 52,000
New	Implications for Advances Operativity									0.4	0		η, φ	-	\$ 29,925
New	The Communications of Arkansas Commodities	\$		\$		\$		0.97	Promotion	0.5	6		é e		
New	Sign (Jackson County End County And County And County Field County Fie	\$	-	\$	-	\$	8,000	0.98	Promotion	0.6	7		é e	-	\$ 19,575
Continuatio	Sign (Jackson County Ext. Center. AR Grain Building)					\$	49,900	0.99	Promotion	0.0	'		·II. Ф	-	
New	Exploring Plasma-Activated Water for Enhanced Biocontrol of	\$ 10 \$	0,000.00	) \$ \$	10,000.00	\$	10,000	20	Promotion	83	27		∳\$	(10,000.00)	)
	Aflatoxins and Diseases in Corn Plants (New, Year 1 of 3).							1	Research	E			<b>A</b> •		
New	Economic Analysis of Corn and Grain Sorghum Production and Marketing Practices (New, Year 1 of 1)	\$		\$	5,777	\$	5,777	,	Desearch	5	8		TP \$	63,195.00	
New	Corn Yield Forecast System for the US Mid-South (New, Year 1 of 3).	\$		\$	56,652	\$		2	Research	9	9		r \$	5,777.00	
New	University of Arkansas System Division of Agriculture Feed Kits (New, Year 1 of 3)	\$		\$	2,500	\$	2,500	3	Research	13	10		∲\$	56,652.00	
New	Use of Com Protein Concentrate in Plant-Based Diets for Largemouth	\$		\$	32,741	\$	50,000	4	Research	17	11		∲\$	2,500.00	
New	Bass to Optimize Production Sustainability and Profitability (New, Year Remote sensing-based mid-season corn nitrogen fertilizer rate	s		s	56 000	\$	56.000	5	Research	21	12		∲\$	32,741.00	
New	recommendations and web-tool extension (New, Year 1 of 3). Utilizing Plasma Technology to Inhibit Tannin Effects in Grain	•			42,404	•	50,000	6	Research	25	13		∲\$	56,000.00	
New	Sorghum for Enhanced digestibility and Protein Quality (New, Year 1			•	43,101	\$	- In-	7	Research	29	14		A \$	43,101,00	
New	Year 1 of 3).	Þ	-	\$	78,547	\$	78,547	8	Research	33	15		<u>ه</u> ۹	78 547 00	
New	sorghum hybrids (New, Year 1 of 3).	\$	-	\$	40,000	\$	40,000	9	Research	27	10		φ.φ.	10,547.00	
New	of 3).	\$	-	\$	15,000	\$	15,000	10	Research	57	10		ካዮቅ	40,000.00	
New	Generating a high-value wax material from sorghum bran using an innovative green approach (New Year 1 of 3)	\$	-	\$	42,761	\$	42,761	10	Research	41	17		1 \$	15,000.00	
New	Development and verification of a stand counting application using	\$	-	\$	19,660	\$	19,660	11	Research	45	18		♠\$	42,761.00	
Continuation	Corn and gray to research and production decision support.	\$	10,000	\$	10,000			12	Research	49	19		∲\$	19,660.00	
Continuation	Optimizing Plant Population and Nitrogen Rate in Corn (Year 3 of 3).	\$	31.000	\$	34,000			17	Promotion	69	24		₢\$		Promotion
Continuation	Cover Crops in Corn Rotations- What Works and What Doesn't? (Year	\$	46 599	\$	30 720			13	Research	53	20		<b>P</b> \$	3,000.00	
Continuation	2 of 3). Towards a comprehensive aflatoxin solution: Creating and integrating	•	45.000	•	15 000			14	Research	57	21		<b>₽</b> \$	(6,870)	
Continuation	novel aflatoxin control resources for an effective, sustainable Assess Management Options for Core Nemetidae in Advances of the	φ.	45,000	\$	45,000			15	Research	61	22		r s		
Continuation	3 of 3).	\$	53,713	\$	55,401			16	Research	65	23		(h e	1 600	
Orationation	Tissue Analysis for Sustainable Corn Production (Year 3 of 3)	\$	55,934	\$	56,395			18	Research	74			· · · ·	1,000	
Jontinuation	Assessing Susceptibility of Insect Pests of Corn in Storage to Selected Insecticides (Year 3 of 3).	\$ 4	40,563	\$	41,305			10	Research	14	25		T \$	461	
Continuation	Improving Irrigation Technology for Corn Production in Arkansas (Year 2 of 3).	\$ 18	85,281	\$	185,280			19	Research	78	26		₽\$	742	
Continuation	Arkansas Discovery Farms (Year 3 of 3).	\$	5,000	\$	5,000			21	Research	84	28		\$	(1)	
Continuation	Arkansas Com & Crain Construm Devent of the							22	Research	88	29		2 5		
Continuation I	Ise of gossynal to inhibit grand delivery and studies Series, an annual	\$	4,498	\$	4,667			23	Research	91	30		in s	160	
f	or feral hog control (Year 2 of 3).	\$ 3	30,000	\$	30,000			24	Research	05	24		υ·Ψ	109	
	Arkansas Future Ag Leaders Tour (Year 3 of 3).	\$	5,000	\$	5,000			25	Beesch	90	31		\$	-	
Continuation F	Performance Crop Insurance as a risk management tool for corn and strain sorghum producers in Arkansas (Year 3 of 3)	\$2	9,810	\$	29,486			25	Research	99	32		\$	•	
Continuation C	com and Grain Sorghum Research Verification Program (Year 1 of 3).	\$ 12	6,000	\$	130,000			26	Research	103	33		\$	(324)	
continuation E	valuation of new herbicides, premixes, programs, and application	\$ 8	0,616	\$	87,293			27	Research	107	34		\$	4,000	
n	remous for improved control of problematic weeds in corn (Year 2 of							28	Research	111	35		\$	6,677	

0

\$ 855,539.00 \$ 1,339,256.00 **\$ 492,911.00** 

```
1236467
```

Funding Available for Future Project:

\$ 2,181,050.42

Reserve:

Reserve: \$ 944,583.42