

FName	LName	City/Cty	Association Referenced	Date Rec'd	Comment	Email	Department Response	
1	James	Petersen	unk	unk	9/1/2024	<p>§ I(8), could not locate precipitation volume forecasts on weather.gov.</p> <p>§ I(9), federal agency is misname, s/b United States Department of Agriculture Natural Resources Conservation Service.</p> <p>Opposes § II(3)(b), inadequate language.</p> <p>§ III(2), same recommendation as in § I(9).</p> <p>§ III(6)(c), "bypassing" the 15% slope requirement at the discretion of adjacent landowners does not seem scientifically justifiable. Review by the Department should be required.</p> <p>§ IV(3), same recommendation as in § I(9).</p> <p>§ VII, The "Buffalo National River" is a National Park Service entity and is not a stream; it does include much of the Buffalo River but also includes much adjacent land. It does not technically have a watershed-the watershed is association with the Buffalo River.</p> <p>Opposes § VII(3), nonoperational CAFO permits should be voided.</p>	petersen.science.writing@gmail.com	Corrected typo in NRCS name. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. Remaining comments noted. No changes to the rule are required.
2	Lynn	Foster	unk	AR Audubon Society	9/15/2024	AAS supports the proposed rule's moratorium on hog farms in the BRW. Supports the Ozark Society and many other commenters that notification of pending swine CAFO permits to local stakeholders needs to remain in the rule.	lfoster5211@gmail.com	The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
3	Jerry	Masters	On behalf of the APPA Board of Directors	Arkansas Pork Producers Association	9/13/2024	APPA supports moving the administration of liquid animal waste management permits to the Department of Agriculture. Opposes paragraph VII-Watershed Specific Rules; would like it removed. This watershed specific rule sets a concerning precedent within the state of Arkansas for potential future restrictions on confinement operations.	arkpork@yahoo.com	The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
4	Doug	Stowe	unk	unk	9/15/2024	By no means should notification requirements concerning CAFOs in the Buffalo River area be removed.	douglasstowe@gmail.com	The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
5	Heather	Hudgens	unk	unk	9/4/2024	Continue the moratorium; no CAFOs next to any rivers.	hmh7@aol.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
6	Carolyn	Hartman	Rogers	unk	9/2/2024	Disagrees with "the recent action to remove the public comment period in Act 824."	carheart50@outlook.com	No response is required. No changes to the rule are required.
7	Emelia Ann	unk	unk	unk	9/9/2024	Do not damage the Arkansas environment by negating regulations that keep corporations from harming the earth.	emeliaann@hotmail.com	No response is required. No changes to the rule are required.
8	Stephen	Hennigan	Fayetteville	unk	9/14/2024	Do not remove the moratorium on industrial swine production.	stevhmd@gmail.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
9	John	Rankine	unk	unk	9/13/2024	Don't let unsupervised farm waste go unchecked.	johnrankine69@gmail.com	No response is required. No changes to the rule are required.
10	Gina	Booth	Marble Falls	unk	9/2/2024	Extend public comment period.	gboothgbooth@gmail.com	The Department extended the comment period two weeks, to September 16, 2024. No changes to the rule are required.
11	Rebecca	Corley	Jasper	unk	8/29/2024	Extend public comment period.	footholdfarm@yahoo.com	The Department extended the comment period two weeks, to September 16, 2024. No changes to the rule are required.
12	Ginny	Masullo	unk	BRWA, Secretary	8/29/2024	Extend public comment period.	masulloginny42@gmail.com	The Department extended the comment period two weeks, to September 16, 2024. No changes to the rule are required.
13	Barbara	Metzger	unk	unk	8/29/2024	Extend public comment period.	bashatwentyone@yahoo.com	The Department extended the comment period two weeks, to September 16, 2024. No changes to the rule are required.
14	Marti	Olesen	unk	BRWA, Vice President	8/29/2024	Extend public comment period.	moleen12@gmail.com	The Department extended the comment period two weeks, to September 16, 2024. No changes to the rule are required.
15	Larry	Olesen	unk	unk	8/29/2024	Extend public comment period.	moleen12@gmail.com	The Department extended the comment period two weeks, to September 16, 2024. No changes to the rule are required.
16	Dane	Schumacher	unk	unk	8/29/2024	Extend public comment period.	schumacherdane@gmail.com	The Department extended the comment period two weeks, to September 16, 2024. No changes to the rule are required.
17	Dane	Schumacher	unk	unk	9/1/2024	Extend public comment period.	schumacherdane@gmail.com	The Department extended the comment period two weeks, to September 16, 2024. No changes to the rule are required.
18	Dane	Schumacher	unk	unk	9/1/2024	Extend public comment period.	schumacherdane@gmail.com	The Department extended the comment period two weeks, to September 16, 2024. No changes to the rule are required.
19	Brian	Thompson	Fayetteville	Ozark Society, President	8/31/2024	Extend public comment period.	thompsonaddc@gmail.com	The Department extended the comment period two weeks, to September 16, 2024. No changes to the rule are required.
20	Teresa	Turk	unk	Arkansas Ozark Waterkeepers	8/30/2024	Extend public comment period.	fayettevilleflavor@gmail.com	The Department extended the comment period two weeks, to September 16, 2024. No changes to the rule are required.
21	Gordon	Watkins	Parthenon	unk	8/29/2024	Extend public comment period.	gwatkins@mybluehaventcabin.com	The Department extended the comment period two weeks, to September 16, 2024. No changes to the rule are required.
22	Dina	Nash	Fayetteville	BRWA	9/1/2024	Extend public comment period. Supports § VII(2), moratorium of CAFOs in the BRW.	dinacnash2014@gmail.com	The Department extended the comment period two weeks, to September 16, 2024. This proposed rule retains the moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.

FName	LName	City/City	Association Referenced	Date Rec'd	Comment	Email	Department Response	
23	Cindy	Jetton	Unk	unk	9/8/2024	Extend public comment period. Supports moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW.	cindy_jetton@yahoo.com	The Department extended the comment period two weeks, to September 16, 2024. The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
24	Cindy	Jetton	Unk	unk	9/8/2024	Extend public comment period. Supports moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW.	cindy_jetton@yahoo.com	The Department extended the comment period two weeks, to September 16, 2024. The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
25	Marion	Oates	Fayetteville	BRWA	9/2/2024	Extend public comment period. Supports public comments of BRWA.	mtoateslaw@gmail.com	The Department extended the comment period two weeks, to September 16, 2024. The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
26	Sage & Tom	Holland	Fox	unk	9/16/2024	Feel strongly about maintaining required notification for all CAFOs.	sageandtomemail@gmail.com	The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
27	Angela	Usrey	Carrollton	unk	9/15/2024	Given the current environment in NWA with both Bitcoin mining as well as a number of the world's tallest industrial scale wind turbine projects (up to 698'), this is not the time to relax rules around CAFOs. Relaxed rules invite the worst types of businesses.	angelaishome@gmail.com	No response is required. No changes to the rule are required.
28	Teresa	Pelliccio	unk	unk	9/14/2024	Heartbroken to learn that the legislature is adding a rule where there is no notification to surrounding property owners when a CAFO is installed.	teresapelliccioart@gmail.com	The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
29	Taylor	Vittitow	Fayetteville	Ozark Society	9/16/2024	Hello, I am a concerned resident of Fayetteville, AR and member of the Ozark Society and was made aware of situation regarding new rule under Act 824 regarding liquid animal waste systems. I agree with The Ozark Society that notification of local stakeholders in regard to pending swine CAFO permits, needs to remain in the new rule replacing Reg 5 that Arkansas Poultry and Livestock is preparing. If anything is to be changed, transparency on such permits needs to be increased, not decreased. We do not need a recurrence of another surprise C&H permit getting approved without key stakeholders or the public being aware of it. Thank you for your consideration	taylorvittitow@gmail.com	The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
30	Derek	Linn	Fayetteville	unk	9/16/2024	I am strongly opposed to the proposed rule change(s) that would confer to the Dept. of Agriculture the authority to issue and modify permits related to Liquid Animal Waste / CAFO and other livestock facilities. This jurisdiction should be with the Division of Environmental Quality (DEQ).	derek.linn@gmail.com	Act 824 of 2023 transferred authority over all liquid animal waste management systems to the Arkansas Department of Agriculture. No changes to the rule are required.
31	Jerry	Williams	Hot Springs	unk	9/16/2024	I am writing to respectfully request that your Department maintain public notice for CAFO permits and modifications to permits to the same degree as the Arkansas DEQ did for CAFO operations. Your Department's rule will not properly inform the public for CAFO operation. In addition, the Agriculture Department should maintain a notification list for all parties who request to be kept informed of CAFO operations.	jerrywilliams1121@gmail.com	The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.

FName	LName	City/Cty	Association Referenced	Date Rec'd	Comment	Email	Department Response	
32	Dane	Schumacher	Carroll Cty	unk	9/16/2024	I have a particular and keen interest in protecting groundwater and surface water quality in the karst environment in which I live and make my living. Based on the information provided above, I respectfully urge the Division of Agriculture-Arkansas Livestock and Poultry Commission to reconsider its role in the permitting process for liquid animal waste permits, particularly swine AFO's, regardless of size, and to consider whether it has the time, resources, and expertise, to administer the Liquid Animal Waste System permitting process within the context of the required planning process, site-specific considerations and necessary visits to ensure the protection of our waterways and groundwater. DEQ should have more than a consulting role in the process, and all permitting documents should be made available on either the Division of Agriculture or DEQ's online database for public input. Additionally, adjacent residents and landowners as well as local newspapers should receive notification. Resident taxpayers and concerned citizens do not need another round of litigious battles and/or a state sanctioned 6.2 million buyout agreement related to the ill-placed, insufficient design, and woefully lacking waste management protocols of one swine facility.	schumacherdane@gmail.com	Act 824 of 2023 transferred authority over all liquid animal waste management systems to the Arkansas Department of Agriculture. No changes to the rule are required. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
33	Holly	Wiles	unk	unk	9/16/2024	I strongly disagree with the Arkansas Farm Bureau on them believing the moratorium on industrial swine CAFOs in the Buffalo River watershed should be removed.	hd.wiles@gmail.com	The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
34	Cindy	Rimkus	Madison Cty	unk	9/15/2024	It is disturbing to realize that Arkansas Department of Agriculture has proposed a regulation 5 that would lift the moratorium that Governor Hutchinson issued in 2019.	cindy.rimkus@gmail.com	The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
35	Glenda	Satterfield	unk	unk	9/13/2024	Keep public notification on industrial swine CAFO permits.	glendasatterfield@gmail.com	The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
36	Edward	Rod	unk	unk	9/13/2024	Let's not begin to loosen standards or we and the Buffalo will pay the price!	drrod.oms@gmail.com	No response is required. No changes to the rule are required.
37	Robert and Cynthia	Martin	Midway	unk	9/12/2024	More transparency re notification of permits.	robcm2020@gmail.com	The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
38	Daryl	Boles	unk	unk	9/2/2024	New rule "isn't a good neighbor policy!"	dboles1969@gmail.com	No response is required. No changes to the rule are required.
39	Linda	Armerbingham	unk	unk	9/7/2024	No waste in the BNR.	lindaarmerbingham@gmail.com	No response is required. No changes to the rule are required.
40	John	Ray	unk	unk	9/11/2024	Notification of local stakeholders re pending swine CAFO permits needs to remain in the new rule.	johnnyray22@earthlink.net	The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
41	Chally	Sims	Mount Ida	unk	9/12/2024	Oppose lifting the moratorium on permits for CAFOs in the BRW. Proposed Reg No. 5 would also take public notices out of newspapers as well as doing away with the requirement of sending notices via certified mail to adjoining landowners, county judges, school superintendents and mayors.	chally@packratoc.com	The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
42	Steph	Gordon-Glassford	unk	unk	9/13/2024	Opposed to lessening the regulations of CAFOs and other developments.	sgordonglassford@gmail.com	No response is required. No changes to the rule are required.
43	Tom	Kruse	unk	unk	9/14/2024	Opposed to limiting the public notification and comments on new CAFO permits. The nutrient loads need to be addressed like any other sewage treatment.	02kruse@gmail.com	The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.

FName	LName	City/Cty	Association Referenced	Date Rec'd	Comment	Email	Department Response	
44	Forrest	Dunaway	Mountain View	unk	9/15/2024	Opposed to not publishing permit notification in local newspapers and no letters to neighbors or governmental authorities. The public of the notices should be in local newspapers, the applicants should pay for the publication and there should be a public hearing so the public can assure itself the hogs are in an ideal place that does not disturb the land or water of Arkansas or the neighbors don't have to smell or hear such places.	gene.dunaway@gmail.com	The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
45	Rick	Border	unk	unk	9/11/2024	Opposed to the idea of having the Department of Agriculture involved with the decisions. The proposed rule for public notification is intent are all the proof I need that the "fox will be in the hen house." Will be contacting state rep, senator and governor's office.	rlborder@aol.com	Act 824 of 2023 transferred authority over all liquid animal waste management systems to the Arkansas Department of Agriculture. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
46	Fran	Alexander	Fayetteville	unk	9/2/2024	Opposes § II(3)(b), notification language. Additional notification should be sent to local stakeholders of CAFO permits.	ofda22@gmail.com	The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
47	Francie	Bolter	unk	unk	9/1/2024	Opposes § II(3)(b), notification language. Additional notification should be sent to local stakeholders of CAFO permits.	franciebolter@gmail.com	The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
48	Peggy	Butla	Hindsville	Ozark Society	8/31/2024	Opposes § II(3)(b), notification language. Additional notification should be sent to local stakeholders of CAFO permits.	sunnypegs@aol.com	The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
49	Jacqueline	Courteau	Fayetteville	unk	9/2/2024	Opposes § II(3)(b), notification language. Additional notification should be sent to local stakeholders of CAFO permits.	jbcourtneau@gmail.com	The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
50	Carolyn	Crook	Fayetteville	unk	8/30/2024	Opposes § II(3)(b), notification language. Additional notification should be sent to local stakeholders of CAFO permits.	carolyn@packratoc.com	The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
51	Carole	Degginger	Newton Cty	unk	9/1/2024	Opposes § II(3)(b), notification language. Additional notification should be sent to local stakeholders of CAFO permits.	caroledegginger@gmail.com	The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.

FName	LName	City/Cty	Association Referenced	Date Rec'd	Comment	Email	Department Response	
52	Steve	Driver	Ozark/Johnson Cty	unk	9/2/2024	Opposes § II(3)(b), notification language. Additional notification should be sent to local stakeholders of CAFO permits.	smdriver51@gmail.com	The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
53	John	Ferguson	Conway	unk	9/1/2024	Opposes § II(3)(b), notification language. Additional notification should be sent to local stakeholders of CAFO permits.	johnandgory@gmail.com	The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
54	Susan	Gateley	Ozark/Johnson Cty	unk	8/30/2024	Opposes § II(3)(b), notification language. Additional notification should be sent to local stakeholders of CAFO permits.	sgateley55@gmail.com	The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
55	Larry	Haden	unk	Arkansas Chapter of Backcountry Hunters & Anglers	9/2/2024	Opposes § II(3)(b), notification language. Additional notification should be sent to local stakeholders of CAFO permits.	arkansas@backcountryhunters.org	The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
56	Fred	Goldthorpe	Mayflower	unk	9/10/2024	Opposes § II(3)(b), notification language. Additional notification should be sent to local stakeholders of CAFO permits. Notices published requesting comment of purpose operation, notifying county judges, school superintendents and town mayors of areas downstream from the purposes operation.	fred.goldthorpe@gmail.com	The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
57	Kim	Smith	unk	unk	9/11/2024	Opposes § II(3)(b), notification language. Needs to be more broad.	ksmith@elliottsmithlaw.com	The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
58	Donna	Peters	unk	unk	9/11/2024	Opposes § II(3)(b), notification to local stakeholders re pending swine CAFO permits needs to remain in the new rule.	dmpdmp@windstream.net	The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
59	Mark	Robertson	unk	unk	9/11/2024	Opposes § II(3)(b), notification to local stakeholders re pending swine CAFO permits needs to remain in the new rule.	marobertson@mesalinc.net	The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.

FName	LName	City/Cty	Association Referenced	Date Rec'd	Comment	Email	Department Response	
60	Teresa	Turk	Fayetteville	Arkansas Ozark Waterkeepers	9/14/2024	<p>Opposes § II(3)(b), this inadequate notification is unfair to both the applicant and the public. Please include the following media outlets as a requirement to make public notification adequate and transparent:</p> <p>Notification in State and local papers:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Letters to property owners adjacent to CAFO site and spreading fields. 2. Letters to County Judges and quorum courts of site and spreading fields. Or, if located within an area zoned by the city, notify the city zoning authority. 3. Mayors of incorporated municipalities within 10 miles of facility and fields. 4. Superintendent of school districts that serve CAFO sites and fields. <p>All letters to the above should provide written notice by certified mail, with return receipt requested. Section III 6. D. Technical Requirements Section 6. (d) currently reads as follows "Application of manures shall not be made within 100 feet of streams including intermittent streams, ponds, lakes, springs, sinkholes, rock outcrops, wells and water supplies; or 300 feet of extraordinary resource waters as defined by the Arkansas Pollution Control and Ecology Commission Regulation No. 2." AOW advocates for expanding the prohibition of manure application within 300 feet of a stream to a minimum of 1000 feet. Runoff and infiltration of phosphorus laden manure, particularly in karst areas, has been shown to contaminate streams when manure application is closer than 1000 feet to a stream, creek or river.</p> <p>Section VII. 2 and 3 Permanent Moratorium on swine CAFO's in Buffalo National River</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2. We support the language which includes the prohibition of the issuance of permits for any new swine CAFOs in the Buffalo National River Watershed. In other words, we support a permanent moratorium on all swine CAFOs in the BNR watershed. 3. We do not support section 3, which states "This rule does not prohibit the Department from issuing a permit renewal or modification for a Confined Animal Operation in the Buffalo National River Watershed with an active permit as of the effective date of this rule." It is not clear if the existing permits could be reactivated or if they could accept transferred waste, either of which would be unacceptable. We believe the existing permits that are not actively being used, should be closed and reactivated immediately. This situation could be handled by the following: 	turkster33@gmail.com	The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. No changes to the rule are required.
61	Gwen	Bennett	Eureka Springs	unk	9/8/2024	Opposes § II(3)(b); broader notification system should be used.	gwenbennettmasks@icloud.com	The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
62	Dianna	Neely	Lincoln	unk	9/8/2024	Opposes § II(3)(b); broader notification system should be used.	diannaneely@hotmail.com	The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
63	Lowell	Collins	unk	Ozark Society, Sugar Creek Chapter	8/30/2024	Opposes § II(3)(b); local stakeholders should be notified directly.	ossugarcreek@gmail.com	The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
64	Ginny	Masullo	unk	BRWA, Secretary	9/8/2024	Opposes § II(3)(b); same level of transparency of all Reg 5 permit records such as is currently available with Reg 5 permits under ADEQ.	masulloginny1@gmail.com	The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
65	Debbie	Davis	Eureka Springs	unk	9/13/2024	Opposes allowing swine or chicken permits on the Buffalo National River.	redscottie@cox.net	No response is required. No changes to the rule are required.
66	Evan	Teague	unk	AR Farm Bureau Federation	8/26/2024	Opposes moratorium for CAFOs in the BRW. Small farm language needs to be added back in.	unk - in-person comment	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
67	Jerry	Masters	unk	AR Pork Producers Association	8/26/2024	Opposes moratorium language for CAFOs in the BRW.	unk - in-person comment	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.

FName	LName	City/Cty	Association Referenced	Date Rec'd	Comment	Email	Department Response	
68	Brad	Green	Newton Cty	unk	9/13/2024	Opposes notification language, FOIA exemptions, and the removal of the moratorium on CAFO permits in the BRW.	bradgreen27@gmail.com	The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
69	Linda	Davis	unk	unk	9/12/2024	Opposes notification language. Additional notification should be sent to adjacent land owners as well as county officials and general public.	lindadavis49@gmail.com	The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
70	Ginny	Masullo	Fayetteville	BRWA, Secretary	9/2/2024	Opposes notification language. Additional notification should be sent to local stakeholders of CAFO permits.	masuloginny42@gmail.com	The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
71	Paula	Matthews	St. Joe	unk	9/2/2024	Opposes notification language. Additional notification should be sent to local stakeholders of CAFO permits.	paulajmatthews01@gmail.com	The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
72	Fred	Paillet	Bentonville	(UARK Adjunct Professor of Geosciences and USGS Research Emeritus)	9/2/2024	Opposes notification language. Additional notification should be sent to local stakeholders of CAFO permits.	fredp@cox.net	The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
73	Necia	Parker-Gibson	unk	unk	9/2/2024	Opposes notification language. Additional notification should be sent to local stakeholders of CAFO permits.	neciap@gmail.com	The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.

FName	LName	City/Cty	Association Referenced	Date Rec'd	Comment	Email	Department Response	
74	Bill	Pettit	Cotter	unk	9/2/2024	Opposes notification language. Additional notification should be sent to local stakeholders of CAFO permits.	troutman08@suddenlink.net	The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
75	T.A.	Sampson	unk	unk	9/2/2024	Opposes notification language. Additional notification should be sent to local stakeholders of CAFO permits.	springfieldranch@aol.com	The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
76	Steve	Singleton	unk	unk	9/1/2024	Opposes notification language. Additional notification should be sent to local stakeholders of CAFO permits.	aerialobserver@gmail.com	The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
77	Camille	Smiley	Fayetteville	unk	9/2/2024	Opposes notification language. Additional notification should be sent to local stakeholders of CAFO permits.	ccsmiley@gmail.com	The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
78	Charlie	Transue	Tulsa, OK	unk	9/2/2024	Opposes notification language. Additional notification should be sent to local stakeholders of CAFO permits.	cj.transue@outlook.com	The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
79	Ellen	Turner	Rogers	unk	9/2/2024	Opposes notification language. Additional notification should be sent to local stakeholders of CAFO permits.	ellenelizabethturner@gmail.com	The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.

FName	LName	City/Cty	Association Referenced	Date Rec'd	Comment	Email	Department Response	
80	Emily	Valentin	unk	unk	9/1/2024	Opposes notification language. Additional notification should be sent to local stakeholders of CAFO permits.	emilycvalentin@gmail.com	The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
81	Bill	King	unk	unk	9/15/2024	Opposes relaxing the restrictions on CAFOs in the BRW.	billking34@gmail.com	
82	Lynn	Packham Larson	Carroll Cty	unk	9/13/2024	Opposes the change which reduced notification stakeholders regarding development of concentrated animal feeding operations.	lynnlarson448@gmail.com	The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
83	Meghan	Post	unk	unk	9/10/2024	Opposes the Department of Agriculture taking over CAFO permits.	meghanelgan7@gmail.com	Act 824 of 2023 transferred authority over all liquid animal waste management systems to the Arkansas Department of Agriculture. No changes to the rule are required.
84	Suzie	Bell	Eureka Springs	unk	9/13/2024	Opposes the lifting of reg 5 to allow corporations to into the BRW and establish entities such as hog farms without notifying their neighbors.	mightywarriorrocks@yahoo.com	The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
85	Heather	Wilson	Eureka Springs	unk	9/14/2024	Opposes the lifting of the moratorium on industrial swine in the BRW.	heatherjw176@gmail.com	The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
86	Kate	McCarty	Eureka Springs	unk	9/13/2024	Opposes the new rule that reduces the mean of notifying people when concentrated animal farming establishments are being developed. County, nearby cities, neighbors and area newspapers must be alerted.	katemc63@gmail.com	The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
87	Ralph	Langford	Lincoln	unk	9/13/2024	Opposes the proposed rule change that would eliminate advanced notice of new CAFOs.	evenstevens57@hotmail.com	The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
88	Debbie	Reay	Eureka Springs	unk	9/14/2024	Opposes to adding a rule where there is no notification to surrounding property owners when a CAFO is installed.	whipetdog@gmail.com	The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
89	Nicholas	Gibson	unk	unk	9/11/2024	Pig swine should not be allowed to flow into the Buffalo River.	nicholasblakegibsonphotography@gmail.com	No response is required. No changes to the rule are required.

FName	LName	City/Cty	Association Referenced	Date Rec'd	Comment	Email	Department Response	
90	Brian	Thompson	unk	Ozark Society, President	9/15/2024	<p>Please accept and acknowledge receipt of these comments from The Ozark Society regarding the new rule that will replace E&E Regulation 5.</p> <p>Agree with retaining watershed specific rules prohibiting the issuance of permits in the BNRW.</p> <p>Oppose eliminating notification regarding permits to the local paper, county quorum court, etc.</p> <p>We respectfully request the following be published on the website after the completion of the comment period as per the Arkansas Administrative Procedures Act.</p> <p>(i) After the expiration of the thirty-day public comment period and before the effective date of the rule, the agency promulgating the rule shall take appropriate measures to make the final rule known to the persons who may be affected by the rule.</p> <p>(ii) Appropriate measures shall include without limitation posting the following information on the agency's website:</p> <p>(a) The final rule;</p> <p>(b) Copies of all written comments submitted to the agency regarding the rule;</p> <p>(c) A summary of all written and oral comments submitted to the agency regarding the rule and the agency's response to those comments;</p> <p>(d) A summary of the financial impact of the rule; and</p> <p>(e) The proposed effective date of the final rule.</p>	thompsonadcd@gmail.com	The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
91	Karen	Pope	unk	unk	9/14/2024	Please act toward transparency on the whole issue.	karenlarsenp@gmail.com	No response is required. No changes to the rule are required.
92	Mike	Harms	Green Forest, Carroll Cty	unk	9/13/2024	Prefers to be notified in advance of any industrial or large scale plans that may affect my water or way of life.	mikeharms82@gmail.com	The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
93	Bob	Billig	Etkins	unk	9/11/2024	Prioritize full public disclosure of all information.	bobbillig@gmail.com	The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
94	Faith	Pettit-Shah	Carroll Cty	unk	9/13/2024	Proper notice for all major development is crucial.	faithmichael@me.com	The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
95	Faith	Pettit-Shah	Carroll Cty	unk	9/13/2024	Proper notice for all major development is crucial. Everyone suffers from large industrial waste producing projects.	faithmichael@me.com	The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
96	Caroline	Rogers	Carroll Cty	Stop Wind Farms Carroll County	9/13/2024	Proper notice for all major development is crucial. Everyone suffers from large industrial waste producing projects.	stopwindfarmsar@gmail.com	The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
97	Bob	Billig	unk	unk	9/8/2024	Protect the BRW from CAFO run-off. Prioritize full public disclosure of all information about the issue, the language used should be plain and easily understood.	bobbillig@gmail.com	The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.

FName	LName	City/Cty	Association Referenced	Date Rec'd	Comment	Email	Department Response
98 Roy	Wilson	Sheridan	BRWA	9/1/2024	Protect the watershed.	roywilson@windstream.net	No response is required. No changes to the rule are required.
99 Jean	Cazort	Little Rock	unk	9/9/2024	Recommends notification of CAFO permits in nearest newspaper, letters be sent to adjacent property owners, to county judges, school superintendents and local mayors within 10 miles of the site, posting notices at the site. No issuance of permits within the BRW of any tributaries of the Buffalo National River.	jeancazort@gmail.com	The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
100 Jim	Pfeifer	Little Rock	unk	9/9/2024	Recommends notification of CAFO permits in nearest newspaper, letters be sent to adjacent property owners, to county judges, school superintendents and local mayors within 10 miles of the site, posting notices at the site. No issuance of permits within the BRW of any tributaries of the Buffalo National River.	jimpfe16@aol.com	The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
101 Wade	Colwell	Fayetteville	unk	9/13/2024	Require CAFO operators to make public notice of their intents before approval is given to do so.	wadecolwell@gmail.com	The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
102 Pat	Matsukis	unk	unk	9/16/2024	Shame for trying to not notify the public. We, the people should make our own decisions based on all available information	patmatsukis@yahoo.com	The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
103 Daryl	Botes	unk	unk	9/2/2024	Stop polluting and leave it better than you found it.	dbotes1969@gmail.com	No response is required. No changes to the rule are required.
104 Dianne		unk	unk	9/1/2024	Stop the hog farms.	diannesthomas@yahoo.com	No response is required. No changes to the rule are required.
105 Christopher	Fischer	Eureka Springs	unk	9/12/2024	Strongly objects to the proposed changes to the notification requirements affecting general public, communities and adjacent property owners.	cmxfisch@gmail.com	The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
106 Susan	Watkins	Parthenon, Newton Cty	unk	9/15/2024	Supports § VII(2) re prohibition against the issuance of permits for any new swine CAFOs in the BNRW. Opposes § VII(3) that does not prohibit the Department from issuing renewal or modification for a CAFO in the BNRW.	susan@myblueheavencabin.com	The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
107 Nancy	Deisch	unk	unk	9/1/2024	Supports § VII(2), moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW.	buffaloridge ranch@gmail.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. No changes are required.
108 Mike	Faircloth	Bella Vista	unk	9/8/2024	Supports § VII(2), moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW.	arbearusn@gmail.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. No changes are required.
109 Judith	Griffith	unk	unk	9/3/2024	Supports § VII(2), moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW.	9waterfall9@gmail.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. No changes are required.
110 Michele	Halsett	Fayetteville	unk	9/2/2024	Supports § VII(2), moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW.	michele.halsett@gmail.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. No changes are required.
111 Jerri	Holmes	Parthenon	unk	8/31/2024	Supports § VII(2), moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW.	aadaprez@gmail.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. No changes are required.
112 Jonna	Hussey	Fayetteville	unk	9/2/2024	Supports § VII(2), moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW.	jhussey13@gmail.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. No changes are required.
113 Cheryl	Johnson	unk	unk	9/2/2024	Supports § VII(2), moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW.	cjbluebird@comcast.net	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. No changes are required.
114 Ragupathy	Kannan	Fort Smith	unk	9/2/2024	Supports § VII(2), moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW.	ragupathy@uafs.edu	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. No changes are required.

FName	LName	City/Cty	Association Referenced	Date Rec'd	Comment	Email	Department Response	
115	Adelia	Kittrell	unk	9/2/2024	Supports § VII(2), moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW.	adelia.kittrell@gmail.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. No changes are required.	
116	Sydney	Klinehenz	Fayetteville	unk	9/8/2024	Supports § VII(2), moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW.	svdmk@earthlink.net	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. No changes are required.
117	Patty	McLean	Judsonia	unk	9/2/2024	Supports § VII(2), moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW.	plm108@comcast.net	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. No changes are required.
118	Barbara	Mott	unk	unk	9/2/2024	Supports § VII(2), moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW.	blmott2014@yahoo.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. No changes are required.
119	Joanna	Person-Michener	unk	BRWA	9/2/2024	Supports § VII(2), moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW.	resiliencejo73@gmail.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. No changes are required.
120	Lance	Runion	unk	unk	9/2/2024	Supports § VII(2), moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW.	roentgen1421@icloud.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. No changes are required.
121	Rebecca	Smith	Fayetteville	unk	9/1/2024	Supports § VII(2), moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW.	sbecca124@gmail.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. No changes are required.
122	David	Vandergriff	unk	(Commissioner, AR PC&EC)	8/31/2024	Supports § VII(2), moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW.	dvandergriff@qgtlaw.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. No changes are required.
123	James	McMains	Little Rock	unk	9/1/2024	Supports § VII(2), moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW. Opposes § VII(3), nonoperational permits should be voided.	jwmac2@swbell.net	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. No changes to the rule are required.
124	John	Ray	Fayetteville	unk	9/1/2024	Supports § VII(2), moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW. Opposes § VII(3), nonoperational permits should be voided.	johnnyray22@earthlink.net	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. No changes to the rule are required.
125	Stephanie	Liechty	unk	unk	9/2/2024	Supports § VII(2), moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW. Extend public comment period.	shyme161@shcglobal.net	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department extended the comment period two weeks, to September 16, 2024. No changes to the rule are required.
126	Beth	Ardapple	Mt. Judea	BRWA	9/2/2024	Supports § VII(2), moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW. Extend public comment period. Supports public comments of BRWA.	bethardapple@gmail.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department extended the comment period two weeks, to September 16, 2024. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
127	Jeanmarie	Mako	unk	unk	8/31/2024	Supports § VII(2), moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW. Nonoperational permits should be voided.	mako.blaisus@gmail.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. No changes to the rule are required.
128	Lissa	Morrison	unk	unk	9/8/2024	Supports § VII(2), moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW. Opposes § II(3)(b); this is inadequate notification language.	morrisonlissa3@gmail.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
129	Glenda	Allison	Berryville/Carroll Cty	unk	9/2/2024	Supports § VII(2), moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW. Opposes § VII(3), CAFO permit renewal or modification.	gsallison56@gmail.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. No changes to the rule are required.
130	Lowell	Collins	unk	Ozark Society, Sugar Creek Chapt.	8/31/2024	Supports § VII(2), moratorium on CAFOS in the BRW. Opposes § VII(3), nonoperational permits should be voided.	ossugarcreek@gmail.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. No changes to the rule are required.
131	John	Remmers	Morrow	unk	9/1/2024	Supports § VII(2), moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW. Opposes § VII(3), nonoperational permits should be voided. Opposes § II(3)(b), extensive public notifications must be required.	remmers@pgtc.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.

FName	LName	City/Cty	Association Referenced	Date Rec'd	Comment	Email	Department Response	
132	Annee	Littell	Fayetteville	unk	9/9/2024	Supports § VII(2), moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW. Opposes § VII(3), nonoperational permits should be voided. Opposes § II(3)(B), notification language. Additional notification should be sent to local stakeholders of CAFO permits.	unk-Rec'd by mail517.F.JohnsonFayetteville.AR.72701	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
133	Marti	Olesen	Ponca	BRWA, Vice President	8/31/2024	Supports § VII(2), moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW. Opposes § VII(3), nonoperational permits should be voided. Opposes § II(3)(B), notification language. Additional notification should be sent to local stakeholders of CAFO permits.	molesen12@gmail.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
134	Edward	Karcis	Fayetteville	unk	9/2/2024	Supports § VII(2), moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW. Opposes § VII(3), non-operational permits should be voided. Opposes § II(3)(b), notification language. Additional notification should be sent to local stakeholders of CAFO permits.	mkarcis@gmail.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
135	Mary	Chang	unk	BRWA	9/2/2024	Supports § VII(2), moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW. Supports public comments of BRWA. Extend public comment period.	mary.chang.02@gmail.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. The Department extended the comment period two weeks, to September 16, 2024. No changes to the rule are required.
136	Jenny	Amussen	unk	unk	9/9/2024	Supports § VII(2), moratorium on issuance of permits for CAFOs in the BRW.	jennyinthegarden@gmail.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
137	William	Laster	Little Rock	unk	9/9/2024	Supports § VII(2), moratorium on issuance of permits for CAFOs in the BRW.	elaster523@sbccglobal.net	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
138	Joe	Neal	Fayetteville	unk	9/9/2024	Supports § VII(2), moratorium on issuance of permits for CAFOs in the BRW.	joecneal@att.net	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
139	Anita	Schnee	Fayetteville	unk	9/9/2024	Supports § VII(2), moratorium on issuance of permits for CAFOs in the BRW.	unk - sent through Concerned Citizen Feedback	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
140	Keith	Peoples	Pelsor	BRWA Ozark Society	9/2/2024	Supports both Ozark Society and BRWA public comments.	kpeoples77@gmail.com	The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. This proposed rule retains the moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
141	Brian	Thompson	Fayetteville	BRWA Ozark Society, President	9/2/2024	Supports both Ozark Society and BRWA public comments. Has requested all the other comments available to him for review.	thompsonadcc@gmail.com	The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. This proposed rule retains the moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.

FName	LName	City/Cty	Association Referenced	Date Rec'd	Comment	Email	Department Response	
142	Glenda	Moore	unk	unk	9/16/2024	Supports keeping the current rules in place that require local notification of adjacent landowners and the community of any agricultural or industrial development in the early planning stages. Recommends extending the moratorium on CAFO operations in the BRW.	mooreglenda523@gmail.com	The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. This proposed rule retains the moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
143	JL	Titus	Fayetteville	BRWA	9/1/2024	Supports moratorium of CAFOs in the BRW. Extend public comment period.	jtitus2772@sbglobal.net	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department extended the comment period two weeks, to September 16, 2024. No changes to the rule are required.
144	Karin	Abenido	Maumelle	unk	9/10/2024	Supports moratorium on CAFO permits.	karin.abenido@gmail.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
145	Christopher	Blackall	unk	unk	9/2/2024	Supports moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW.	christopher.blackall@gmail.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
146	Mark	Brewer	Virginia	unk	9/2/2024	Supports moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW.	mbrewer582@gmail.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
147	Robert	Brewer	Fayetteville	unk	9/2/2024	Supports moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW.	rb84@icloud.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
148	Todd	Dannenfelser	Eureka Springs	unk	9/1/2024	Supports moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW.	tdannenfelser@gmail.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
149	Jose	de Arteaga	Washington , D.C.	unk	9/2/2024	Supports moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW.	kenn.jose@comcast.net	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
150	Crowley's Ridge Electrical Service, Inc.		unk	unk	9/8/2024	Supports moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW.	c_electricalserviceinc@yahoo.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
151	Rusty	Landry	unk	unk	9/1/2024	Supports moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW. Non-operational permits should be voided.	rlandri@me.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
152	Patrick	Lanford	unk	unk	9/1/2024	Supports moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW. Non-operational permits should be voided.	ecce38@gmail.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
153	Sarah	Lewis	Washington County	BRWA	9/3/2024	Supports moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW. Extend public comment period.	sarahelainelewis@gmail.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department extended the comment period two weeks, to September 16, 2024. No changes to the rule are required.
154	Gina	Booth	Marble Falls	unk	9/8/2024	Supports moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW. Opposes § II(3)(b), notification language. Broader notification system should be used.	gboothgbooth@gmail.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
155	Pamela	Stewart	Jasper	unk	9/1/2024	Supports moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW. Opposes § VII(3), no permit renewals or modification to reopen hog facilities in BRW. Opposes § II(3)(b), notification of permits should be available where the general public will see them.	jampack1@mac.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
156	Karin	Abenido	Maumelle	unk	9/7/2024	Supports moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW. Opposes § VII(3); language is not clear if the existing permits could be reactivated or could accept transferred waste, either is unacceptable. Opposes § II(3)(b); this is an inadequate notification procedure, need to incorporate the enhanced notification of 2014.	karin.abenido@gmail.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.

FName	LName	City/Cty	Association Referenced	Date Rec'd	Comment	Email	Department Response	
157	Mark	Cain	Huntsville	unk	9/12/2024	Supports moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW. Opposes § VII(3); language is not clear if the existing permits could be reactivated or could accept transferred waste, either is unacceptable. Opposes § II(3)(b); this is an inadequate notification procedure, need to incorporate the enhanced notification of 2014.	<p>unk-Rec'd by mail</p> <p>1558 CR 548 Huntsville, AR 72740</p>	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
158	Kay	Coley	Fayetteville	unk	9/12/2024	Supports moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW. Opposes § VII(3); language is not clear if the existing permits could be reactivated or could accept transferred waste, either is unacceptable. Opposes § II(3)(b); this is an inadequate notification procedure, need to incorporate the enhanced notification of 2014.	<p>unk-Rec'd by mail</p> <p>1027 N. Vandeventer Ave Fayetteville, AR 72701</p>	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
159	J.V.	Connors	Silver City, NM	unk	9/11/2024	Supports moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW. Opposes § VII(3); language is not clear if the existing permits could be reactivated or could accept transferred waste, either is unacceptable. Opposes § II(3)(b); this is an inadequate notification procedure, need to incorporate the enhanced notification of 2014.	<p>jvcphd@gmail.com</p>	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
160	Sarah	Diffin	Farmington	unk	9/13/2024	Supports moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW. Opposes § VII(3); language is not clear if the existing permits could be reactivated or could accept transferred waste, either is unacceptable. Opposes § II(3)(b); this is an inadequate notification procedure, need to incorporate the enhanced notification of 2014.	<p>unk-Rec'd by mail</p> <p>246 Wolfdale Rd Farmington, AR 72730</p>	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
161	Frank	Head, Jr.	Fayetteville	unk	9/5/2024	Supports moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW. Opposes § VII(3); language is not clear if the existing permits could be reactivated or could accept transferred waste, either is unacceptable. Opposes § II(3)(b); this is an inadequate notification procedure, need to incorporate the enhanced notification of 2014.	<p>ccisnw@gmail.com</p>	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
162	Frank	Head, Jr.	unk	unk	9/5/2024	Supports moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW. Opposes § VII(3); language is not clear if the existing permits could be reactivated or could accept transferred waste, either is unacceptable. Opposes § II(3)(b); this is an inadequate notification procedure, need to incorporate the enhanced notification of 2014.	<p>ccisnw@gmail.com</p>	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.

FName	LName	City/Cty	Association Referenced	Date Rec'd	Comment	Email	Department Response	
163	Taylor	Hills	Siloam Springs	unk	9/12/2024	Supports moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW. Opposes § VII(3); language is not clear if the existing permits could be reactivated or could accept transferred waste, either is unacceptable. Opposes § II(3)(b); this is an inadequate notification procedure, need to incorporate the enhanced notification of 2014.	unk-Rec'd by mail 19516 Walker Rd Siloam Springs, AR 72761	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
164	Barbara	Jaquish	Fayetteville	unk	9/5/2024	Supports moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW. Opposes § VII(3); language is not clear if the existing permits could be reactivated or could accept transferred waste, either is unacceptable. Opposes § II(3)(b); this is an inadequate notification procedure, need to incorporate the enhanced notification of 2014.	barbarajaquish@gmail.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
165	Helen	Kling	Jasper	unk	9/4/2024	Supports moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW. Opposes § VII(3); language is not clear if the existing permits could be reactivated or could accept transferred waste, either is unacceptable. Opposes § II(3)(b); this is an inadequate notification procedure, need to incorporate the enhanced notification of 2014.	dreamaphoenix@gmail.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
166	Barbara	LeRoy	unk	unk	9/11/2024	Supports moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW. Opposes § VII(3); language is not clear if the existing permits could be reactivated or could accept transferred waste, either is unacceptable. Opposes § II(3)(b); this is an inadequate notification procedure, need to incorporate the enhanced notification of 2014.	ayeshahagqqa@yahoo.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
167	Joe	Loper	Eureka Springs	unk	9/12/2024	Supports moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW. Opposes § VII(3); language is not clear if the existing permits could be reactivated or could accept transferred waste, either is unacceptable. Opposes § II(3)(b); this is an inadequate notification procedure, need to incorporate the enhanced notification of 2014.	unk-Rec'd by mail 34 Claymount St Eureka Springs, AR 72632	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
168	Dorothy	Neely	Fayetteville	unk	9/11/2024	Supports moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW. Opposes § VII(3); language is not clear if the existing permits could be reactivated or could accept transferred waste, either is unacceptable. Opposes § II(3)(b); this is an inadequate notification procedure, need to incorporate the enhanced notification of 2014.	unk-Rec'd by mail 1244 N Mission Blvd Fayetteville, AR 72701	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.

FName	LName	City/Cty	Association Referenced	Date Rec'd	Comment	Email	Department Response	
169	Jarrod	Phillips	Fayetteville	unk	9/12/2024	Supports moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW. Opposes § VII(3); language is not clear if the existing permits could be reactivated or could accept transferred waste, either is unacceptable. Opposes § II(3)(b); this is an inadequate notification procedure, need to incorporate the enhanced notification of 2014.	unk-Rec'd by mail 945 S. Elmhurst Ave Fayetteville, AR 72701	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
170	Shawn	Porter	Parthenon/Newton Cty	unk	9/4/2024	Supports moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW. Opposes § VII(3); language is not clear if the existing permits could be reactivated or could accept transferred waste, either is unacceptable. Opposes § II(3)(b); this is an inadequate notification procedure, need to incorporate the enhanced notification of 2014.	greensinger@fastmail.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
171	Annie	Sates	Prairie Grove	unk	9/12/2024	Supports moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW. Opposes § VII(3); language is not clear if the existing permits could be reactivated or could accept transferred waste, either is unacceptable. Opposes § II(3)(b); this is an inadequate notification procedure, need to incorporate the enhanced notification of 2014.	unk-Rec'd by mail 735 E. Parks St Prairie Grove, AR 72753	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
172	Sarah	Schoen	Farmington	unk	9/13/2024	Supports moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW. Opposes § VII(3); language is not clear if the existing permits could be reactivated or could accept transferred waste, either is unacceptable. Opposes § II(3)(b); this is an inadequate notification procedure, need to incorporate the enhanced notification of 2014.	unk-Rec'd by mail 246 Wolfdale Rd Farmington, AR 72730	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
173	Bonita Lou	Sharp	Tonitown	unk	9/12/2024	Supports moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW. Opposes § VII(3); language is not clear if the existing permits could be reactivated or could accept transferred waste, either is unacceptable. Opposes § II(3)(b); this is an inadequate notification procedure, need to incorporate the enhanced notification of 2014.	unk-Rec'd by mail 1738 Steele Rd Tonitown, AR 72762	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
174	Stephen	Smith	Fayetteville	unk	9/12/2024	Supports moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW. Opposes § VII(3); language is not clear if the existing permits could be reactivated or could accept transferred waste, either is unacceptable. Opposes § II(3)(b); this is an inadequate notification procedure, need to incorporate the enhanced notification of 2014.	unk-Rec'd by mail 1837 N Ripple Rd Fayetteville, AR 72704	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.

FName	LName	City/Cty	Association Referenced	Date Rec'd	Comment	Email	Department Response	
175	Nancy	Starr	West Fork	unk	9/12/2024	Supports moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW. Opposes § VII(3); language is not clear if the existing permits could be reactivated or could accept transferred waste, either is unacceptable. Opposes § II(3)(b); this is an inadequate notification procedure, need to incorporate the enhanced notification of 2014.	unk-Rec'd by mail	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
176	Linda	Roberts	Mountain Home	unk	9/7/2024	Supports moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW. Opposes § VII(3); language is not clear if the existing permits could be reactivated or could accept transferred waste, either is unacceptable. Opposes § II(3)(b); this is an inadequate notification procedure, need to incorporate the enhanced notification of 2014.	lewytiger@gmail.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
177	Teresa	Turk	Fayetteville	unk	9/11/2024	Supports moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW. Opposes § VII(3); language is not clear if the existing permits could be reactivated or could accept transferred waste, either is unacceptable. Opposes § II(3)(b); this is an inadequate notification procedure, need to incorporate the enhanced notification of 2014.	unk-Rec'd by mail 1408 W Cleveland St Fayetteville, AR 72701	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
178	Peggy	Vyncke	unk	unk	9/5/2024	Supports moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW. Opposes § VII(3); language is not clear if the existing permits could be reactivated or could accept transferred waste, either is unacceptable. Opposes § II(3)(b); this is an inadequate notification procedure, need to incorporate the enhanced notification of 2014.	vynckep@gmail.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
179	Amylou	Wilson	Fayetteville	unk	9/4/2024	Supports moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW. Opposes § VII(3); language is not clear if the existing permits could be reactivated or could accept transferred waste, either is unacceptable. Opposes § II(3)(b); this is an inadequate notification procedure, need to incorporate the enhanced notification of 2014.	hattiemcneil@hotmail.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
180	Susan	Wilson	Fayetteville	unk	9/13/2024	Supports moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW. Opposes § VII(3); language is not clear if the existing permits could be reactivated or could accept transferred waste, either is unacceptable. Opposes § II(3)(b); this is an inadequate notification procedure, need to incorporate the enhanced notification of 2014.	unk-Rec'd by Mail 1833 E. Applebry Dr. Fayetteville, AR 72701	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.

FName	LName	City/City	Association Referenced	Date Rec'd	Comment	Email	Department Response	
181	Jeanie	Wyant	Fayetteville	unk	9/12/2024	<p>Supports moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW.</p> <p>Opposes § VII(3); language is not clear if the existing permits could be reactivated or could accept transferred waste, either is unacceptable.</p> <p>Opposes § II(3)(b); this is an inadequate notification procedure, need to incorporate the enhanced notification of 2014.</p>	<p>unk-Rec'd by mail</p> <p>424 E Johnson St Fayetteville, AR 72701</p>	<p>This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.</p>
182	Stephen	Zisner	Fayetteville	unk	9/13/2024	<p>Supports moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW.</p> <p>Opposes § VII(3); language is not clear if the existing permits could be reactivated or could accept transferred waste, either is unacceptable.</p> <p>Opposes § II(3)(b); this is an inadequate notification procedure, need to incorporate the enhanced notification of 2014.</p>	<p>unk-Rec'd by mail</p> <p>14048 Cardinal Lane Fayetteville, AR 72704</p>	<p>This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.</p>
183	Lynn	Foster	Roland	unk	9/2/2024	<p>Supports moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW.</p> <p>Opposes § VII(3); Non-operational permits should be voided.</p> <p>Opposes § ii(3)(B); Additional notification should be sent to local stakeholders of CAFO permits.</p> <p>Opposes new language in Act 530 2023, § 15-20-111(d); every nutrient management plan should be subject to the FOIA.</p>	<p>lfoster5211@gmail.com</p>	<p>This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. Ark. Code Ann. § 15-20-111(d) states a nutrient management or a poultry litter management plan developed and approved under this subchapter is not a public record, shall not be made available for public inspection, and is exempt from the Freedom of Information Act of 1967, § 25-19-101 et seq. No changes to the rule are required.</p>
184	Mary	Chang	unk	BRWA	9/2/2024	<p>Supports moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW.</p> <p>Opposes § VII(3); non-operational permits should be voided.</p> <p>Opposes § II(3); additional notification should be sent to local stakeholders of CAFO permits.</p> <p>Supports full transparency of all permit records such as is currently available for Reg 5 permits under ADEQ.</p> <p>Opposes Act 530 of 2023; all permit records should be available under the FOIA.</p>	<p>mary.chang.02@gmail.com</p>	<p>This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. Ark. Code Ann. § 15-20-111(d) states a nutrient management or a poultry litter management plan developed and approved under this subchapter is not a public record, shall not be made available for public inspection, and is exempt from the Freedom of Information Act of 1967, § 25-19-101 et seq. No changes to the rule are required.</p>
185	Ellen	Cortley	Jasper	BRWA, Treasurer	9/15/2024	<p>Supports moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW.</p> <p>Opposes § VII(3); non-operational permits should be voided.</p> <p>Opposes § II(3); additional notification should be sent to local stakeholders of CAFO permits.</p> <p>Supports full transparency of all permit records such as is currently available for Reg 5 permits under ADEQ.</p> <p>Opposes Act 530 of 2023; all permit records should be available under the FOIA.</p>	<p>footholdfarm@yahoo.com</p>	<p>This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. Ark. Code Ann. § 15-20-111(d) states a nutrient management or a poultry litter management plan developed and approved under this subchapter is not a public record, shall not be made available for public inspection, and is exempt from the Freedom of Information Act of 1967, § 25-19-101 et seq. No changes to the rule are required.</p>

FName	LName	City/Cty	Association Referenced	Date Rec'd	Comment	Email	Department Response	
186	Jack	Stewart	Jasper	unk	9/2/2024	Supports moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW. Opposes notification language. Additional notification should be sent to local stakeholders of CAFO permits.	fellowshipofthewings@gmail.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
187	Erin	Wall	unk	BRWA	9/13/2024	Supports moratorium on liquid waste CAFOs within the BRW. Supports the position of the BRWA.	erinwall@gmail.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
188	Steve	Batloun	unk	unk	9/11/2024	Supports moving Reg. 5 to Department of Agriculture. The language in § VII has already been addressed by the legislature years ago and any language referring to a moratorium was supposed to be stricken from Reg. 5 (2019). Opposes this language since it singled out swine production and the CAFO language has been removed. There are poultry farms in operation in the watershed and there is no reasonable or scientific reason to believe that swine production would be any more harmful to the environment.	stevebatloun@gmail.com	The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
189	Carol	Florida	Fayetteville	Ozark Society	9/14/2024	Supports Ozark Society public comments re notification of local stakeholders in regarding to pending swine CAFO permits.	carolflorida9@icloud.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
190	Sue	Lukens	Mountain View	Ozark Society	9/15/2024	Supports Ozark Society public comments re notification of local stakeholders in regarding to pending swine CAFO permits.	suelegacy@icloud.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
191	Mary	Schlatterer	unk	Ozark Society, AR State Director	9/15/2024	Supports Ozark Society public comments re notification of local stakeholders in regarding to pending swine CAFO permits.	schlattererm@yahoo.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
192	Stephanie	Bentley	Springdale	Ozark Society	9/15/2024	Supports Ozark Society public comments that local stakeholders should be given advance notice about pending swine CAFO permits.	stephmacbentley@gmail.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.

FName	LName	City/Cty	Association Referenced	Date Rec'd	Comment	Email	Department Response	
193	Mary Beth	McDonald	Little Rock	Ozark Society, Pulaski Cty Chapt. Co-Chair	9/16/2024	Supports Ozark Society public comments that local stakeholders should be given advance notice about pending swine CAFO permits.	mbmcdonald55@gmail.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
194	Ashley	Eakin	unk	Ozark Society	9/13/2024	Supports Ozark Society public comments that notification of local stakeholders should remain in Reg 5.	ashleyaykin@gmail.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
195	Susan	Essman	unk	Ozark Society	9/13/2024	Supports Ozark Society public comments that notification of local stakeholders should remain in Reg 5.	esssman@mac.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
196	Carole Anne	Rose	Kingston	Ozark Society	9/13/2024	Supports Ozark Society public comments that notification of local stakeholders should remain in Reg 5.	swedencreekfarm@gmail.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
197	George	Wise	unk	Arkansas Sierra Club, Central Arkansas Group	9/13/2024	Supports Ozark Society public comments that notification of local stakeholders should remain in Reg 5.	hgcdwise@swbell.net	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
198	David	Peterson	Greenbrier	Ozark Society, Past President	9/13/2024	Supports Ozark Society public comments that notification of local stakeholders should remain in Reg 5. Is there a 2023 version of the Arkansas Poultry Litter Survey available?	dprdp@windstream.net	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
199	Ellen	Stern	unk	unk	9/11/2024	Supports permanent moratorium (§ VII(2)) on the issuance of permits for swine CAFOs in the BRW.	estern@aristotle.net	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
200	Mike	Kelly	Valley Springs	unk	9/13/2024	Supports permanent moratorium (§ VII(2)) on the issuance of permits for swine CAFOs in the BRW. Opposes language in § VII(3), it's unclear if the existing permits could be reactivated or they could accept transferred waste. Opposes notification language in § II(3)(b). In addition to the department's website the following needs to be included: Notification in state and local papers, letters to property owners adjacent to CAFO site and spreading fields, letters should be sent to county judges and quorum courts, mayors within 10 miles of facility and fields, superintendent of school districts that serve CAFO site and fields. All letters should be sent certified mail, return receipt requested.	mikekelly@yellville.net	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.

FName	LName	City/Cty	Association Referenced	Date Rec'd	Comment	Email	Department Response
201	Elva	Pera Kelly	unk	9/12/2024	<p>Supports permanent moratorium (§ VII(2)) on the issuance of permits for swine CAFOs in the BRW. Opposes language in § VII(3), it's unclear if the existing permits could be reactivated or they could accept transferred waste.</p> <p>Opposes notification language in § II(3)(b). In addition to the department's website the following needs to be included:</p> <p>Notification in state and local papers, letters to property owners adjacent to CAFO site and spreading fields, letters should be sent to county judges and quorum courts, mayors within 10 miles of facility and fields, superintendent of school districts that serve CAFO site and fields. All letters should be sent certified mail, return receipt requested.</p>	queenbee@yellvilje.net	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
202	Jan	Brown	unk	9/15/2024	<p>Supports permanent moratorium on liquid waste CAFOs in the BRW. Opposes § VII(3), it is not clear if the existing permits could be reactivated or if they could accept transferred waste either of which would not be acceptable. § II(3)(b), notification language is a wholly inadequate notification procedure. Public notifications should include notifications in state and local papers, to property owners adjacent to CAFO sites and spreading fields, to county judges and quorum courts, or if located within an area zoned by the city, notify the city zoning authority; mayors within 10 miles, superintendents of schools within 10 miles, all notification should be written and sent by certified mail with return receipt requested.</p>	janb36@hotmail.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
203	Rose	Gergerich	unk	9/16/2024	<p>Supports permanent moratorium on liquid waste CAFOs in the BRW. Opposes § VII(3), it is not clear if the existing permits could be reactivated or if they could accept transferred waste either of which would not be acceptable. § II(3)(b), notification language is a wholly inadequate notification procedure. Public notifications should include notifications in state and local papers, to property owners adjacent to CAFO sites and spreading fields, to county judges and quorum courts, or if located within an area zoned by the city, notify the city zoning authority; mayors within 10 miles, superintendents of schools within 10 miles, all notification should be written and sent by certified mail with return receipt requested.</p>	<p>unk-Rec'd by mail</p> <p>16610 S.Highway.265 West.Fork.AR.72774</p>	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
204	Wilma	Hintarthnar	unk	9/16/2024	<p>Supports permanent moratorium on liquid waste CAFOs in the BRW. Opposes § VII(3), it is not clear if the existing permits could be reactivated or if they could accept transferred waste either of which would not be acceptable. § II(3)(b), notification language is a wholly inadequate notification procedure. Public notifications should include notifications in state and local papers, to property owners adjacent to CAFO sites and spreading fields, to county judges and quorum courts, or if located within an area zoned by the city, notify the city zoning authority; mayors within 10 miles, superintendents of schools within 10 miles, all notification should be written and sent by certified mail with return receipt requested.</p>	<p>unk-Rec'd by mail</p> <p>1301 E.Sunny.Hill.Dr Fayetteville.AR.72703</p>	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
205	Steve	Holst	unk	9/16/2024	<p>Supports permanent moratorium on liquid waste CAFOs in the BRW. Opposes § VII(3), it is not clear if the existing permits could be reactivated or if they could accept transferred waste either of which would not be acceptable. § II(3)(b), notification language is a wholly inadequate notification procedure. Public notifications should include notifications in state and local papers, to property owners adjacent to CAFO sites and spreading fields, to county judges and quorum courts, or if located within an area zoned by the city, notify the city zoning authority; mayors within 10 miles, superintendents of schools within 10 miles, all notification should be written and sent by certified mail with return receipt requested.</p>	<p>unk-Rec'd by mail</p> <p>1301 Sunny.Hill.Dr Fayetteville.AR.72703</p>	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
206	Shane	Jetton	unk	9/15/2024	<p>Supports permanent moratorium on liquid waste CAFOs in the BRW. Opposes § VII(3), it is not clear if the existing permits could be reactivated or if they could accept transferred waste either of which would not be acceptable. § II(3)(b), notification language is a wholly inadequate notification procedure. Public notifications should include notifications in state and local papers, to property owners adjacent to CAFO sites and spreading fields, to county judges and quorum courts, or if located within an area zoned by the city, notify the city zoning authority; mayors within 10 miles, superintendents of schools within 10 miles, all notification should be written and sent by certified mail with return receipt requested.</p>	c.electricalserviceinc@yahoo.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.

FName	LName	City/Cty	Association Referenced	Date Rec'd	Comment	Email	Department Response	
207	Cindy	Jetton	Marshall	unk	9/15/2024	Supports permanent moratorium on liquid waste CAFOs in the BRW. Opposes § VII(3), it is not clear if the existing permits could be reactivated or if they could accept transferred waste either of which would not be acceptable. § II(3)(b), notification language is a wholly inadequate notification procedure. Public notifications should include notifications in state an local papers, to property owners adjacent to CAFO sites and spreading fields, to county judges and quorum courts, or if located within an area zoned by the city, notify the city zoning authority; mayors within 10 miles, superintendents of schools within 10 miles, all notification should be written and sent by certified mail with return receipt requested.	cindy_jetton@yahoo.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
208	Khalisa	Kitz	Fayetteville	unk	9/15/2024	Supports permanent moratorium on liquid waste CAFOs in the BRW. Opposes § VII(3), it is not clear if the existing permits could be reactivated or if they could accept transferred waste either of which would not be acceptable. § II(3)(b), notification language is a wholly inadequate notification procedure. Public notifications should include notifications in state an local papers, to property owners adjacent to CAFO sites and spreading fields, to county judges and quorum courts, or if located within an area zoned by the city, notify the city zoning authority; mayors within 10 miles, superintendents of schools within 10 miles, all notification should be written and sent by certified mail with return receipt requested.	khalisarose@gmail.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
209	Cheryl	McLoud	Fayetteville	unk	9/16/2024	Supports permanent moratorium on liquid waste CAFOs in the BRW. Opposes § VII(3), it is not clear if the existing permits could be reactivated or if they could accept transferred waste either of which would not be acceptable. § II(3)(b), notification language is a wholly inadequate notification procedure. Public notifications should include notifications in state an local papers, to property owners adjacent to CAFO sites and spreading fields, to county judges and quorum courts, or if located within an area zoned by the city, notify the city zoning authority; mayors within 10 miles, superintendents of schools within 10 miles, all notification should be written and sent by certified mail with return receipt requested.	unk-Rec'd by mail 5410 Wynne Rd Fayetteville, AR 72701	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
210	Donna	Muholian	Fayetteville	unk	9/16/2024	Supports permanent moratorium on liquid waste CAFOs in the BRW. Opposes § VII(3), it is not clear if the existing permits could be reactivated or if they could accept transferred waste either of which would not be acceptable. § II(3)(b), notification language is a wholly inadequate notification procedure. Public notifications should include notifications in state an local papers, to property owners adjacent to CAFO sites and spreading fields, to county judges and quorum courts, or if located within an area zoned by the city, notify the city zoning authority; mayors within 10 miles, superintendents of schools within 10 miles, all notification should be written and sent by certified mail with return receipt requested.	unk-Rec'd by mail 885 N Fritz Dr Fayetteville, AR 72701	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
211	Kelly	Muholian	Fayetteville	unk	9/16/2024	Supports permanent moratorium on liquid waste CAFOs in the BRW. Opposes § VII(3), it is not clear if the existing permits could be reactivated or if they could accept transferred waste either of which would not be acceptable. § II(3)(b), notification language is a wholly inadequate notification procedure. Public notifications should include notifications in state an local papers, to property owners adjacent to CAFO sites and spreading fields, to county judges and quorum courts, or if located within an area zoned by the city, notify the city zoning authority; mayors within 10 miles, superintendents of schools within 10 miles, all notification should be written and sent by certified mail with return receipt requested.	unk-Rec'd by mail 885 N Fritz Dr Fayetteville, AR 72701	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
212	Christy	Pollock	Fayetteville	unk	9/16/2024	Supports permanent moratorium on liquid waste CAFOs in the BRW. Opposes § VII(3), it is not clear if the existing permits could be reactivated or if they could accept transferred waste either of which would not be acceptable. § II(3)(b), notification language is a wholly inadequate notification procedure. Public notifications should include notifications in state an local papers, to property owners adjacent to CAFO sites and spreading fields, to county judges and quorum courts, or if located within an area zoned by the city, notify the city zoning authority; mayors within 10 miles, superintendents of schools within 10 miles, all notification should be written and sent by certified mail with return receipt requested.	unk-Rec'd by mail 1114 N Valley View Dr Fayetteville, AR 72701	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.

FName	LName	City/Cty	Association Referenced	Date Rec'd	Comment	Email	Department Response	
213	Ben	Pollock, Jr.	Fayetteville	unk	9/16/2024	Supports permanent moratorium on liquid waste CAFOs in the BRW. Opposes § VII(3), it is not clear if the existing permits could be reactivated or if they could accept transferred waste either of which would not be acceptable. § II(3)(b), notification language is a wholly inadequate notification procedure. Public notifications should include notifications in state and local papers, to property owners adjacent to CAFO sites and spreading fields, to county judges and quorum courts, or if located within an area zoned by the city, notify the city zoning authority; mayors within 10 miles, superintendents of schools within 10 miles, all notification should be written and sent by certified mail with return receipt requested.	<p>unk-Rec'd by mail</p> <p>1114 N Valley View Dr Fayetteville, AR 72701</p>	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
214	Deanna	Shields	Fayetteville	unk	9/16/2024	Supports permanent moratorium on liquid waste CAFOs in the BRW. Opposes § VII(3), it is not clear if the existing permits could be reactivated or if they could accept transferred waste either of which would not be acceptable. § II(3)(b), notification language is a wholly inadequate notification procedure. Public notifications should include notifications in state and local papers, to property owners adjacent to CAFO sites and spreading fields, to county judges and quorum courts, or if located within an area zoned by the city, notify the city zoning authority; mayors within 10 miles, superintendents of schools within 10 miles, all notification should be written and sent by certified mail with return receipt requested.	<p>unk-Rec'd by mail</p> <p>22 E 5th Fayetteville, AR 72701</p>	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
215	Carrie	Trinka	unk	unk	9/14/2024	Supports permanent moratorium on liquid waste CAFOs in the BRW. Opposes § VII(3), it is not clear if the existing permits could be reactivated or if they could accept transferred waste either of which would not be acceptable. § II(3)(b), notification language is a wholly inadequate notification procedure. Public notifications should include notifications in state and local papers, to property owners adjacent to CAFO sites and spreading fields, to county judges and quorum courts, or if located within an area zoned by the city, notify the city zoning authority; mayors within 10 miles, superintendents of schools within 10 miles, all notification should be written and sent by certified mail with return receipt requested.	carrietrinka@gmail.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
216	Carrie	Marry	Eureka Springs	unk	9/15/2024	Supports permanent moratorium on the issuance of permits for swine CAFOs in the BNRW.	carrie_marry_handwoven@yahoo.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
217	Margaret	Konert	Fayetteville	unk	9/13/2024	Supports permanent moratorium on the issuance of permits for swine CAFOs in the BRW. Opposes language in § VII(3), it's unclear if the existing permits could be reactivated or they could accept transferred waste. Opposes notification language in § II(3)(b). In addition to the department's website the following needs to be included: Notification in state and local papers, letters to property owners adjacent to CAFO site and spreading fields, letters should be sent to county judges and quorum courts, mayors within 10 miles of facility and fields, superintendent of school districts that serve CAFO site and fields. All letters should be sent certified mail, return receipt requested.	pegkonert@gmail.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
218	David	Malm	Jasper	unk	9/16/2024	Supports permanent moratorium on the issuance of permits for swine CAFOs in the BRW. Opposes language in § VII(3), it's unclear if the existing permits could be reactivated or they could accept transferred waste. Opposes notification language in § II(3)(b). In addition to the department's website the following needs to be included: Notification in state and local papers, letters to property owners adjacent to CAFO site and spreading fields, letters should be sent to county judges and quorum courts, mayors within 10 miles of facility and fields, superintendent of school districts that serve CAFO site and fields. All letters should be sent certified mail, return receipt requested.	<p>unk-Rec'd by mail</p> <p>HC 70, Box 592 Jasper, AR 72641</p>	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
219	Arleen	Olson	Fayetteville	unk	9/16/2024	Supports permanent moratorium on the issuance of permits for swine CAFOs in the BRW. Opposes language in § VII(3), it's unclear if the existing permits could be reactivated or they could accept transferred waste. Opposes notification language in § II(3)(b). In addition to the department's website the following needs to be included: Notification in state and local papers, letters to property owners adjacent to CAFO site and spreading fields, letters should be sent to county judges and quorum courts, mayors within 10 miles of facility and fields, superintendent of school districts that serve CAFO site and fields. All letters should be sent certified mail, return receipt requested.	<p>unk-Rec'd by mail</p> <p>2917 N. Mayberry Lane Fayetteville, AR 72703</p>	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.

FName	LName	City/Cty	Association Referenced	Date Rec'd	Comment	Email	Department Response	
220	Josh	McMahan	unk	unk	9/15/2024	Supports proper notice for all major development is crucial to our natural state.	mcmahan4@windstream.net	The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
221	Kathy	Downs	Jasper	BRWA	9/15/2024	Supports public comments made by BRWA. Supports permanent moratorium on liquid waste CAFOs in the BRW. Opposes § VII(3), it is not clear if the existing permits could be reactivated or if they could accept transferred waste either of which would not be acceptable Opposes language in § II(3)(b), the proposed language is inadequate in terms of transparency and public notice. BRWA has concerns about the impact that Act 530 of 2023 will have on the public's right to know about permitting and enforcement under this rule.	anitendron@gmail.com	The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. Ark. Code Ann. § 15-20-1111(d) states a nutrient management or a poultry litter management plan developed and approved under this subchapter is not a public record, shall not be made available for public inspection, and is exempt from the Freedom of Information Act of 1967, § 25-19-101 et seq. No changes to the rule are required.
222	Edana	Hale	Newton Cty	BRWA	9/10/2024	Supports public comments made by BRWA. Supports permanent moratorium on liquid waste CAFOs in the BRW. Opposes § VII(3), it is not clear if the existing permits could be reactivated or if they could accept transferred waste either of which would not be acceptable. § II(3)(b), notification language is a wholly inadequate notification procedure. Public notifications should include notifications in state an local papers, to property owners adjacent to CAFO sites and spreading fields, to county judges and quorum courts, or if located within an area zoned by the city, notify the city zoning authority; mayors within 10 miles, superintendents of schools within 10 miles, all notification should be written and sent by certified mail with return receipt requested.	edanahale@gmail.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
223	Sonya	Jorgensen	unk	BRWA	9/14/2024	Supports public comments made by BRWA. Supports permanent moratorium on liquid waste CAFOs in the BRW. Opposes § VII(3), it is not clear if the existing permits could be reactivated or if they could accept transferred waste either of which would not be acceptable Opposes language in § II(3)(b), the proposed language is inadequate in terms of transparency and public notice. BRWA has concerns about the impact that Act 530 of 2023 will have on the public's right to know about permitting and enforcement under this rule.	sonyajorgensen08@gmail.com	The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. Ark. Code Ann. § 15-20-1111(d) states a nutrient management or a poultry litter management plan developed and approved under this subchapter is not a public record, shall not be made available for public inspection, and is exempt from the Freedom of Information Act of 1967, § 25-19-101 et seq. No changes to the rule are required.
224	Fay	Knox	Deer	BRWA	9/15/2024	Supports public comments made by BRWA. Supports permanent moratorium on liquid waste CAFOs in the BRW. Opposes § VII(3), it is not clear if the existing permits could be reactivated or if they could accept transferred waste either of which would not be acceptable. § II(3)(b), notification language is a wholly inadequate notification procedure. Public notifications should include notifications in state an local papers, to property owners adjacent to CAFO sites and spreading fields, to county judges and quorum courts, or if located within an area zoned by the city, notify the city zoning authority; mayors within 10 miles, superintendents of schools within 10 miles, all notification should be written and sent by certified mail with return receipt requested.	carolyn.fay.knox@gmail.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.

FName	LName	City/Cty	Association Referenced	Date Rec'd	Comment	Email	Department Response	
225	Marti	Olesen	Ponca	BRWA, Vice President	9/14/2024	Supports public comments made by BRWA. Supports permanent moratorium on liquid waste CAFOs in the BRW. Opposes § VII(3), it is not clear if the existing permits could be reactivated or if they could accept transferred waste either of which would not be acceptable Opposes language in § II(3)(b), the proposed language is inadequate in terms of transparency and public notice. BRWA has concerns about the impact that Act 530 of 2023 will have on the public's right to know about permitting and enforcement under this rule.	molesen12@gmail.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. Ark. Code Ann. § 15-20-1111(d) states a nutrient management or a poultry litter management plan developed and approved under this subchapter is not a public record, shall not be made available for public inspection, and is exempt from the Freedom of Information Act of 1967, § 25-19-101 et seq. No changes to the rule are required.
226	Nonah	Olesen	unk	BRWA	9/14/2024	Supports public comments made by BRWA. Supports permanent moratorium on liquid waste CAFOs in the BRW. Opposes § VII(3), it is not clear if the existing permits could be reactivated or if they could accept transferred waste either of which would not be acceptable Opposes language in § II(3)(b), the proposed language is inadequate in terms of transparency and public notice. BRWA has concerns about the impact that Act 530 of 2023 will have on the public's right to know about permitting and enforcement under this rule.	nonaholesen@gmail.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. Ark. Code Ann. § 15-20-1111(d) states a nutrient management or a poultry litter management plan developed and approved under this subchapter is not a public record, shall not be made available for public inspection, and is exempt from the Freedom of Information Act of 1967, § 25-19-101 et seq. No changes to the rule are required.
227	William	Baker	unk	BRWA Ozark Society	9/12/2024	Supports public comments made by the Ozark Society and the BRWA. Notification of local stakeholders re pending permits for swine CAFOs should remain. Supports permanent moratorium on issuance of swine CAFOs in the BRW.	wlbaker001@gmail.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
228	Debbie	Alexy	Fayetteville	BRWA	9/11/2024	Supports public comments of BRWA.	alexylad@outlook.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
229	Brad	Barnes	unk	BRWA	8/31/2024	Supports public comments of BRWA.	ozarkking@gmail.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
230	Chuck	Bitting	unk	BRWA	8/31/2024	Supports public comments of BRWA.	ibitting@gmail.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.

FName	LName	City/Cty	Association Referenced	Date Rec'd	Comment	Email	Department Response	
231	Victoria	Bransford McClendon	Fayetteville	BRWA	9/1/2024	Supports public comments of BRWA.	viktorialeigh@gmail.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
232	Victoria	Bransford McClendon	Fayetteville	BRWA	9/1/2024	Supports public comments of BRWA.	viktorialeigh@gmail.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
233	Ed	Brocksmith	Tahlequah, OK	BRWA	9/1/2024	Supports public comments of BRWA.	info@illinoisriver.org	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
234	Ed	Brocksmith	Tahlequah, OK	Save the Illinois River, Inc. (STIR)	9/2/2024	Supports public comments of BRWA.	info@illinoisriver.org	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
235	Shelley	Buonaiuto	Fayetteville	BRWA	9/2/2024	Supports public comments of BRWA.	goodhelp@cybermesa.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
236	Kathy	Downs	Jasper	BRWA	9/2/2024	Supports public comments of BRWA.	anitendron@gmail.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
237	Dell	Eddins	Goshen	BRWA	9/2/2024	Supports public comments of BRWA.	cronemano@gmail.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.

FName	LName	City/Cty	Association Referenced	Date Rec'd	Comment	Email	Department Response	
238	Daniel	Estes	unk	BRWA	8/31/2024	Supports public comments of BRWA.	thedanielestes@yahoo.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
239	Keith	Faulkner	unk	BRWA	9/1/2024	Supports public comments of BRWA.	kfaulkner1000@gmail.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
240	Wendy	Finn	unk	BRWA	9/1/2024	Supports public comments of BRWA.	finnality@hotmail.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
241	Amanda	Foust	unk	BRWA	9/2/2024	Supports public comments of BRWA.	amanda.foust83@gmail.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
242	Doug	George	unk	BRWA	9/1/2024	Supports public comments of BRWA.	dgeorge2@gmail.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
243	Edana	Hale	Newton Cty	BRWA	9/10/2024	Supports public comments of BRWA.	edanahale@gmail.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
244	Louise	Halsey	Ozark	Ozark Society	9/2/2024	Supports public comments of BRWA.	louisemhalsey@gmail.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.

FName	LName	City/Cty	Association Referenced	Date Rec'd	Comment	Email	Department Response	
245	Rachel	Henriques	Mt. Judea	BRWA	9/2/2024	Supports public comments of BRWA.	henriques.ra@gmail.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
246	Nan	Johnson	Eureka Springs	BRWA	9/1/2024	Supports public comments of BRWA.	nan.n.johnson@gmail.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
247	Dennis	Larson	unk	BRWA	9/5/2024	Supports public comments of BRWA.	ozarklarsons@gmail.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
248	Ginny	Masullo	Fayetteville	BRWA, Secretary	9/1/2024	Supports public comments of BRWA.	masulloginny42@gmail.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
249	Ann	Mesrobian	Fayetteville	BRWA	9/1/2024	Supports public comments of BRWA.	mesrobiana@gmail.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
250	Ginger	Milan	unk	BRWA	9/1/2024	Supports public comments of BRWA.	gmilan1953@gmail.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
251	Ellen	Mitchell	Elkins	BRWA	8/31/2024	Supports public comments of BRWA.	ellen@ellenmitchell.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.

FName	LName	City/Cty	Association Referenced	Date Rec'd	Comment	Email	Department Response	
252	Joseph	Morgan	Jasper	BRWA	8/31/2024	Supports public comments of BRWA.	josephssemorgan@gmail.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
253	Elizabeth	Murdoch	unk	BRWA	9/2/2024	Supports public comments of BRWA.	bmurdoch@uark.edu	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
254	John	Murdoch	Wesley	BRWA	9/2/2024	Supports public comments of BRWA.	jmurdoch3@gmail.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
255	John	Murdock	Wesley	BRWA	9/2/2024	Supports public comments of BRWA.	jmurdoch3@gmail.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
256	Susan	Parker	unk	BRWA	9/1/2024	Supports public comments of BRWA.	skparker27@yahoo.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
257	Scott	Parson	Rogersville, MO	BRWA	8/31/2024	Supports public comments of BRWA.	scottparson485@gmail.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
258	Rex	Porter	unk	BRWA	8/31/2024	Supports public comments of BRWA.	rexp@mtnhome.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.

FName	LName	City/Cty	Association Referenced	Date Rec'd	Comment	Email	Department Response	
259	Mark	Richards	Rogers	BRWA	9/1/2024	Supports public comments of BRWA.	richardsmt@aol.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
260	George	Roe	Lake Ozark, MO	BRWA	8/31/2024	Supports public comments of BRWA.	datadrudge@gmail.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
261	Susan	Siegele	Huntsville	BRWA	9/2/2024	Supports public comments of BRWA.	susymike2@gmail.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
262	Roxanne	Thompson	unk	BRWA	8/31/2024	Supports public comments of BRWA.	rocksy.rt@gmail.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
263	Shelley	Trost	unk	BRWA	8/31/2024	Supports public comments of BRWA.	shelley7922@gmail.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
264	JC	Villines	unk	BRWA	9/1/2024	Supports public comments of BRWA.	jc@curbappealroofing.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
265	Gordon	Watkins	Jasper	BRWA (President)	9/1/2024	Supports public comments of BRWA.	buffalowatershed@gmail.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.

FName	LName	City/Cty	Association Referenced	Date Rec'd	Comment	Email	Department Response	
266	Sara	White	unk	BRWA	9/4/2024	Supports public comments of BRWA.	raynb@yahoo.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
267	Roy	Wilson	Sheridan	BRWA	9/1/2024	Supports public comments of BRWA.	roywilson@windstream.net	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
268	Duane	Woltjen	Fayetteville	BRWA	9/1/2024	Supports public comments of BRWA.	ozarktraveler1@att.net	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
269	Carole Anne	Rose	Kingston	BRWA	9/2/2024	Supports public comments of BRWA. Extend public comment period.	c2@theold78s.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. The Department extended the comment period two weeks, to September 16, 2024. No changes to the rule are required.
270	Stephanie	Bentley	Springdale	BRWA	9/1/2024	Supports public comments of BRWA. Extend public comment period.	stephmacbentley@gmail.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. The Department extended the comment period two weeks, to September 16, 2024. No changes to the rule are required.
271	Lynn	Christie	unk	unk	9/2/2024	Supports public comments of BRWA. Extend public comment period.	christie-j@att.net	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. The Department extended the comment period two weeks, to September 16, 2024. No changes to the rule are required.
272	Katie	Deakins	Harrison	BRWA	9/2/2024	Supports public comments of BRWA. Extend public comment period.	kdnote@yahoo.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. The Department extended the comment period two weeks, to September 16, 2024. No changes to the rule are required.

FName	LName	City/Cty	Association Referenced	Date Rec'd	Comment	Email	Department Response	
273	Robin	Hickerson	unk	BRWA	9/2/2024	Supports public comments of BRWA. Extend public comment period.	rhickerson@comcast.net	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. The Department extended the comment period two weeks, to September 16, 2024. No changes to the rule are required.
274	Lisa	Orton	Fayetteville	BRWA Ozark Society	9/2/2024	Supports public comments of BRWA. Supports public comments of Ozark Society re notification language.	lisa.m.orton@yahoo.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
275	John	Adam	unk	Ozark Society	9/2/2024	Supports public comments of Ozark Society re notification language.	johnadam@live.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
276	Deb	Bartholomew	Bentonville	Ozark Society	8/30/2024	Supports public comments of Ozark Society re notification language.	texasred@yahoo.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
277	Joshua	Black	Boone Cty	Ozark Society	9/7/2024	Supports public comments of Ozark Society re notification language.	jblackbusiness@gmail.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
278	Steve	Blumreich	Mountain Home	Friends of the North Fork and White Rivers Ozark Society	9/2/2024	Supports public comments of Ozark Society re notification language.	sblum1326@gmail.com	The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
279	Rebecca	Bryant	Fayetteville	Ozark Society	9/2/2024	Supports public comments of Ozark Society re notification language.	r.bryant@icloud.com	The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.

FName	LName	City/Cty	Association Referenced	Date Rec'd	Comment	Email	Department Response	
280	Shannon	Card	unk	Ozark Society	9/2/2024	Supports public comments of Ozark Society re notification language.	shannonrcard@gmail.com	The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
281	Clayton	Davis	Fayetteville	Ozark Society	9/2/2024	Supports public comments of Ozark Society re notification language.	yanrid@gmail.com	The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
282	Mark	Degginger	Jasper	Ozark Society	9/1/2024	Supports public comments of Ozark Society re notification language.	markdegginger@gmail.com	The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
283	Gene	Dunaway	Mountain View	Ozark Society	9/2/2024	Supports public comments of Ozark Society re notification language.	gene.dunaway@gmail.com	The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
284	Tim	Eubanks	unk	Ozark Society	9/3/2024	Supports public comments of Ozark Society re notification language.	timeubanks62@gmail.com	The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
285	Michael	Farar	Fox	Ozark Society	9/8/2024	Supports public comments of Ozark Society re notification language.	hbitsko@gmail.com	The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
286	Nancy	Garner	unk	Ozark Society	8/31/2024	Supports public comments of Ozark Society re notification language.	beingnancy03@arkansas.net	The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
287	Sara	Gibbs	Fayetteville	Ozark Society	9/2/2024	Supports public comments of Ozark Society re notification language.	saralanegibbs@gmail.com	The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.

FName	LName	City/Cty	Association Referenced	Date Rec'd	Comment	Email	Department Response	
288	Brad	Green	unk	Ozark Society	8/31/2024	Supports public comments of Ozark Society re notification language.	bradgreen27@gmail.com	The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
289	Don	House	Fayetteville	Ozark Society	9/8/2024	Supports public comments of Ozark Society re notification language.	dhouse@nwark.com	The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
290	Sue	Hubbard	Eureka Springs	Ozark Society	8/30/2024	Supports public comments of Ozark Society re notification language.	shubbard@redshift.com	The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
291	Steven	Jarvis	Fayetteville	Ozark Society	8/30/2024	Supports public comments of Ozark Society re notification language.	sjarvis@jarvis.com	The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
292	Marysia	Jastrzebski	Jasper	Ozark Society	9/2/2024	Supports public comments of Ozark Society re notification language.	marysjast@yahoo.com	The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
293	Shane	Jetton	Searcy Cty	Ozark Society	8/31/2024	Supports public comments of Ozark Society re notification language.	c.electricalserviceinc@yahoo.com	The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
294	Cindy	Jetton	Searcy Cty	Ozark Society	8/31/2024	Supports public comments of Ozark Society re notification language.	cindy.jetton@yahoo.com	The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
295	Marian	Johnson	Bryant/Saline Cty	Ozark Society	9/2/2024	Supports public comments of Ozark Society re notification language.	mannej@gmail.com	The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.

FName	LName	City/Cty	Association Referenced	Date Rec'd	Comment	Email	Department Response	
296	Cris	Jones	unk	Ozark Society	8/30/2024	Supports public comments of Ozark Society re notification language.	crisjonescpa@yahoo.com	The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
297	Monty	Keel	Rogers	Ozark Society	8/30/2024	Supports public comments of Ozark Society re notification language.	montykeel@protonmail.com	The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
298	Bert	Kell	unk	Ozark Society	8/30/2024	Supports public comments of Ozark Society re notification language.	hkell@arvest.com	The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
299	Kenny	Kendrick	unk	Ozark Society	9/2/2024	Supports public comments of Ozark Society re notification language.	kendrick.kenny@att.net	The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
300	Gordon	King	Norfolk	Ozark Society	9/2/2024	Supports public comments of Ozark Society re notification language.	gordonking@gmail.com	The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
301	Bettie Lu	Lancaster	unk	Ozark Society	9/2/2024	Supports public comments of Ozark Society re notification language.	bettielulancaster@gmail.com	The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
302	Jim	Langford	unk	Ozark Society	9/2/2024	Supports public comments of Ozark Society re notification language.	jvlangford14@gmail.com	The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
303	Denise	Lanuti	unk	Ozark Society	9/2/2024	Supports public comments of Ozark Society re notification language.	dlanuti@gmail.com	The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.

FName	LName	City/Cty	Association Referenced	Date Rec'd	Comment	Email	Department Response	
304	Kenny	Leonard	Bentonville	Ozark Society	9/1/2024	Supports public comments of Ozark Society re notification language.	kenleopard57@gmail.com	The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
305	Sue	Lukens	Mountain View	Ozark Society	9/2/2024	Supports public comments of Ozark Society re notification language.	suelegacy@icloud.com	The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
306	Sue	Mabry	Eureka Springs	Ozark Society	8/30/2024	Supports public comments of Ozark Society re notification language.	mabrysue@gmail.com	The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
307	Mike	McKinnon	Bentonville	Ozark Society	9/1/2024	Supports public comments of Ozark Society re notification language.	mikemckinnon1@gmail.com	The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
308	Cheryl	McLoud	unk	Ozark Society	8/30/2024	Supports public comments of Ozark Society re notification language.	cheryl.mcloud@gmail.com	The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
309	Francis	Millett	Fayetteville	Ozark Society	9/2/2024	Supports public comments of Ozark Society re notification language.	millett@uark.edu	The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
310	Jeff	Montgomery	unk	Ozark Society	9/2/2024	Supports public comments of Ozark Society re notification language.	jeffmontgomery@earthlink.net	The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
311	Paul	Moore	unk	Ozark Society	8/30/2024	Supports public comments of Ozark Society re notification language.	pmoore1@yahoo.com	The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.

FName	LName	City/Cty	Association Referenced	Date Rec'd	Comment	Email	Department Response	
312	Judith	Nail	Mountain Home	Ozark Society	8/30/2024	Supports public comments of Ozark Society re notification language.	jnail1113@gmail.com	The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
313	Janet	Parsch	Fayetteville	Ozark Society	9/2/2024	Supports public comments of Ozark Society re notification language.	jparsch@uark.edu	The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
314	Lucas	Parsch	Fayetteville	Ozark Society	9/2/2024	Supports public comments of Ozark Society re notification language.	lparsch@uark.edu	The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
315	David	Peterson	Greenbrier	Ozark Society, Past President	9/2/2024	Supports public comments of Ozark Society re notification language.	drpdtp@windstream.net	The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
316	Drew	Pierce	Cotter	Ozark Society	9/2/2024	Supports public comments of Ozark Society re notification language.	driftydrew@yahoo.com	The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
317	Nancy	Pierson	Gentry	Ozark Society	8/30/2024	Supports public comments of Ozark Society re notification language.	bowensource@yahoo.com	The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
318	Tom	Rimkus	Huntsville	Ozark Society	9/8/2024	Supports public comments of Ozark Society re notification language.	trimkus@gmail.com	The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
319	Bob	Ross	Rogers	Ozark Society	8/30/2024	Supports public comments of Ozark Society re notification language.	bob.cathy.ross@gmail.com	The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.

FName	LName	City/Cty	Association Referenced	Date Rec'd	Comment	Email	Department Response	
320	Cathy	Ross	Rogers	Ozark Society	8/30/2024	Supports public comments of Ozark Society re notification language.	cathyconnellyross@gmail.com	The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
321	Max	Run	unk	Ozark Society	8/30/2024	Supports public comments of Ozark Society re notification language.	maxrun@live.com	The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
322	Tracy	Skaggs	Benton	Ozark Society	8/30/2024	Supports public comments of Ozark Society re notification language.	tracy@james-ins.com	The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
323	LuAnn	Smith-Lacy	unk	Ozark Society	9/2/2024	Supports public comments of Ozark Society re notification language.	nanosecia@yahoo.com	The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
324	Rick	Spicer	unk	Ozark Society	8/31/2024	Supports public comments of Ozark Society re notification language.	rick@packratoc.com	The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
325	Sarah	Thompson	Fayetteville	Ozark Society	8/30/2024	Supports public comments of Ozark Society re notification language.	b.s.thompson@hotmail.com	The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
326	Kathleen	Trotter	Lowell	Ozark Society	8/31/2024	Supports public comments of Ozark Society re notification language.	kalkathy@aol.com	The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
327	Faron	Usrey	Carrollton	Ozark Society	9/2/2024	Supports public comments of Ozark Society re notification language.	mtrnanger@live.com	The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.

FName	LName	City/Cty	Association Referenced	Date Rec'd	Comment	Email	Department Response	
328	William	Wiedower	Little Rock	Ozark Society	9/2/2024	Supports public comments of Ozark Society re notification language.	bill@hwarch.com	The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
329	Terry	Yocham	unk	Ozark Society	9/8/2024	Supports public comments of Ozark Society re notification language.	tavocham@gmail.com	The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
330	Bruce	Adib-Yazdi	Springfield, MO	Ozark Society	9/11/2024	Supports public comments of Ozark Society.	bruce.adib.yazdi@gmail.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
331	Leah	Allen	Fayetteville	Ozark Society	9/2/2024	Supports public comments of Ozark Society.	leahgallen@gmail.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
332	Adam	Bernbach	unk	Ozark Society	9/2/2024	Supports public comments of Ozark Society.	ariam.bernbach@gmail.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
333	Sage	Billig	Fayetteville	Ozark Society	9/10/2024	Supports public comments of Ozark Society.	sagellah@gmail.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
334	Trevor	Daugherty	unk	Ozark Society	9/1/2024	Supports public comments of Ozark Society.	tddaugh1991@cloud.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.

FName	LName	City/Cty	Association Referenced	Date Rec'd	Comment	Email	Department Response	
335	Ed	Delauter	Mountain View	Ozark Society	9/1/2024	Supports public comments of Ozark Society.	edthewino@gmail.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
336	Mary	Droho	Gentry	Ozark Society	9/1/2024	Supports public comments of Ozark Society.	mdroho@hotmail.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
337	Taylor	Dye	unk	Ozark Society	9/11/2024	Supports public comments of Ozark Society.	taylor.dye@ozarklandtrust.org	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
338	Barbara	Fell	Fayetteville	Ozark Society	9/1/2024	Supports public comments of Ozark Society.	bdjnfay@yahoo.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
339	Laurie	Fisher	unk	Ozark Society	9/2/2024	Supports public comments of Ozark Society.	lsfisher60@yahoo.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
340	Jesse	Fite	unk	Ozark Society	9/11/2024	Supports public comments of Ozark Society.	jessegenfite@yahoo.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
341	Missy	Gocio	unk	Ozark Society	9/11/2024	Supports public comments of Ozark Society.	mjgocio@gmail.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.

FName	LName	City/Cty	Association Referenced	Date Rec'd	Comment	Email	Department Response	
342	Carol	Gray Hutto	Clinton	Ozark Society	9/1/2024	Supports public comments of Ozark Society.	cpghutto@gmail.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
343	Phyllis	Head	unk	Ozark Society	9/1/2024	Supports public comments of Ozark Society.	brooklyngirl951@gmail.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
344	Frank	Head, Jr.	unk	Ozark Society	9/1/2024	Supports public comments of Ozark Society.	ccisnw@gmail.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
345	Mary Helen	Henry	Little Rock	Ozark Society	9/11/2024	Supports public comments of Ozark Society.	maryhelenh@gmail.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
346	Nora	Hinton	unk	Ozark Society	9/11/2024	Supports public comments of Ozark Society.	maplesorganics@yahoo.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
347	Dottie	Hobbs	Fort Smith	Ozark Society	9/1/2024	Supports public comments of Ozark Society.	sthobbs@cox.net	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
348	Shawn	Hunter	unk	Ozark Society	9/1/2024	Supports public comments of Ozark Society.	barefootnwa@gmail.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.

FName	LName	City/Cty	Association Referenced	Date Rec'd	Comment	Email	Department Response	
349	Shawn	Hunter	Gentry/Benton Cty	Ozark Society	9/10/2024	Supports public comments of Ozark Society.	barefootnwa@gmail.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
350	Stephanie	Hymel	unk	Ozark Society	9/11/2024	Supports public comments of Ozark Society.	shymel61@shcglobal.net	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
351	Tammy	Jernigan		Ozark Society/Bayour Chapter	9/10/2024	Supports public comments of Ozark Society.	tjern88@hotmail.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
352	Beth	Keck	Bentonville	Ozark Society	9/2/2024	Supports public comments of Ozark Society.	bethkeck719@gmail.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
353	Leslie	Kent	unk	unk	9/10/2024	Supports public comments of Ozark Society.	tkent9978@gmail.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
354	Miranda	Kohout	Springdale	Ozark Society	9/1/2024	Supports public comments of Ozark Society.	miranda.kowalczyk@gmail.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
355	Janice	LaBrie	unk	Ozark Society	9/11/2024	Supports public comments of Ozark Society.	labriedc@hotmail.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.

FName	LName	City/Cty	Association Referenced	Date Rec'd	Comment	Email	Department Response	
356	Ben	Landry	unk	Ozark Society	9/11/2024	Supports public comments of Ozark Society.	btland10@gmail.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
357	Carole	Lane	unk	Ozark Society	9/1/2024	Supports public comments of Ozark Society.	clane@uark.edu	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
358	Anastacia	Lincoln	unk	Ozark Society	9/11/2024	Supports public comments of Ozark Society.	lincolnanastacia@uams.edu	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
359	Barry	Martindale	unk	Ozark Society	9/2/2024	Supports public comments of Ozark Society.	4barrymartindale@gmail.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
360	Terrie	Martindale	Harrison	Ozark Society	9/1/2024	Supports public comments of Ozark Society.	tmusicus@yahoo.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
361	Timothy	Mason	unk	Ozark Society, Vice President	9/10/2024	Supports public comments of Ozark Society.	tamason8794@gmail.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
362	Katrina	McClane	Rogers	Ozark Society	9/11/2024	Supports public comments of Ozark Society.	kmncclane@gmail.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.

FName	LName	City/Cty	Association Referenced	Date Rec'd	Comment	Email	Department Response	
363	Tom	McClure	Rogers	Ozark Society	9/1/2024	Supports public comments of Ozark Society.	caddo716@hotmail.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
364	Patricia	McKeown	Fayetteville	Ozark Society	8/31/2024	Supports public comments of Ozark Society.	pat5802@cox.net	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
365	Philip	Milan	unk	Ozark Society	9/2/2024	Supports public comments of Ozark Society.	gmilan1953@gmail.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
366	Paul	Moore	unk	Ozark Society	9/11/2024	Supports public comments of Ozark Society.	pmooretx1@yahoo.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
367	Arthur	Morgan	Rogers	Ozark Society	8/31/2024	Supports public comments of Ozark Society.	axm02@uark.edu	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
368	Dawn	Nahlen	unk	Ozark Society	9/11/2024	Supports public comments of Ozark Society.	d.nahlen@elsevier.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
369	Janet	Nye	Gilbert	Ozark Society	9/2/2024	Supports public comments of Ozark Society.	jhnye14@swbell.net	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.

FName	LName	City/Cty	Association Referenced	Date Rec'd	Comment	Email	Department Response
370	Jo Paulus	unk	Ozark Society	9/11/2024	Supports public comments of Ozark Society.	jo.paulus@sbcglobal.net	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
371	Stephen Perry	unk	Ozark Society	9/10/2024	Supports public comments of Ozark Society.	lstepherr@yahoo.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
372	Jeanne Philpott	Fayetteville	Ozark Society	9/1/2024	Supports public comments of Ozark Society.	jeannephilpott@gmail.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
373	Michael	Jackson, TN	Ozark Society	9/11/2024	Supports public comments of Ozark Society.	mrapp@eplus.net	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
374	Farar Rose	unk	Ozark Society	9/2/2024	Supports public comments of Ozark Society.	chacorose@yahoo.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
375	Miles & Michelle Riley	Mountain Home	Ozark Society	9/2/2024	Supports public comments of Ozark Society.	info@rileysouthfitter.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
376	Grant Scarsdale	Harrison/Boone Cty	Ozark Society	9/10/2024	Supports public comments of Ozark Society.	grantscarsdale@me.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.

FName	LName	City/Cty	Association Referenced	Date Rec'd	Comment	Email	Department Response	
377	Karen	Seller	Farmington	Ozark Society	9/1/2024	Supports public comments of Ozark Society.	kcs735537@cox.net	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
378	Karen	Seller	Farmington	Ozark Society	9/11/2024	Supports public comments of Ozark Society.	kcs735537@cox.net	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
379	Norma	Senyard	Fayetteville	Ozark Society	9/1/2024	Supports public comments of Ozark Society.	norma.senyard@gmail.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
380	Norma	Senyard	Fayetteville	Ozark Society	9/1/2024	Supports public comments of Ozark Society.	norma.senyard@gmail.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
381	Carolyn	Shearman	unk	unk	9/10/2024	Supports public comments of Ozark Society.	tucshea@gmail.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
382	Brian	Thompson	Fayetteville	Ozark Society, President	9/2/2024	Supports public comments of Ozark Society.	thompsonadrc@gmail.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
383	JL	Titus	Fayetteville	Ozark Society	9/10/2024	Supports public comments of Ozark Society.	jtitus2772@sbcglobal.net	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.

FName	LName	City/Cty	Association Referenced	Date Rec'd	Comment	Email	Department Response
384 Kelly	Tribell	Fayetteville	Ozark Society	9/11/2024	Supports public comments of Ozark Society.	kelly.tribell@gmail.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
385 Shari	unk	unk	Ozark Society	9/1/2024	Supports public comments of Ozark Society.	willowbendgarden@aol.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
386 Linda	VanBlaricom	Little Rock	Ozark Society	9/11/2024	Supports public comments of Ozark Society.	lvbuu2@gmail.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
387 Evan	Walden	Madison Cty	Ozark Society	9/2/2024	Supports public comments of Ozark Society.	thewaldenfarm@hotmail.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
388 Marcia	Wallace	Mountain View	Ozark Society	9/1/2024	Supports public comments of Ozark Society.	mwallace0048@gmail.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
389 William	Wiedower	Little Rock	Ozark Society	9/10/2024	Supports public comments of Ozark Society.	bill@hwarch.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
390 Heaven	Nelson	unk	Ozark Society	9/10/2024	Supports public comments of Ozark Society.	williamsonheaven@yahoo.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.

FName	LName	City/Cty	Association Referenced	Date Rec'd	Comment	Email	Department Response	
391	Victoria	Wooton	Ozark Society	9/11/2024	Supports public comments of Ozark Society.	victoria.deloy@gmail.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.	
392	Bill	Adams	unk	Ozark Society	9/12/2024	Supports public comments of the Ozark Society. Notification of local stakeholders re pending swine CAFO permits needs to remain in the reg.	billadams72227@yahoo.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
393	June	Anteski	Paris	Ozark Society	9/12/2024	Supports public comments of the Ozark Society. Notification of local stakeholders re pending swine CAFO permits needs to remain in the reg.	june_anteski@yahoo.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
394	Marj	Bernhardt	unk	Ozark Society	9/11/2024	Supports public comments of the Ozark Society. Notification of local stakeholders re pending swine CAFO permits needs to remain in the reg.	mbernhardt78@yahoo.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
395	David	Bowles	unk	Ozark Society	9/12/2024	Supports public comments of the Ozark Society. Notification of local stakeholders re pending swine CAFO permits needs to remain in the reg.	dbowles524@gmail.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
396	Alisa	Dixon	unk	Ozark Society	9/11/2024	Supports public comments of the Ozark Society. Notification of local stakeholders re pending swine CAFO permits needs to remain in the reg.	absdixon@gmail.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
397	Karen	Foster	unk	Ozark Society	9/12/2024	Supports public comments of the Ozark Society. Notification of local stakeholders re pending swine CAFO permits needs to remain in the reg.	potterk@gmail.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.

FName	LName	City/Cty	Association Referenced	Date Rec'd	Comment	Email	Department Response	
398	April	Griffith	unk	Ozark Society	9/11/2024	Supports public comments of the Ozark Society. Notification of local stakeholders re pending swine CAFO permits needs to remain in the reg.	april.e.griffith@gmail.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
399	Susan	Jenkins	Fayetteville	Ozark Society	9/12/2024	Supports public comments of the Ozark Society. Notification of local stakeholders re pending swine CAFO permits needs to remain in the reg.	jenkburg@aol.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
400	Brian	Minyard	Little Rock	Ozark Society	9/12/2024	Supports public comments of the Ozark Society. Notification of local stakeholders re pending swine CAFO permits needs to remain in the reg.	brianminyard@yahoo.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
401	Julie	Quinn	Eureka Springs	Ozark Society	9/12/2024	Supports public comments of the Ozark Society. Notification of local stakeholders re pending swine CAFO permits needs to remain in the reg.	jquinn1029@gmail.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
402	Jim	Schuler	unk	Ozark Society	9/12/2024	Supports public comments of the Ozark Society. Notification of local stakeholders re pending swine CAFO permits needs to remain in the reg.	jcschuler1955@gmail.com	This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
403	Luke	Alston	Mena	Right to Farm	9/12/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	luke.alston.259317085@advocatefor.me	The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
404	Benny	Anderson	Searcy	Right to Farm	9/12/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	benny.anderson.403626685@advocatefor.me	The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
405	Carey	Autrey	Sheridan	Right to Farm	9/12/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	carey.autrey.437031156@p2a.co	The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.

FName	LName	City/Cty	Association Referenced	Date Rec'd	Comment	Email	Department Response	
406	Robert	Balentine	Conway	Right to Farm	9/12/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	robert.balentine.252723441@grsdelivery.com	The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
407	Robert	Balentine	Conway	Right to Farm	9/15/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	robert.balentine.252723441@yourconstituent.com	The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
408	Rubin	Barnes	Sheridan	Right to Farm	9/12/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	rubin.barnes.403627332@sendgrassroots.com	The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
409	Steve	Barney	Mena	Right to Farm	9/15/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	steve.barney.259677446@foradvocacy.com	The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
410	Sue	Billiot	Smithville	Right to Farm	9/15/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	sue.billiot.261994389@grassrootsmessages.com	The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
411	Jack	Boles	Ward	Right to Farm	9/12/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	jack.boles.297075145@forgrassroots.com	The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
412	Kieth	Boyd	Jonesboro	Right to Farm	9/12/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	keith.boyd.403626856@advocatefor.me	The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
413	Stephen	Boyd	Rison	Right to Farm	9/12/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	stephen.boyd.259316545@advocatesmessage.com	The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
414	Stephen	Boyd	Rison	Right to Farm	9/15/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	stephen.boyd.259316545@foradvocacy.com	The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.

FName	LName	City/Cty	Association Referenced	Date Rec'd	Comment	Email	Department Response	
415	Julie	Campbell	Vendor	Right to Farm	9/15/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	julie.campbell.403628719@grsdelivery.com	The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
416	Julie	Campbell	Vendor	Right to Farm	9/12/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	julie.campbell.403628719@sendgrassroots.com	The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
417	Julie	Campbell	Vendor	Right to Farm	9/12/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	julie.campbell.403628719@yourconstituent.com	The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
418	Jana	Carroll	Moro	Right to Farm	9/12/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	jana.carroll.259317454@advocacymessages.com	The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
419	Jon	Carroll	Moro	Right to Farm	9/12/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	jon.carroll.251654269@grsdelivery.com	The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
420	Sarah	Carr	Harrison	Right to Farm	9/15/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	sarah.carroll.403628548@forgrassroots.com	The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
421	Samuel	Cecil	Russellville	Right to Farm	9/12/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	samuel.cecil.403627936@grassrootsmessages.com	The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
422	Jason	Chaffin	Hot Springs Village	Right to Farm	9/15/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	jason.chaffin.727961206@advocatefor.me	The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
423	Jason	Chaffin	Hot Springs Village	Right to Farm	9/12/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	jason.chaffin.727961206@advocatesmessage.com	The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.

FName	LName	City/Cty	Association Referenced	Date Rec'd	Comment	Email	Department Response	
424	Misty	Chaffin	Hot Springs Village	Right to Farm	9/12/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	misty.chaffin.251974796@forgrassroots.com	The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
425	Randal	Ckark	Harriet	Right to Farm	9/12/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	randal.ckark.259678661@sendgrassroots.com	The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
426	Danna	Cofer	Searcy	Right to Farm	9/12/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	danna.cofer.259678085@advocacymessages.com	The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
427	Ernie	Coppock	Benton	Right to Farm	9/12/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	ernie.coppock.614129935@foradvocacy.com	The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
428	Ernie	Coppock	Benton	Right to Farm	9/15/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	ernie.coppock.614129935@yourconstituent.com	The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
429	Ricky	Core	Greenwood	Right to Farm	9/15/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	ricky.core.403626469@yourconstituent.com	The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
430	David	Daniel	Springdale	Right to Farm	9/15/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	david.daniel.306975848@advocatesmessage.com	The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
431	Alton	Davis	Grapevine	Right to Farm	9/12/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	alton.davis.403627440@advocatesmessage.com	The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
432	Tyler	Davis	Ashdown	Right to Farm	9/12/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	tyler.davis.252352560@yourconstituent.com	The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.

FName	LName	City/Cty	Association Referenced	Date Rec'd	Comment	Email	Department Response
433	Terry	Duboise	Hackett	Right to Farm	9/15/2024	<p>Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers.</p> <p>Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.</p>	<p>terry.duboise.403626432@advocacymessages.com</p> <p>The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.</p>
434	Kelli	Evans	Damascus	Right to Farm	9/12/2024	<p>Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers.</p> <p>Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.</p>	<p>kelli.evans.252391602@advocacymessages.com</p> <p>The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.</p>
435	Benton	Felts	Joiner	Right to Farm	9/15/2024	<p>Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers.</p> <p>Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.</p>	<p>benton.felts.459316491@p2a.co</p> <p>The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.</p>
436	Sherry	Felts	Joiner	Right to Farm	9/15/2024	<p>Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers.</p> <p>Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.</p>	<p>sherry.felts.251699710@grassrootsmessages.com</p> <p>The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.</p>
437	Bruce	Ferguson	Texarkana	Right to Farm	9/12/2024	<p>Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers.</p> <p>Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.</p>	<p>bruce.ferguson.403627972@foradvocacy.com</p> <p>The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.</p>
438	Marion	Fletcher	Hot Springs	Right to Farm	9/12/2024	<p>Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers.</p> <p>Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.</p>	<p>marion.fletcher.259316617@yourconstituent.com</p> <p>The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.</p>
439	Melanie	Fosko	Clinton	Right to Farm	9/15/2024	<p>Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers.</p> <p>Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.</p>	<p>melanie.fosko.306763951@advocatefor.me</p> <p>The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.</p>
440	Melanie	Fosko	Clinton	Right to Farm	9/12/2024	<p>Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers.</p> <p>Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.</p>	<p>melanie.fosko.306763951@forgrassroots.com</p> <p>The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.</p>
441	Daniel	Free	Waldron	Right to Farm	9/15/2024	<p>Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers.</p> <p>Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.</p>	<p>daniel.free.297074100@grassrootsmessages.com</p> <p>The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.</p>

FName	LName	City/Cty	Association Referenced	Date Rec'd	Comment	Email	Department Response
442	Daniel	Free	Waldron	Right to Farm	9/15/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	daniel.free.297074100@grsdelivery.com The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
443	Daniel	Free	Waldron	Right to Farm	9/15/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	daniel.free.297074100@yourconstituent.com The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
444	John	Freeman	Centerton	Right to Farm	9/12/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	john.freeman.297075929@sendgrassroots.com The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
445	Mike	Freeze	Little Rock	Right to Farm	9/12/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	mike.freeze.2596770886@advocatefor.me The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
446	Ben	Gander	unk	Right to Farm	9/15/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	ben.gander.437679752@advocatesmessage.com The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
447	Larry	Garlington	Ivan	Right to Farm	9/12/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	larry.garlington.306708178@advocatefor.me The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
448	Stan	Garner	Danville	Right to Farm	9/12/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	stan.garner.403626315@advocatefor.me The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
449	Clifton	Gifford	Corning	Right to Farm	9/15/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	clifton.gifford.259316941@advocacymessages.com The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
450	Clifton	Gifford	Corning	Right to Farm	9/15/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	clifton.gifford.259316941@sendgrassroots.com The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.

FName	LName	City/Cty	Association Referenced	Date Rec'd	Comment	Email	Department Response	
451	Richie	Gray	Dardanelle	Right to Farm	9/16/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	richie.gray.259316419@sendgrassroots.com	The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
452	Gordon	Greene	Fordyce	Right to Farm	9/12/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	gordon.greene.297075578@advocatefor.me	The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
453	Gordon	Greene	Fordyce	Right to Farm	9/15/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	gordon.greene.297075578@p2a.co	The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
454	Jim	Griffin	Siloam Springs	Right to Farm	9/12/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	jim.griffen.397077233@p2a.co	The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
455	Bill	Hamm	Elkins	Right to Farm	9/15/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	bill.hamm.306993866@foradvocacy.com	The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
456	Randy	Hare	Hope	Right to Farm	9/12/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	randy.hare.576827708@advocatefor.me	The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
457	Brian	Harris	Heber Springs	Right to Farm	9/12/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	brian.harris.310502054@advocatesmessages.com	The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
458	James	Harvey	Adona	Right to Farm	9/12/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	james.harvey.259715227@advocacymessages.com	The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
459	Jodee	Hayes	Leslie	Right to Farm	9/12/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	jodee.hayes.259316897@advocatefor.me	The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.

FName	LName	City/Cty	Association Referenced	Date Rec'd	Comment	Email	Department Response	
460	Stan	Hayes	Leslie	Right to Farm	9/12/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	stan.hayes.259318075@sendgrassroots.com	The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
461	Chris	Heiser	Lamar	Right to Farm	9/15/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	chris.heiser.306907203@advocacymessages.com	The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
462	Ron	Helton	Benton	Right to Farm	9/16/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	ron.helton.297077855@p2a.co	The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
463	Steve	Hignight	Prairie Grove	Right to Farm	9/12/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	steve.hignight.252157431@forgrassroots.com	The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
464	Melissa	Holland	Gentry	Right to Farm	9/12/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	melissa.holland.432072345@sendgrassroots.com	The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
465	Donald	Horton	Harrisburg	Right to Farm	9/15/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	donald.horton.297079060@yourconstituent.com	The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
466	Matt	Howton	Palenstine	Right to Farm	9/15/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	matt.howton.403626955@foradvocacy.com	The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
467	Matt	Howton	Palenstine	Right to Farm	9/15/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	matt.howton.403626955@yourconstituent.com	The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
468	Amelia	Hoyt	Houston	Right to Farm	9/12/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	amelia.hoyt.251432111@grsdelivery.com	The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.

FName	LName	City/Cty	Association Referenced	Date Rec'd	Comment	Email	Department Response
469	David	Hoyt	Houston	Right to Farm	9/15/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	david.hoyt.251418388@advocacymessages.com The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
470	David	Hoyt	Houston	Right to Farm	9/12/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	david.hoyt.251418388@grassrootsmessages.com The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
471	Don	Hubbell	Batesville	Right to Farm	9/15/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	don.hubbell.403628700@advocacymessages.com The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
472	Steven	Jones	Conway	Right to Farm	9/12/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	steven.jones.251753430@advocatefor.me The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
473	Matt	King	Little Rock	Right to Farm	9/12/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	matt.king.251395465@forgrassroots.com The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
474	Terry	Laster	Strong	Right to Farm	9/12/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	terry.laster.259676960@advocatesmessage.com The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
475	Terry	Laster	Strong	Right to Farm	9/15/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	terry.laster.259676960@forgrassroots.com The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
476	Michael	Lee	Conway	Right to Farm	9/15/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	michael.lee.2593162002@forgrassroots.com The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
477	Austin	Lester	Rose Bud	Right to Farm	9/12/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	austin.lester.427397189@forgrassroots.com The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.

FName	LName	City/Cty	Association Referenced	Date Rec'd	Comment	Email	Department Response	
478	Mandy	Lester	Quitman	Right to Farm	9/15/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	mandy.lester.436798067@sendgrassroots.com	The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
479	Tracy	Lester	Quitman	Right to Farm	9/15/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	tracy.lester.436937279@foradvocacy.com	The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
480	Tracy	Lester	Quitman	Right to Farm	9/15/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	tracy.lester.436937279@grassrootsmessages.com	The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
481	Caroline	Lester	Rose Bud	Right to Farm	9/16/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	caroline.lester.404220738@foradvocacy.com	The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
482	Robert	Lloyd	Booneville	Right to Farm	9/12/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	robert.lloyd.258317427@foradvocacy.com	The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
483	Mark	Lockhart	Hope	Right to Farm	9/12/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	mark.lockhart.259676654@advocatefor.me	The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
484	Melanie	Malone	Conway	Right to Farm	9/12/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	melanie.malone.403624711@sendgrassroots.com	The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
485	Lauren	Martin	Judsonia	Right to Farm	9/12/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	lauren.martin.252421635@yourconstituent.com	The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
486	Everett	Mason	Brinkley	Right to Farm	9/16/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	everett.mason.251963644@foradvocacy.com	The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.

FName	LName	City/Cty	Association Referenced	Date Rec'd	Comment	Email	Department Response
487	John	McKim	Little Rock	Right to Farm	9/12/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	john.mckim.404291398@grsdelivery.com The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
488	Richard	McMinn	Stuttgart	Right to Farm	9/15/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	richard.mcminn.615441478@grsdelivery.com The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
489	Chris	Meador	Green Forest	Right to Farm	9/12/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	chris.meador.259316013@advocatefor.me The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
490	Chris	Meador	Green Forest	Right to Farm	9/15/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	chris.meador.259316013@p2a.co The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
491	James	Meeks	Hamburg	Right to Farm	9/12/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	james.meeks.259677536@advocacymessages.com The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
492	Eric	Mohike	Cord	Right to Farm	9/15/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	eric.mohike.403628728@advocacymessages.com The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
493	Eric	Mohike	Cord	Right to Farm	9/15/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	eric.mohike.403628728@grsdelivery.com The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
494	Curtis	Moore	Lincoln	Right to Farm	9/12/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	curtis.moore.259676069@sendgrassroots.com The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
495	Seth	Moore	Beebe	Right to Farm	9/12/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	seth.moore.432252264@yourconstituent.com The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.

FName	LName	City/Cty	Association Referenced	Date Rec'd	Comment	Email	Department Response
496	Donald	Moss	Rose Bud	Right to Farm	9/12/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	donald.moss.533755101@p2a.co The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
497	Jerry	Moyer	Lincoln	Right to Farm	9/12/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	jerry.moyer.297075514@grassrootsmessages.com The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
498	Jason	Murray	Paragould	Right to Farm	9/12/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	jason.murray.259316149@forgrassroots.com The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
499	Tim	Neidecker	Van Buren	Right to Farm	9/12/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	tim.neidecker.259316248@advocatefor.me The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
500	Grant	Pace	Monticello	Right to Farm	9/15/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	grant.pace.251583916@grsdelivery.com The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
501	Joel	Pace	Monticello	Right to Farm	9/12/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	joel.pace.251583916@forgrassroots.com The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
502	Michael	Parish	Clinton	Right to Farm	9/15/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	michael.parish.6094325826@sendgrassroots.com The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
503	CJ	Parker	Carlisle	Right to Farm	9/15/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	cj.parker.459556088@grassrootsmessages.com The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
504	Clay	Parker	Carlisle	Right to Farm	9/12/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	clay.parker.259352996@advocatesmessage.com The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.

FName	LName	City/City	Association Referenced	Date Rec'd	Comment	Email	Department Response
505	Dustin	Parsons	Benton	Right to Farm	9/12/2024	<p>Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers.</p> <p>Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.</p>	<p>dustin.parsons.465717334@forgrassroots.com</p> <p>The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.</p>
506	James	Patton	Bentonville	Right to Farm	9/12/2024	<p>Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers.</p> <p>Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.</p>	<p>james.patton.252099535@p2a.co</p> <p>The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.</p>
507	Brad	Peacock	Bald Knob	Right to Farm	9/12/2024	<p>Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers.</p> <p>Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.</p>	<p>brad.peacock.259316086@grassrootsmessages.com</p> <p>The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.</p>
508	Russell	Pendergraft	Texarkana	Right to Farm	9/12/2024	<p>Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers.</p> <p>Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.</p>	<p>russell.pendergraft.403627981@p2a.co</p> <p>The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.</p>
509	James	Penn	Black Rock	Right to Farm	9/16/2024	<p>Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers.</p> <p>Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.</p>	<p>jamesm.penn.297075866@advocacymessages.com</p> <p>The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.</p>
510	Mike	Pennington	Monticello	Right to Farm	9/12/2024	<p>Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers.</p> <p>Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.</p>	<p>mike.pennington.459550273@advocatefor.me</p> <p>The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.</p>
511	Roy	Pennse	Winslow	Right to Farm	9/12/2024	<p>Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers.</p> <p>Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.</p>	<p>roy.pennse.259317517@grsdelivery.com</p> <p>The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.</p>
512	Sandra	Perry	Prairie Grove	Right to Farm	9/12/2024	<p>Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers.</p> <p>Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.</p>	<p>sandra.perry.25589678328@sendgrassroots.com</p> <p>The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.</p>
513	Sandra	Perry	Prairie Grove	Right to Farm	9/12/2024	<p>Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers.</p> <p>Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.</p>	<p>sandra.perry.259678328@advocatesmessage.com</p> <p>The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.</p>

FName	LName	City/Cty	Association Referenced	Date Rec'd	Comment	Email	Department Response	
514	Sandra	Perry	Prairie Grove	Right to Farm	9/15/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	sandra.perry.259678328@sendgrassroots.com	The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
515	Gene	Pharr	Lincoln	Right to Farm	9/12/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	gene.pharr.251637961@advocatesmessage.com	The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
516	Gene	Pharr	Lincoln	Right to Farm	9/16/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	gene.pharr.251637961@grsdelivery.com	The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
517	Lloyd	Phelps	Strong	Right to Farm	9/12/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	lloyd.phelps.601710384@foradvocacy.com	The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
518	Richard	Pierce	Blackwell	Right to Farm	9/12/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	richard.pierce.251492665@sendgrassroots.com	The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
519	Roger	Pitchford	Norfolk	Right to Farm	9/12/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	roger.pitchford.297077422@forgrassroots.com	The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
520	Roger	Pitchford	Norfolk	Right to Farm	9/15/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	roger.pitchford.297077422@grassrootsmessages.com	The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
521	Caleb	Plyler	Hope	Right to Farm	9/12/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	caleb.plyler.252352515@forgrassroots.com	The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
522	David	Rawls	Pocahontas	Right to Farm	9/12/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	david.rawls.459555070@grsdelivery.com	The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.

FName	LName	City/Cty	Association Referenced	Date Rec'd	Comment	Email	Department Response	
523	Michael	Richardson	Bonnerdale	Right to Farm	9/12/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	michael.richardson.403628755@yourconstituent.com	The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
524	Tanner	Riggin	Beebe	Right to Farm	9/12/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	tanner.riggin.598166528@advocatesmessage.com	The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
525	Tanner	Riggin	Beebe	Right to Farm	9/15/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	tanner.riggin.598166528@grassroomsessages.com	The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
526	Catrinia	Rojas	Benton	Right to Farm	9/12/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	catrinia.rojas.728849020@forgrassroots.com	The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
527	Brian	Roper	Fox	Right to Farm	9/15/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	brian.roper.524338078@grassrootsmessages.com	The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
528	Brian	Roper	Fox	Right to Farm	9/12/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	brian.roper.524338078@grsdelivery.com	The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
529	Jennifer	Sansom	Ashdown	Right to Farm	9/15/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	jennifer.sansom.436919224@sendgrassroots.com	The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
530	Chris	Schaefers	Conway	Right to Farm	9/12/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	chris.schaefers.251991823@grsdelivery.com	The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
531	Bill	Sewell	El Dorado	Right to Farm	9/15/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	bill.sewell.403627594_sendgrassroots.com	The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.

FName	LName	City/Cty	Association Referenced	Date Rec'd	Comment	Email	Department Response	
532	Bill	Shannon	Jonesboro	Right to Farm	9/12/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	bill.shannon.297073336@yourconstituent.com	The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
533	Matt	Shekels	Harrison	Right to Farm	9/15/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	matt.shekels.403628809@p2a.co	The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
534	Phillip	Sims	Russellville	Right to Farm	9/15/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	phillip.sims.259317049@yourconstituent.com	The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
535	John	Sink	Newport	Right to Farm	9/12/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	john.sink.728940640@yourconstituent.com	The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
536	Bryan	Smith	Newport	Right to Farm	9/12/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	bryan.smith.259316851@foradvocacy.com	The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
537	Debra	Smith	Bay	Right to Farm	9/12/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	debra.smith.535599545@p2a.co	The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
538	Lisa	Smith	Dover	Right to Farm	9/15/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	lisa.smith.403626298@grassrootsmessages.com	The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
539	John	Spain	Hindsville	Right to Farm	9/15/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	john.spain.521162706@grsdelivery.com	The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
540	John	Spain	Hindsville	Right to Farm	9/12/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	john.spain.521162706@yourconstituent.com	The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.

FName	LName	City/Cty	Association Referenced	Date Rec'd	Comment	Email	Department Response	
541	Phillip	Steed	Zion	Right to Farm	9/12/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	phillip.steed.2593617210@yourconstituent.com	The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
542	Robert	Stobaugh	Atkins	Right to Farm	9/12/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	robert.stobaugh.259678265@advocacymessages.com	The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
543	Robert	Stobaugh	Atkins	Right to Farm	9/15/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	robert.stobaugh.259678265@advocatefor.me	The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
544	Reed	Storey	Marvell	Right to Farm	9/15/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	reed.storey.310493389@advocatesmessage.com	The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
545	Tony	Suit	Bonnerdale	Right to Farm	9/12/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	tony.suit.464991115@advocatesmessage.com	The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
546	Stanley	Taylor	Pelsor	Right to Farm	9/12/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	stanley.taylor.259316888@endgrassroots.com	The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
547	Roger	Thompson	Mansfield	Right to Farm	9/12/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	roger.thompson.259316329@advocatefor.me	The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
548	Tammy	Thompson	Mansfield	Right to Farm	9/14/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	tammy.thompson.259315843@foradvocacy.com	The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
549	Tommy	Thompson	Morrilton	Right to Farm	9/12/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	tommy.thompson.259316400@advocatefor.me	The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.

FName	LName	City/Cty	Association Referenced	Date Rec'd	Comment	Email	Department Response	
550	Renee	Thrash	Houston	Right to Farm	9/12/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	renee.thrash.259316905@advocatefor.me	The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
551	Joe	Thrash	Houston	Right to Farm	9/16/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	joe.thrash.259316806@forgrassroots.com	The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
552	George	Tidwell	Austin	Right to Farm	9/12/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	george.e.tidwell.424597351@forgrassroots.com	The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
553	Zach	Tidwell	Stuttgart	Right to Farm	9/12/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	zach.tidwell.259676221@yourconstituent.com	The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
554	Leslie	Turner	Lexa	Right to Farm	9/15/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	leslie.turner.259678157@forgrassroots.com	The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
555	Leslie	Turner	Lexa	Right to Farm	9/12/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	leslie.turner.259678157@p2a.co	The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
556	Jason	Villines	Huntsville	Right to Farm	9/15/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	jason.villines.576826501@grsdelivery.com	The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
557	Jason	Villines	Huntsville	Right to Farm	9/12/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	jason.villines.576826501@p2a.co	The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
558	Delbert	Walker	Murfreesboro	Right to Farm	9/15/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	delbert.walker.459553216@grassrootsmessages.com	The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.

FName	LName	City/City	Association Referenced	Date Rec'd	Comment	Email	Department Response	
559	Delbert	Walker	Murfreesboro	Right to Farm	9/12/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	delbert.walker.459553216@sendgrassroots.com	The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
560	Delbert	Walker	Murfreesboro	Right to Farm	9/16/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	delbert.walker.459553216@advocatefor.me	The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
561	Jeremy	Wesson	Searcy	Right to Farm	9/12/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	jeremy.wesson.252387599@foradvocacy.com	The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
562	Fransese	Wheatley	Judsonia	Right to Farm	9/12/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	fransese.wheatley.297074668@sendgrassroots.com	The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
563	Fredese	Wheatley	Judsonia	Right to Farm	9/15/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	fredese.wheatley.297074669@advocatefor.me	The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
564	Lucas	Whittenton	Forrest City	Right to Farm	9/12/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	lucas.whittenton.459556655@advocatesmessage.com	The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
565	Karen	Wood	Little Rock	Right to Farm	9/12/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	karen.wood.251714343@yourconstituent.com	The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
566	Jarrod	Yates	Benton	Right to Farm	9/15/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	jarrod.yates.493533157@sendgrassroots.com	The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
567	Amy	Young	Tuckerman	Right to Farm	9/15/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	amy.young.253138936@advocacymessages.com	The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.

FName	LName	City/Cty	Association Referenced	Date Rec'd	Comment	Email	Department Response	
568	Amy	Young	Tuckerman	Right to Farm	9/12/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	amy.young.253138936@yourconstituent.com	The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
569	John	McMinn	Little Rock	Right to Farm	9/12/2024	Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.	john.mcminn.404291398@grsdelivery.com	The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
570	Carmen	Quinn	Little Rock	Ozark Society	9/14/2024	Supports the Ozark Society public comments re notification of all local stakeholders.	ccquinn23@yahoo.com	The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
571	Sabine	Schmidt	Fayetteville	Ozark Society	9/13/2024	Supports the Ozark Society public comments re notification of all local stakeholders.	sabineischmidt@gmail.com	The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
572	Caroline	Rogers	unk	unk	9/13/2024	The AR Times reported that by changing notification from ADEQ to Dept of AG the public will not be able to easily learn of CAFO, hog farms or other industrial business near watersheds. Corporate agendas should not be hidden from the public.	stopwindfarmsar@gmail.com	Act 824 of 2023 transferred authority over all liquid animal waste management systems to the Arkansas Department of Agriculture. No changes to the rule are required.
573	Evan	Teague	Farm Bureau		9/16/2024	The Arkansas Farm Bureau Federation welcomes the opportunity to submit comments regarding the proposed amendments to the Arkansas Livestock and Poultry Commission's (Commission) Liquid Animal Waste Systems (LAWS) Rule, specifically Section VII. Watershed Specific Rules – Buffalo River Watershed. We fully support the transfer of the LAWS permitting authority from the Pollution Control and Ecology Commission, and we look forward to working with the Commission as it begins implementing the LAWS Rules. However, we do have some concerns we believe need to be addressed. We strongly urge the Commission to strike Section VII. Moratorium related language should have been stricken after the 2020 legislative review process when the ALC – Administrative Rules Committee voted unanimously to disapprove the Pollution Control and Ecology's previous rulemaking attempt on the basis that this moratorium is not consistent with legislative intent. Please explain on what authority this Commission has for not only leaving the moratorium language in its rulemaking but expanding it to include small swine farms. We incorporate, by reference, our comments submitted to the Arkansas Pollution Control and Ecology Commission regarding its Rule 6 rulemaking, specifically Section 6.602, as support for our belief that a permanent permitting moratorium of all swine farms, regardless of size, in the Buffalo River watershed is not scientifically justifiable. We encourage the Livestock & Poultry Commission to correct the inaction of the Pollution Control and Ecology Commission by removing Section VII. Watershed Specific Rules from its proposed Liquid Animal Waste System rule language.	latosha.white@arfb.com	The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.
574	Mayor Lioneld	Jordan	Fayetteville	unk	9/12/2024	The proposed revisions to Reg 5 of any new permit application or permit modification to liquid animal waste operations lack sufficient notification to municipalities. Without direct communication from state agencies by email, my staff will be required to check daily or weekly on the Dept of Ag's website for new permit applications. This scenario will impose an additional burden on my already overworked staff. Incorporate notification procedures as they currently exist under Reg 6.207 of the AR PC&EC commission regulations. We would ask as a courtesy that we be notified in writing on any proposed CAFOs or liquid animal waste operations within the Illinois River and Upper White River watersheds.	mayor@fayetteville-ar.gov	The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.

FName	LName	City/Cty	Association Referenced	Date Rec'd	Comment	Email	Department Response
575 James	McCarty	unk	Beaver Water District	9/16/2024	<p>We are pleased that most of the original Reg. 5 is retained in the new rule. However, we do not support the removal of some of the public notification requirements. Specifically, the removal of notification requirements for adjacent landowners and local governing authorities will limit the ability of the public to review and provide written comment regarding new liquid animal waste management systems. In addition, part of BWD's process in monitoring compliance with rules in the Beaver Lake Watershed has included the ability to use ADEQ's public facing website to review permit applications, nutrient management plans, annual reports, and compliance inspections by state agencies. BWD wants to ensure that this level of review will still be available under the proposed rule and with the new state agency overseeing this rule.</p> <p>The erosion of some of the public notification requirements within this proposed rule, along with the removal of nutrient management plan oversight by the public through the state legislature this past year are steps in the wrong direction. The public and key stakeholders like BWD have a legitimate need to understand and make their concerns known about agricultural and waste disposal practices that may impact their local environment and drinking water sources.</p>	jmccarty@bwdh2o.org	The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
576 Mark	Cain	Huntsville	unk	9/16/2024	<p>We must not eliminate the necessity of public announcements and comment periods about possible siting of CAFO's in the Buffalo River Watershed, where the public has an enormous interest in preserving pristine water quality.</p>	drippingspringsdn@gmail.com	The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.
Alexa	Pittenger	Eureka Springs	unk	9/15/2024	<p>Writes to protest instituting a rule that large hog house projects do not need to notify property owners that would be affected before the project is given the go ahead.</p>	alexapittenger@gmail.com	The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.